

Figure 4. The fitted path model for female adolescents, without covariates.

Notes. SATAQ = internalization of media ideals. TSO = trait self-objectification. Error variances between sexting intentions were allowed to co-vary. These covariances are not included in the model here for ease of interpretation. Non-significant paths are dashed. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.




Figure 5. The fitted path model for male adolescents, without covariates.

Notes. SATAQ = internalization of media ideals. TSO = trait self-objectification. Error variances between sexting intentions were allowed to co-vary. These covariances are not included in the model here for ease of interpretation. Non-significant paths are dashed. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.