

Appendix 4 – Excluded studies and primary reason for exclusion

Ovid Search – excluded studies based on title/abstract

First Author / Ref	Title	Reason for Exclusion 1
Abdel-Aleem H.[1]	Mobile clinics for women's and children's health.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Agha R.A.[2]	Use of autologous fat grafting for reconstruction postmastectomy and breast conserving surgery: A systematic review protocol.	Cancer treatment and care
Agha R.A.[3]	Nipple sparing versus skin sparing mastectomy: A systematic review protocol.	Not screening programme related
Alexander E.K.[4]	2017 Guidelines of the American Thyroid Association for the Diagnosis and Management of Thyroid Disease during Pregnancy and the Postpartum.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Al-Foheidi M.[5]	Breast cancer screening: Review of benefits and harms, and recommendations for developing and low-income countries.	Non-systematic reviews
Alipour S.[6]	Systematic review of effects of pregnancy on breast and abdominal contour after TRAM/DIEP breast reconstruction in breast cancer survivors.	Cancer treatment and care
Alsanea N.[7]	National Guidelines for Colorectal Cancer Screening in Saudi Arabia with strength of recommendations and quality of evidence.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Altobelli E.[8]	Colorectal cancer prevention in Europe: Burden of disease and status of screening programs.	Non-systematic reviews
Andreeva V.A.[9]	Breast cancer screening utilization among Eastern European immigrant women worldwide: A systematic literature review and a focus on psychosocial barriers.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)

Anhang R.[10]	HPV communication: Review of existing research and recommendations for patient education.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Anonymous[11]	Abstracts from the European General Practice Research Network, EGPRN Meeting 2014.	Non-systematic reviews
Anonymous[12]	"Healthcare Cost, Quality, and Policy: Driving Stakeholder Innovation in Process and Practice".	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Anonymous[13]	Canadian Society for Epidemiology and Biostatistics 2013 National Student Conference, CSEB 2013.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Anonymous[14]	2nd European Congress on Endometriosis.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Anonymous[15]	COSA 37th 2010 Annual Scientific Meeting.	Non-systematic reviews
Anonymous[16]	The cultural context of screening for early detection of breast cancer.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Anonymous[17]	Human papillomavirus. Often harmless but in some cases carcinogenic.	Not screening programme related
Anonymous[18]	Poster Abstracts from the 7th Annual CUGH Conference: Bridging to a Sustainable Future in Global Health.	Non-systematic reviews
Anothaisintawee T.[19]	Developing and validating risk prediction model for screening breast cancer in Thai women.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Arezzo A.[20]	Practice parameters for early rectal cancer management: Italian Society of Colorectal Surgery (Societa Italiana di Chirurgia Colo-Rettale; SICCR) guidelines.	Not screening programme related
Argon S.[21]	Systematic review of the quantitative drug exposure data available in the pharmacogenetic literature using the University of Washington E-PKGENE© application identifies drugs most sensitive to genetic variations.	Not screening programme related
Armaroli P.[22]	European Code against Cancer, 4th Edition: Cancer screening.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve

Arosarena O.A.[23]	Special Considerations with Floor of Mouth and Tongue Cancer.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Austoker J.[24]	European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. First Edition Communication.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Avery M.D.[25]	Current resources for evidence-based practice, September/October 2013.	No abstract in a European Union language
Baezconde-Garbanati L.[26]	Breast cancer screening among Latinas: Our research in the U.S. and initial findings for Scandinavia.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Baker S.[27]	What is the most appropriate breast-cancer screening interval for women aged 45 to 49 years in New Zealand?.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Baker S.[28]	Breast cancer screening for women aged 40 to 49 years - What does the evidence mean for New Zealand?.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Banerjee G.[29]	Self-reported use of kinesiology taping in oncology and or palliative / supportive care settings: Findings from the UK questionnaire survey.	Cancer treatment and care
Banks E.[30]	Hormone replacement therapy and false positive recall in the Million Women Study: Patterns of use, hormonal constituents and consistency of effect.	Not screening programme related
Banta H.D.[31]	Health promotion and disease prevention as a complement to community health indicators: Working group 1.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Beatty L.[32]	A systematic review of psychotherapeutic interventions for women with metastatic breast cancer: Implications and recommendations for developing an online self-help program.	Cancer treatment and care

Benson J.R.[33]	Management of the axilla in women with breast cancer.	Cancer treatment and care
Bielawski M.P.[34]	Improving care of chronic conditions for women veterans: Identifying opportunities for comparative effectiveness research.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Bobdey S.[35]	Burden of cervical cancer and role of screening in India.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Borras J.M.[36]	The evidence on breast cancer screening: the story continues. [Spanish]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Botesteanu D.-A.[37]	Mathematical models of breast and ovarian cancers.	Other study types (controlled trials, observational studies)
Brankovic I.[38]	Applying a gender lens on human papillomavirus infection: Cervical cancer screening, HPV DNA testing, and HPV vaccination.	Non-systematic reviews
Bratcher L.F.[39]	The impact of antiretroviral therapy on HPV and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: Current evidence and directions for future research.	Not screening programme related
Brennan V.K.[40]	Incorporating process utility into quality adjusted life years: A systematic review of empirical studies.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Bukowska-Durawa A.[41]	Cervical cancer screening and psychosocial barriers perceived by patients. A systematic review.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Butow P.N.[42]	Psychosocial well-being and supportive care needs of cancer patients living in urban and rural/regional areas: A systematic review.	Cancer treatment and care
Cadoni S.[43]	Methods and techniques for unsedated colonoscopy: A systematic review.	Not screening programme related

Callejo D.[44]	Cost utility of human papiloma virus vaccine in Spain.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Calonge N.[45]	Screening for colorectal cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Cardinale F.[46]	Role of micronucleus test in predicting breast cancer susceptibility: a systematic review and meta-analysis.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Carroll M.R.R.[47]	Tests and investigations for colorectal cancer screening.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Carter A.[48]	Racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare among women in the VA: A systematic review.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Castillo E.[49]	No. 342-Hepatitis B and Pregnancy.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Cazap E[50]	Breast cancer in Latin America: experts perceptions compared with medical care standards.	Cancer treatment and care
Chamot E.[51]	Are treatments for cervical precancerous lesions in less-developed countries safe enough to promote scaling-up of cervical screening programs? A systematic review.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Chamot E[51] DUPLICATE	Are treatments for cervical precancerous lesions in less-developed countries safe enough to promote scaling-up of cervical screening programs? A systematic review. [Review] [71 refs]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Chan B.K.[52]	Localization techniques for guided surgical excision of non-palpable breast lesions.	Not screening programme related
Chan D.N.S.[53]	A Systematic Review of the Factors Influencing Ethnic Minority Women's Cervical Cancer Screening Behavior: From Intrapersonal to Policy Level.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)

Chen C.[54]	Accuracy of several cervical screening strategies for early detection of cervical cancer: A meta-analysis.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Chikhaoui Y.[55]	Cost-minimization analysis of genetic testing versus clinical screening of at-risk relatives for familial adenomatous polyposis.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Christy S.M.[56]	Shared decision-making about colorectal cancer screening: A conceptual framework to guide research.	Non-systematic reviews
Consedine N.S.[57]	Fear, anxiety, worry, and breast cancer screening behavior: A critical review.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Cooper K.[58]	Chemoprevention of colorectal cancer: Systematic review and economic evaluation.	Not screening programme related
Corkum M.[59]	Screening for new primary cancers in cancer survivors compared to non-cancer controls: A systematic review and meta-analysis.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Corrarino J.E.[60]	Health Literacy and Women's Health: Challenges and Opportunities.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Curran J.[61]	How effective is mammography in detecting breast cancer recurrence in women after Breast Conservation Therapy (BCT) - A systematic literature review.	Cancer treatment and care
D'Andrea E.[62]	Which BRCA genetic testing programs are ready for implementation in health care? A systematic review of economic evaluations.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Das V.[63]	Predictive and prognostic biomarkers in colorectal cancer: A systematic review of recent advances and challenges.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)

De Morgan S.[64]	Communicating prognosis and treatment of DCIS: Challenges arising from a diagnosis of uncertainty.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
DeBarros M.[65]	Colorectal cancer screening in an equal access healthcare system.	Non-systematic reviews
DeFrank J.T.[66]	The Psychological Harms of Screening: the Evidence We Have Versus the Evidence We Need.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Demment M.M.[67]	Developing the evidence base to inform best practice: A scoping study of breast and cervical cancer reviews in low- and middle-income countries.	Cancer treatment and care
Denny L.[68]	The prevention of cervical cancer in developing countries.	Non-systematic reviews
DeWalt D.A.[69]	Literacy and health outcomes: A systematic review of the literature.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Dhana A.[70]	Systematic review of facility-based sexual and reproductive health services for female sex workers in Africa.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Dhana A[70] DUPLICATE	Systematic review of facility-based sexual and reproductive health services for female sex workers in Africa. [Review]	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Dhanji Z.[71]	Cervical screening reminder calls pilot: Utilizing EMRs to improve cancer screening.	Other study types (controlled trials, observational studies)
Dieng M[72]	Improving cancer risk understanding: A systematic review of educational interventions for people with, or at high risk of, cancer	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Dietz J.R.[73]	Breast Cancer Management Updates: Young and Older, Pregnant, or Male.	Not screening programme related

Dillner J.[74]	Primary human papillomavirus testing in organized cervical screening.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Dinnes J.[75]	Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of double reading of mammograms in breast cancer screening: Findings of a systematic review.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Domgue J.B.F.[76]	Alternative methods for primary cervical cancer screening in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis of accuracy of VIA, VILI and HPV testing.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Ekwunife O.I.[77]	Challenges in Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Modelling of HPV Vaccines in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review and Practice Recommendations.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Eleje G.U.[78]	Palliative interventions for controlling vaginal bleeding in advanced cervical cancer.	Cancer treatment and care
Elit L.[79]	Cervical Cancer Prevention in Low-Resource Settings.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Ellis P[80]	A systematic review of studies evaluating diffusion and dissemination of selected cancer control interventions. [Review] [100 refs]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Emery J.D.[81]	The challenges of early diagnosis of cancer in general practice.	No abstract in a European Union language
Endzelins E.[82]	Diagnostic, prognostic and predictive value of cell-free miRNAs in prostate cancer: A systematic review.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Escoffery C.[83]	A grey literature review of special events for promoting cancer screenings.	Non-systematic reviews
Escoffery C[83] DUPLICATE	A grey literature review of special events for promoting cancer screenings.	Non-systematic reviews

Ewald N.[84]	Pyruvate kinase M2 (tumor M2-PK) as a screening tool for colorectal cancer (CRC) - A review. [German]	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Ezendam N.[85]	Health literacy in cancer patients: State of the art and future directions.	Cancer treatment and care
Fahrbach K.[86]	A comparison of the accuracy of two minimally invasive breast biopsy methods: A systematic literature review and meta-analysis.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Falk D.[87]	A systematic review of breast and cervical cancer prevention interventions for latinas.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Farshid G.[88]	Mucocele-like lesions of the breast: A benign cause for indeterminate or suspicious mammographic microcalcifications.	Not screening programme related
Fernandes R.[89]	Optimal primary febrile neutropenia prophylaxis for patients receiving docetaxel-cyclophosphamide chemotherapy for breast cancer: a systematic review.	Not screening programme related
Fesenfeld M.[90]	Cost-effectiveness of human papillomavirus vaccination in low and middle income countries: A systematic review.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Finocchario-Kessler S.[91]	Cervical cancer prevention and treatment research in Africa: A systematic review from a public health perspective.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Flanagan S.M.[92]	Adverse outcomes after colposcopy.	Not screening programme related
Fleeman N.[93]	The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of genotyping for CYP2D6 for the management of women with breast cancer treated with tamoxifen: A systematic review.	Cancer treatment and care

Forbat L.[94]	Family history of breast cancer: Clinical implications for relational health promotion derived from a systematic review.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Forhan S.E.[95]	A systematic review of the effects of visual inspection with acetic acid, cryotherapy, and loop electrosurgical excision procedures for cervical dysplasia in HIV-infected women in low- and middle-income countries.	Not screening programme related
Foster C.[96]	Web-based decision aids to support young women with breast cancer.	Cancer treatment and care
Frederiksen M.E.[97]	What women want. Women's preferences for the management of low-grade abnormal cervical screening tests: A systematic review.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Freeberg J.A.[98]	The clinical effectiveness of fluorescence and reflectance spectroscopy for the in vivo diagnosis of cervical neoplasia: An analysis by phase of trial design.	Not screening programme related
Frego M.[99]	Dysplasia in ulcerative colitis: Still a challenge.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Fu, Mei R[100]	Psychosocial impact of lymphedema: A systematic review of literature from 2004 to 2011. [References].	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Gadducci A.[101]	Smoking habit, immune suppression, oral contraceptive use, and hormone replacement therapy use and cervical carcinogenesis: A review of the literature.	Not screening programme related
Gajjar K.[102]	Pain relief for women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia undergoing colposcopy treatment.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Garbee D.[103]	The impact of side effects on adherence and persistence with oral anti-cancer agents in women diagnosed with early stage breast cancer: A systematic review of quantitative evidence protocol.	Not screening programme related

Garrett M.M.[104]	Strategies to improve colorectal cancer screening rates.	Non-systematic reviews
Gaskie S.[105]	Are breast self-exams or clinical exams effective for screening breast cancer?.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Gatsonis C.[106]	Meta-analysis of diagnostic and screening test accuracy evaluations: Methodologic primer.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Gelband H.[107]	Costs, affordability, and feasibility of an essential package of cancer control interventions in low-income and middle-income countries: Key messages from Disease Control Priorities, 3rd edition.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Gierisch JM[108]	Prioritization of research addressing management strategies for ductal carcinoma in situ.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Gimeno Garcia A.Z.[109]	Public awareness of colorectal cancer screening: Knowledge, attitudes, and interventions for increasing screening uptake.	Non-systematic reviews
Goddard K.A.B.[110]	Building the evidence base for decision making in cancer genomic medicine using comparative effectiveness research.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Goldsmith MR[111]	Synthesising quantitative and qualitative research in evidence-based patient information.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Gudgeon J.M.[112]	Lynch syndrome screening implementation: Business analysis by a healthcare system.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Gutierrez L.Q.[113]	Review of an economic analysis of the emerging technologies in oncology. [Spanish]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Habbema J.D.F.[114]	Models in the development of clinical practice guidelines.	

Hackney L.[115]	Review of the evidence on the use of arbitration or consensus within breast screening: A systematic scoping review.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Hakonsen S.J.[116]	Diagnostic accuracy of a validated screening tool for monitoring nutritional status in patients with colorectal cancer: A systematic review protocol.	Cancer treatment and care
Hakonsen S.J.[117]	Diagnostic test accuracy of nutritional tools used to identify undernutrition in patients with colorectal cancer: A systematic review.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Hamilton J.G.[118]	Primary care providers' cancer genetic testing-related knowledge, attitudes, and communication behaviors: A systematic review and research agenda.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Hammond W.A.[119]	Pharmacologic resistance in colorectal cancer: A review.	Not screening programme related
Harris R.[120]	Reconsidering the criteria for evaluating proposed screening programs: Reflections from 4 current and former members of the U.S. preventive services task force.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Haugsdal M.L.[121]	HPV and Cervical Dysplasia in Adolescents: A Progressive March Toward Prevention.	Not screening programme related
Havlicek A.J. [122]	The community pharmacist's role in cancer screening and prevention.	Non-systematic reviews
Hay J.L.[123]	Does worry about breast cancer predict screening behaviors? A meta-analysis of the prospective evidence.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Heitman S.J.[124]	Colorectal cancer screening for average-risk north americans: An economic evaluation.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Heitman SJ[125]	Colorectal cancer screening for average-risk North Americans: an economic evaluation.[Erratum appears in PLoS Med. 2012 Nov;9(11). doi:	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve

	10.1371/annotation/0fd49c83-2c6d-42b5-a8c1-45a0aaedaa77]	
Hendry M.[126]	"HPV? Never heard of it!": A systematic review of girls' and parents' information needs, views and preferences about human papillomavirus vaccination.	Not screening programme related
Heresbach D.[127]	CT colonoscopy in 2007: The next standard for colorectal cancer screening in average-risk subjects?.	No abstract in a European Union language
Herrmann A.[128]	Examining where research efforts on cancer-related decision aids have been made.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Hewitson P.[129]	Screening for colorectal cancer using the faecal occult blood test, Hemoccult.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Hewitson P[129] DUPLICATE	Screening for colorectal cancer using the faecal occult blood test, Hemoccult. [Review] [46 refs][Update of Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2000;(2):CD001216; PMID: 10796760]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Hirschhorn L.R.[130]	Cancer and the 'other' noncommunicable chronic diseases in older people living with HIV/AIDS in resource-limited settings: A challenge to success.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Husereau D.[131]	Health Technology Assessment: A Review of International Activity and Examples of Approaches With Computed Tomographic Colonography.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Hwang E.S.[132]	Patterns of breast magnetic resonance imaging use an opportunity for data-driven resource allocation.	Not screening programme related
Idy S.R.[133]	Information needs and patient satisfaction among colorectal cancer patients.	Cancer treatment and care
Ilbawi A.M.[134]	Global Cancer Consortia: Moving from Consensus to Practice.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve

Ilic D.[135]	Screening for prostate cancer. [Review][Update of Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;(3):CD004720; PMID: 16856057]	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Jacob I.[136]	Evaluating the economic impact of technological advances in diagnostics: The case of high throughput sequencing for hereditary breast cancer.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Janssens J.F.[137]	Faecal occult blood test as a screening test for colorectal cancer.	Non-systematic reviews
Jatoi I.[138]	Cancer screening.	Non-systematic reviews
Jensen A.E.[139]	Panel management in primary care: A systematic review.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Jentschke M.[140]	Systematic comparison of different meta-analyses, systematic reviews and HTA reports on cervical cancer screening based on cytology or HPV test.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Jentschke M.[141]	Systematic comparison of different meta-analyses, systematic reviews and HTA reports on cervical cancer screening based on cytology or HPV test. [German]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Jeong K.E.[142]	Review of economic evidence in the prevention and early detection of colorectal cancer.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Jones C.E.L.[143]	A systematic review of barriers to early presentation and diagnosis with breast cancer among black women.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Jones CE[143]	A systematic review of barriers to early presentation and diagnosis with breast cancer among black women. [Review]	Not screening programme related
Jones S.C.[144]	Womens awareness of cancer symptoms: A review of the literature.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)

Jorgensen K.J.[145]	Mammography screening. Benefits, harms, and informed choice.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Juhl Jorgensen K.[146]	Mammography screening.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Karnon J.[147]	Liquid-based cytology in cervical screening: An updated rapid and systematic review and economic analysis.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Karnon J[147] DUPLICATE	Liquid-based cytology in cervical screening: an updated rapid and systematic review and economic analysis. [Review] [119 refs]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Kearney A.J.[148]	Viewpoint: It is time to reconsider policy for population-based mammography screening.	Non-systematic reviews
Khan N.F.[149]	Long-term survivors of adult cancers and uptake of primary health services: A systematic review.	Cancer treatment and care
Khodakarami n. [150]	The new guideline for cervical cancer screening in low risk Iranian women. [Persian]	
Kiechle E.S.[151]	Different Measures, Different Outcomes? A Systematic Review of Performance-Based versus Self-Reported Measures of Health Literacy and Numeracy.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Kizior A.[152]	Barriers to participation in breast and cervical cancer screening (analysis of international research).	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Kolahdooz F[153]	Knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours towards cancer screening in indigenous populations: a systematic review. [Review]	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Konrad G.[154]	Dietary interventions for fecal occult blood test screening: Systematic review of the literature.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Kramer B.S.[155]	Use of 5alpha-Reductase Inhibitors for Prostate Cancer Chemoprevention: American Society of Clinical	Screening for other cancers/diseases

	Oncology/American Urological Association 2008 Clinical Practice Guideline.	
Kramer B.S.[156]	Getting it right: Being smarter about clinical trials - A major NIH meeting led to recommendations for conducting better clinical trials.	Not screening programme related
Kremers HM[157]	Preventive medical services among patients with rheumatoid arthritis.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Kyrgiou M.[158]	Management of minor cervical cytological abnormalities: A systematic review and a meta-analysis of the literature.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Kyrgiou M.[159]	Obstetric outcomes after conservative treatment for intraepithelial or early invasive cervical lesions: Systematic review and meta-analysis.	Cancer treatment and care
Labianca R.[160]	Challenges in the treatment of gastrointestinal tumours.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Lam K.[161]	DNA methylation based biomarkers in colorectal cancer: A systematic review.	Cancer treatment and care
Lamb G.C.[162]	Does public reporting impact quality of care in wisconsin?.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Lange M.[163]	Cognitive dysfunctions in elderly cancer patients: A new challenge for oncologists.	Not screening programme related
Lansdorp-Vogelaar I.[164]	Cost-effectiveness of colorectal cancer screening.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Launois R.[165]	Systematic review and bivariate/HSROC meta-analysis of immunochemical and guaiac fecal occult blood tests for colorectal cancer screening	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve

Lawal O.[166]	Mammography screening in Nigeria - A critical comparison to other countries.	Non-systematic reviews
Le M.T.[167]	Is the false-positive rate in mammography in North America too high?.	Non-systematic reviews
Lee S.J.[168]	Antenatal breast examination for promoting breastfeeding.	Not screening programme related
Leung D.Y.P.[169]	Contributing factors to colorectal cancer screening among Chinese people: A review of quantitative studies.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Lewis C.L.[170]	Colorectal cancer: screening.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Lewis CL[170] DUPLICATE	Colorectal cancer: screening. [Review]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Lieberman D.[171]	Standardized colonoscopy reporting and data system: report of the Quality Assurance Task Group of the National Colorectal Cancer Roundtable.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Lin Q.[172]	The role of pharmaceutical care in the oncology department.	Cancer treatment and care
Lockwood S.[173]	Cost-effectiveness of serum CA125 compared to transvaginal ultrasound as a screening test for ovarian cancer: A systematic review protocol.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Lowery J.T.[174]	Understanding the contribution of family history to colorectal cancer risk and its clinical implications: A state-of-the-science review.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)

Lusardi M.M.[175]	Using walking speed in clinical practice: Interpreting age-, gender-, and function-specific norms.	Not screening programme related
Lyman G.H.[176]	The challenge of systematic reviews of diagnostic and staging studies in cancer.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Maciosek M.V.[177]	Priorities Among Effective Clinical Preventive Services. Results of a Systematic Review and Analysis.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Mai V.[178]	Ontario Cervical Cancer Screening Clinical Practice Guidelines.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Malila N.[179]	European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. First Edition Organisation.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Manca D.P.[180]	Closing arguments - Yes.	No abstract in a European Union language
Mandelblatt J.[181]	The cost-effectiveness of screening mammography beyond age 65 years: a systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Mansfield C.[182]	Stated Preference for Cancer Screening: A Systematic Review of the Literature, 1990-2013.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Marks D[183]	Screening for hypercholesterolaemia versus case finding for familial hypercholesterolaemia: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis. [Review] [134 refs]	Screening for other cancers/diseases
McCombie A.[184]	Computerised Cognitive Behavioural Therapy for Psychological Distress in Patients with Physical Illnesses: A Systematic Review.	Not screening programme related
McLachlan SA[185]	Patients' experiences and reported barriers to colonoscopy in the screening context--a systematic review of the literature. [Review]	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
McLachlin CM[186]	Ontario cervical cancer screening clinical practice guidelines. [Review] [45 refs]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve

Melnikow, Joy[187]	Counterpoint: Randomized trials provide the strongest evidence for clinical guidelines: The US Preventive Services Task Force and prostate cancer screening. [References].	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Meyerson B.E.[188]	Increasing efforts to reduce cervical cancer through state-level comprehensive cancer control planning.	Non-systematic reviews
Mezei A.K.[189]	Cost-effectiveness of cervical cancer screening methods in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Mills E.D.[190]	A review of sexual health care access and outcomes among women who have sex with women.	Not screening programme related
Molina Y.[191]	Breast cancer interventions serving US-based Latinas: Current approaches and directions.	Non-systematic reviews
Montella M.[192]	Determinant factors for diagnostic delay in operable breast cancer patients.	Other study types (controlled trials, observational studies)
Moore S.P.[193]	Cancer incidence in indigenous people in Australia, New Zealand, Canada, and the USA: A comparative population-based study.	Not screening programme related
Mori R.[194]	Human papillomavirus vaccines: Global versus Japanese situations.	Not screening programme related
Mork M.[195]	Lynch Syndrome: A Primer for Urologists and Panel Recommendations.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Morton R.[196]	Economic analysis of the breast cancer screening program used by the UK NHS: Should the program be maintained?.	Other study types (controlled trials, observational studies)
Murphy J.[197]	HPV Testing in Primary Cervical Screening: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve

Murphy J.[198]	Cervical Screening: A Guideline for Clinical Practice in Ontario.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Murphy J.[199]	The organization of colposcopy services in Ontario: Recommended framework.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Muszbek N.[200]	Economic evaluation of population-based mass screening for the early detection of cancer: a systematic review. [Hungarian]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Nahvijou A.[201]	A systematic review of economic aspects of cervical cancer screening strategies worldwide: discrepancy between economic analysis and policymaking.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Newall A.T.[202]	Cost-effectiveness analyses of human papillomavirus vaccination.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Nicholson F.B.[203]	Review article: Population screening for colorectal cancer.	Non-systematic reviews
Norredam M.[204]	Migrants' utilization of somatic healthcare services in Europe--a systematic review.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Okabayashi K.[205]	Body mass index category as a risk factor for colorectal adenomas: A systematic review and meta-analysis.	Not screening programme related
Oosterhoff M.[206]	A Systematic Review of Health Economic Evaluations of Diagnostic Biomarkers.	Not screening programme related
Padgett L.[207]	The American cancer society survivorship guidelines: From systematic review to dissemination tools.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve

Papagrigoriadis S.[208]	Follow-up of patients with colorectal cancer: The evidence is in favour but we are still in need of a protocol.	Cancer treatment and care
Patchell S.[209]	Is bioimpedance spectroscopy the most effective way of screening for lymphedema following breast cancer? a systematic review.	Cancer treatment and care
Patel H.[210]	Knowledge of human papillomavirus and the human papillomavirus vaccine in European adolescents: A systematic review.	Not screening programme related
Payne S.[211]	Not an equal opportunity disease - a sex and gender-based review of colorectal cancer in men and women: Part I.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Pearce A.[212]	Are the true impacts of adverse events considered in economic models of antineoplastic drugs? a systematic review.	Cancer treatment and care
Perlman S.[213]	Knowledge and awareness of HPV vaccine and acceptability to vaccinate in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Petelin L.[214]	A systematic review of comparative effectiveness models and economic evaluations for cancer risk management strategies in brca1/2 mutation carriers.	Not screening programme related
Phillips K.A.[215]	A review of studies examining stated preferences for cancer screening.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Pignone M.[216]	Challenges in systematic reviews of economic analyses.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Pignone M.[217]	Cost-effectiveness analyses of colorectal cancer screening: A systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Pignone M.P.[218]	Costs and cost-effectiveness of full implementation of a biennial faecal occult blood test screening program for bowel cancer in Australia.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve

Pinzon Florez C.E.[219]	Cost-effectiveness of screening and early detection strategies for colorectal cancer in Colombia.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Porte F.[220]	CT colonography for surveillance of patients with colorectal cancer: Systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic efficacy.	Other study types (controlled trials, observational studies)
Porzsolt, Franz [Ed][221]	Optimizing health: Improving the value of healthcare delivery.	Not screening programme related
Pothiwala P.[222]	Ethnic variation in risk for osteoporosis among women: A review of biological and behavioral factors.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Praditsitthikorn N.[223]	Economic evaluation of policy options for prevention and control of cervical cancer in Thailand.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Prince A.E.R.[224]	Is there evidence that we should screen the general population for lynch syndrome with genetic testing? A systematic review.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Pron G.[225]	Prostate-specific antigen (Psa)-based population screening for prostate cancer: An evidence-based analysis.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Pron G[225] DUPLICATE	Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA)-Based Population Screening for Prostate Cancer: An Evidence-Based Analysis. [Review]	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Pruitt S.L.[226]	Association of area socioeconomic status and breast, cervical, and colorectal cancer screening: A systematic review.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Pruitt, Sandi L[227]	The association of area socioeconomic status and cancer screening: A systematic review and multilevel study.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)

Qasim A.[228]	Primary prevention of colorectal cancer: Are we closer to reality?.	Non-systematic reviews
Qureshi Z.[229]	Battling fear: A potential key to improving colorectal cancer screening.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Raichand S.[230]	Conclusions in systematic reviews of mammography for breast cancer screening and associations with review design and author characteristics.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Rainey L.[231]	Women's perceptions on personalised risk-based breast cancer screening and primary prevention: A systematic review.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Ravven S.[232]	Cancer treatment for people with mental illness: A systematic review of the literature.	Cancer treatment and care
Reames BN[233]	Critical evaluation of oncology clinical practice guidelines. [Review]	Cancer treatment and care
Reeves M.M.[234]	Cardiovascular disease incidence and mortality in breast cancer survivors compared to the general population: Systematic review and meta-analysis.	Cancer treatment and care
Reidy M.[235]	Cancer screening in women with intellectual disabilities: An Irish perspective.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Rezaianzadeh A.[236]	The incidence of breast cancer in Iran: A systematic review and meta-analysis.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Rice L.J.[237]	Social Networks Across Common Cancer Types: The Evidence, Gaps, and Areas of Potential Impact.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Richardson A.K.[238]	Screening for colorectal cancer and prostate cancer: Challenges for New Zealand.	Non-systematic reviews
Rivers D.[239]	A systematic review of the factors influencing African Americans' participation in cancer clinical trials.	Not screening programme related

Rizer A.M.[240]	Challenges in Intervention Research for Lesbian and Bisexual Women.	Not screening programme related
Rogers H.[241]	Missed opportunities in the diagnosis of colorectal cancer: A mixed methods study and medical records review of 252 recently diagnosed patients.	Other study types (controlled trials, observational studies)
Roland M.[242]	Mammotest and breast cancer screening. [French]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Ronco G.[243]	[Health technology assessment report: HPV DNA based primary screening for cervical cancer precursors]. [Italian]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Rubin D.T.[244]	Will a 5-ASA a day keep the cancer (and dysplasia) away?.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Runowicz C.D.[245]	American cancer society/American society of clinical oncology breast cancer survivorship care guideline.	Not screening programme related
Salvador S.[246]	No. 344-Opportunistic Salpingectomy and Other Methods of Risk Reduction for Ovarian/Fallopian Tube/Peritoneal Cancer in the General Population.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Samuel P.S.[247]	Breast, cervical, and colorectal cancer screening rates amongst female Cambodian, Somali, and Vietnamese immigrants in the USA.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Santesso N.[248]	World Health Organization Guidelines for treatment of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2-3 and screen-and-treat strategies to prevent cervical cancer.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Scherr C.L.[249]	Genetic counseling for hereditary breast and ovarian cancer among Puerto Rican women living in the United States.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)

Schiff G.D.[250]	Diagnostic pitfalls: A new paradigm to understand and prevent diagnostic error.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Schreuders E.H.[251]	Colorectal cancer screening: A global overview of existing programmes.	Non-systematic reviews
Schreuders, E.H.[252]	Meta-analysis on guaiac-based fecal occult blood tests versus fecal immunochemical tests for colorectal cancer screening in average-risk individuals.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Sculpher M.J.[253]	Generalisability in economic evaluation studies in healthcare: A review and case studies.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Senore C.[254]	Optimising colorectal cancer screening acceptance: A review.	Non-systematic reviews
Sharaf R.[255]	Uptake of genetic testing by relatives in Lynch syndrome: A systematic review.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Sharaf R.N.[255]	Uptake of Genetic Testing by Relatives of Lynch Syndrome Probands: A Systematic Review.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Sharma P.[256]	Advanced imaging in colonoscopy and its impact on quality.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Sheridan S.L.[257]	Shared decision making about screening and chemoprevention: A suggested approach from the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.	Non-systematic reviews
Sherman K.A.[258]	Psychosocial approaches to participation in BRCA1/2 genetic risk assessment among African American women: A systematic review.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)

Shojania K.G.[259]	Taking advantage of the explosion of systematic reviews: an efficient MEDLINE search strategy.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Shrivastava S.[260]	Exploring the role of dietary factors in the development of breast cancer.	Not screening programme related
Shrivastava S.R.B.[261]	Assessing the contribution of dietary factors in breast cancer.	Cancer treatment and care
Shuhong W.[262]	Cost and cost-effectiveness of digital mammography compared with film-screen mammography in Australia.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Siebert U.[263]	Cost-effectiveness of human papillomavirus testing in cervical cancer screening. A review of decision analyses. [German]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Sigurdsson J.A.[264]	Marginal public health gain of screening for colorectal cancer: Modelling study, based on WHO and national databases in the Nordic countries.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Silva N.S.[265]	Comparison of markov models used for the economic evaluation of colorectal cancer screening: A systematic review.	Other study types (controlled trials, observational studies)
Sinaiko A.D.[266]	Synthesis of research on patient-centered medical homes brings systematic differences into relief.	Not screening programme related
Skirton H.[267]	Genomic medicine: What are the challenges for the National Health Service?.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Skroumpelos A.[268]	Discussing the introduction of national screening programs in Greece: A delphi study.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Smith J.L.[269]	Adaptation of an effective cervical cancer screening intervention: Assessing barriers and facilitators to screening among Latinas and African American women.	Non-systematic reviews

Smith J.S.[270]	Cervical cancer and use of hormonal contraceptives: A systematic review.	Not screening programme related
Snowsill T.[271]	A systematic review and economic evaluation of diagnostic strategies for Lynch syndrome.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Sritharan J.[272]	The relationship between modifiable environmental risk factors and colorectal cancer: A systematic review.	Not screening programme related
Sritipsukho P.[273]	Accuracy of visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA) for cervical cancer screening: a systematic review.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Stange, Kurt C[274]	In this issue: Many arrows rather than magic bullets. [References].	Not screening programme related
Steinwachs D.[275]	NIH state-of-the-science conference statement: Enhancing use and quality of colorectal cancer screening.	Non-systematic reviews
Stewart A.[276]	Genetic Testing Strategies in Newly Diagnosed Endometrial Cancer Patients Aimed at Reducing Morbidity or Mortality from Lynch Syndrome in the Index Case or Her Relatives.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Stewart D.E.[277]	Self-Collected Samples for Testing of Oncogenic Human Papillomavirus: A Systematic Review.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Stromsvik N[278]	Men in the women's world of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer--a systematic review.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Stuart G.[279]	Report of the 2003 Pan-Canadian Forum on Cervical Cancer Prevention and Control. The recommendations reported herein reflect the decisions of the pan-Canadian forum participants as individual experts and do not	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve

	necessarily reflect official policies of their respective organizations.	
Sullivan W.[280]	Developing national guidance on genetic testing for breast cancer predisposition: The role of economic evidence?.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Sutherland J.[281]	All-cause 30-day postoperative mortality for older patients in developed countries presenting for elective colorectal surgery: A systematic review protocol.	Cancer treatment and care
Tappenden P.[282]	Whole disease modeling to inform resource allocation decisions in cancer: A methodological framework.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Tavakolpour S.[283]	Hepatitis B reactivation during immunosuppressive therapy or cancer chemotherapy, management, and prevention: A comprehensive review-screened.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Tepper N.K.[284]	Laboratory screening prior to initiating contraception: A systematic review.	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Tiwari A.K.[285]	Progress against cancer (1971-2011): How far have we come?.	Non-systematic reviews
Towler B.P.[286]	Screening for colorectal cancer using the faecal occult blood test, hemoccult.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Tsu V.[287]	Human papillomavirus vaccination in low-resource countries: Lack of evidence to support vaccinating sexually active women.	Not screening programme related
Tuffaha H.W.[288]	Value of information analysis in oncology: The value of evidence and evidence of value.	Not screening programme related
van der Heide I.[289]	Health literacy and informed decision making regarding colorectal cancer screening: a systematic review.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)

Van Herwaarden Y.J.[290]	Low prevalence of serrated polyposis syndrome in screening populations: A systematic review.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Vasilevska M.[291]	Relative risk of cervical cancer in indigenous women in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, and the United States: A systematic review and meta-analysis.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Vetto, John T[292]	Teaching Breast Self-Examination: A Tale of Two Cities. [References].	Not screening programme related
Vinnicombe S.[293]	Full-field digital versus screen-film mammography: Comparison within the UK breast screening program and systematic review of published data.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Vourlekis B.[294]	Evidence-based assessment in case management to improve abnormal cancer screen follow-up.	Non-systematic reviews
Wang S[295]	Cost and cost-effectiveness of digital mammography compared with film-screen mammography in Australia. [Review] [18 refs]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Watine J.C.[296]	Mass colorectal cancer screening: Methodological quality of practice guidelines is not related to their content validity.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Weinstein L.C.[297]	Cancer screening, prevention, and treatment in people with mental illness.	Non-systematic reviews
Weiss J.E.[298]	Challenges With Identifying Indication for Examination in Breast Imaging as a Key Clinical Attribute in Practice, Research, and Policy.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Wells K.J.[299]	Patient navigation: State of the art or is it science?.	Non-systematic reviews

Whitlock E.P.[300]	Screening for colorectal cancer: A targeted, updated systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Whitlock E.P.[301]	Liquid-based cytology and human papillomavirus testing to screen for cervical cancer: a systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Whop L.[302]	Navigating the cancer journey: A review of patient navigator programs for indigenous cancer patients.	Cancer treatment and care
Wille-Jorgensen P[303]	Systematic reviews and meta-analyses in coloproctology: interpretation and potential pitfalls. [Review] [75 refs]	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Wollins D.S.[304]	Q and A: Magnetic resonance imaging in the detection and evaluation of breast cancer.	Not screening programme related
Wong V.S.W.[305]	Effectiveness of colorectal cancer preventive measures among ethnic minorities-an integrative review.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Wood B.[306]	Eliciting women's cervical screening preferences: A mixed methods systematic review protocol.	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Wortley S[307]	What is the role of community preference information in health technology assessment decision making? A case study of colorectal cancer screening.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Zapka J.[308]	Factors in quality care-the case of follow-up to abnormal cancer screening tests-problems in the steps and interfaces of care.	Non-systematic reviews
Zapka J.M.[309]	Follow-up to abnormal cancer screening tests: Considering the multilevel context of care.	Not screening programme related
Zhang H.[310]	Temporal trends, incidence, and prevalence of young-onset colorectal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis.	Cancer treatment and care

Zhang Z.[311]	Individualized management of pregnant women with high hepatitis B virus DNA levels.	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Zhao F.-H.[312]	Pooled analysis of a self-sampling HPV DNA test as a cervical cancer primary screening method.	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Zwahlen M.[313]	Mammography screening in Switzerland: Limited evidence from limited data.	Non-systematic reviews

Duplicates not excluded electronically due to differences in records (main authors: Escoffrey, Dhana, Lewis, Hewitson, Ilic, Karnon, Pron, Chamot).

Web of Science – studies excluded based on title/abstract

First Author	Title	Reasons for Exclusion 1
Abdullahi, Leila H.[314]	Knowledge, attitudes and practices on adolescent vaccination among adolescents, parents and teachers in Africa: A systematic review	Not screening programme related
Akar, S. Z.[315]	The Role of Cultural Factors in Mammography Screening: Systematic Review	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Balmer, Claire[316]	A qualitative systematic review exploring lay understanding of cancer by adults without a cancer diagnosis	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Belkic, Karen[317]	Imaging surveillance programs for women at high breast cancer risk in Europe: Are women from ethnic minority groups adequately included?	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Boger, Emma[318]	Self-Management and Self-Management Support Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Mixed Research Synthesis of Stakeholder Views	Cancer treatment and care

Braat, Manon N. G. J. A.[319]	Radioembolization-induced liver disease: a systematic review	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Brigic, Adela[320]	A systematic review regarding the feasibility and safety of endoscopic full thickness resection (EFTR) for colonic lesions	Cancer treatment and care
Ciria, Ruben[321]	Comparative Short-term Benefits of Laparoscopic Liver Resection: 9000 Cases and Climbing	Cancer treatment and care
Comino, Elizabeth Jean[322]	A systematic review of interventions to enhance access to best practice primary health care for chronic disease management, prevention and episodic care	Not screening programme related
El-Kareh, Robert[323]	Use of health information technology to reduce diagnostic errors	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Fone, D[324]	Systematic review of the use and value of computer simulation modelling in population health and health care delivery	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Fowler, Robert A.[325]	An Official American Thoracic Society Systematic Review: The Association between Health Insurance Status and Access, Care Delivery, and Outcomes for Patients Who Are Critically Ill	Not screening programme related
Franco, EL; [326]	Evidence-based policy recommendations on cancer screening and prevention	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Goossens, Joline[327]	Cancer patients' and professional caregivers' needs, preferences and factors associated with receiving and providing fertility-related information: A mixed-methods systematic review	Cancer treatment and care
Graetz, V.[328]	Utilization of health care services by migrants in Europe-a systematic literature review	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Green, BB[329]	Breast cancer screening controversies	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve

Greenwald, Peter[330]	Do We Make Optimal Use of the Potential of Cancer Prevention?	Not screening programme related
Greer, Joseph A.[331]	A Systematic Review of Adherence to Oral Antineoplastic Therapies	Cancer treatment and care
Guirguis-Blake, Janelle M.[332]	Periodic Screening Pelvic Examination Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Herrmann, Anne[333]	Wilfully out of sight? A literature review on the effectiveness of cancer-related decision aids and implementation strategies	Non-systematic reviews
Hoffmann, Tammy C.[334]	Patients' Expectations of the Benefits and Harms of Treatments, Screening, and Tests A Systematic Review	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Howell, Doris[335]	A Pan-Canadian practice guideline: prevention, screening, assessment, and treatment of sleep disturbances in adults with cancer	Cancer treatment and care
Hurtado-de-Mendoza, Alejandra[336]	Behavioral Interventions to Enhance Adherence to Hormone Therapy in Breast Cancer Survivors: A Systematic Literature Review	Cancer treatment and care
Janberidze, Elene[337]	How Are Patient Populations Characterized in Studies Investigating Depression in Advanced Cancer? Results From a Systematic Literature Review	Cancer treatment and care
Johnson, Wendy A.[338]	Intimate Partner Violence Among Women Diagnosed With Cancer	Cancer treatment and care
Kim, Kyounghae[339]	Effects of Community-Based Health Worker Interventions to Improve Chronic Disease Management and Care Among Vulnerable Populations: A Systematic Review	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Kyrgiou, Maria[340]	Adiposity and cancer at major anatomical sites: umbrella review of the literature	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Lawrence, Renae A.[341]	Primary Care Physicians' Perspectives of Their Role in Cancer Care: A Systematic Review	Cancer treatment and care

Luzak, Agnes[342]	Clinical effectiveness of cancer screening biomarker tests offered as self-pay health service: a systematic review	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Macefield, Rhiannon C.[343]	A systematic review of on-site monitoring methods for health-care randomised controlled trials	Not screening programme related
McCready, T[344]	Breast self-examination and breast awareness: a literature review	Not screening programme related
Meiklejohn, Judith A.[345]	The role of the GP in follow-up cancer care: a systematic literature review	Cancer treatment and care
Miovic, Michael[346]	Psychiatric disorders in advanced cancer	Cancer treatment and care
Nerich, Virginie[347]	Cost-utility analyses of drug therapies in breast cancer: a systematic review	Cancer treatment and care
Noble, Meredith[348]	Computer-aided detection mammography for breast cancer screening: systematic review and meta-analysis	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Oeffinger, Kevin C.[349]	Breast Cancer Screening for Women at Average Risk 2015 Guideline Update From the American Cancer Society	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Otte, Julie L.[350]	Systematic review of sleep disorders in cancer patients: can the prevalence of sleep disorders be ascertained?	Cancer treatment and care
Patel, SA[351]	Outcomes in older people undergoing operative intervention for colorectal cancer	Cancer treatment and care
Pearson, Sallie-Anne[352]	Studies using Australia's Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme data for pharmacoepidemiological research: a systematic review of the published literature (1987-2013)	Not screening programme related
Pirrone, Federica[353]	Olfactory detection of cancer by trained sniffer dogs: A systematic review of the literature	Not screening programme related

Powe, BD; Finnie, R.[354]	Cancer fatalism - The state of the science	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Reynolds, Lisa M.[355]	Disgust and Behavioral Avoidance in Colorectal Cancer Screening and Treatment A Systematic Review and Research Agenda	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Roine, Eija[356]	Cost-effectiveness of interventions based on physical exercise in the treatment of various diseases: A systematic literature review	Cancer treatment and care
Rutter, Matthew D.[357]	British Society of Gastroenterology/Association of Coloproctologists of Great Britain and Ireland guidelines for the management of large non-pedunculated colorectal polyps	Cancer treatment and care
Senore, Carlo[358]	Organization of surveillance in GI practice	Not screening programme related
Shan, Lianfeng[359]	PCR-Based Assays versus Direct Sequencing for Evaluating the Effect of KRAS Status on Anti-EGFR Treatment Response in Colorectal Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis	Not screening programme related
Shepherd, J. P.[360]	Interventions for encouraging sexual behaviours intended to prevent cervical cancer	Not screening programme related
Singal, Amit G.[361]	Early Detection, Curative Treatment, and Survival Rates for Hepatocellular Carcinoma Surveillance in Patients with Cirrhosis: A Meta-analysis	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Stock, Christian[362]	Population-based prevalence estimates of history of colonoscopy or sigmoidoscopy: review and analysis of recent trends	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Subnis, Utkarsh B.[363]	Psychosocial Therapies for Patients With Cancer: A Current Review of Interventions Using Psychoneuroimmunology-Based Outcome Measures	Cancer treatment and care

Tapia-Siles, Silvia C.[364]	Current state of micro-robots/devices as substitutes for screening colonoscopy: assessment based on technology readiness levels	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Tricco, Andrea C.[365]	Canadian oncogenic human papillomavirus cervical infection prevalence: Systematic review and meta-analysis	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
van den Beuken-van Everdingen, Marieke H. J.[366]	Update on Prevalence of Pain in Patients With Cancer: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis	Cancer treatment and care
Vermeulen, V[367]	Impact of health technology assessment on preventive screening in Belgium - Case studies of mammography in breast cancer, PSA screening in prostate cancer, and ultrasound in normal pregnancy	Non-systematic reviews
Wade, R.[368]	Adjunctive colposcopy technologies for examination of the uterine cervix - DySIS, LuViva Advanced Cervical Scan and Niris Imaging System: a systematic review and economic evaluation	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Wainberg, S[369]	Utilization of screening and preventive surgery among unaffected carriers of a BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene mutation	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Wentzensen, Nicolas[370]	Early Detection of Cervical Carcinomas Finding an Overall Approach	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Wigle, Jannah[371]	Human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine implementation in low and middle-income countries (LMICs): Health system experiences and prospects	Not screening programme related
Wong, Carlos K. H.[372]	Possible Impact of Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio (ICER) on Decision Making for Cancer Screening in Hong Kong: A Systematic Review	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Zapka, JG[373]	A framework for improving the quality of cancer care: The case of breast and cervical cancer screening	Non-systematic reviews

Cochrane Reviews

First Author	Title	Reason for exclusion
Gøtzsche, P [374]	Screening for breast cancer with mammography	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Gartlehner, G[375]	Mammography in combination with breast ultrasonography versus mammography for breast cancer screening in women at average risk	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Ilic, D[135]DUPLICATE	Screening for prostate cancer	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Holme, O[376]	Flexible sigmoidoscopy versus faecal occult blood testing for colorectal cancer screening in asymptomatic individuals	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Kösters, PJ[377]	TI: Regular self-examination or clinical examination for early detection of breast cancer	Not screening programme related
Posadzki, P[378]	TI: Automated telephone communication systems for preventive healthcare and management of long-term conditions	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Miller, D[379]	TI: Interventions for relieving the pain and discomfort of screening mammography	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Hilgart, JS[380]	TI: Cancer genetic risk assessment for individuals at risk of familial breast cancer	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Hafner, S[381]	TI: Water infusion versus air insufflation for colonoscopy	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Kyrgiou, M[382]	TI: Immediate referral to colposcopy versus cytological surveillance for minor cervical cytological abnormalities in the absence of HPV test	Cancer treatment and care

Grabosch, SM[383]	TI: Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents to induce regression and prevent the progression of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia	Cancer treatment and care
Brockbank, E[384]	TI: Pre-treatment surgical para-aortic lymph node assessment in locally advanced cervical cancer	Cancer treatment and care
Cruickshank, S[385]	TI: Specialist breast care nurses for supportive care of women with breast cancer	Cancer treatment and care
Goodwin, A[386]	TI: Post-operative radiotherapy for ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast	Cancer treatment and care
Galaal, K[387]	TI: Interventions for reducing anxiety in women undergoing colposcopy	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)
Rydzewska, L[388]	TI: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus surgery versus surgery for cervical cancer	Cancer treatment and care
Scatchard, K[389]	TI: Chemotherapy for metastatic and recurrent cervical cancer	Cancer treatment and care
Arbyn, M[390]	TI: Low cost versus other screening tests to detect cervical cancer or precancer in developing countries	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
van der Heijden, E[391]	TI: Follow-up strategies after treatment (large loop excision of the transformation zone (LLETZ)) for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN): Impact of human papillomavirus (HPV) test	Cancer treatment and care
Staley, H[392]	TI: Postoperative tamoxifen for ductal carcinoma in situ	Cancer treatment and care
Mao, C[393]	TI: Toremifene versus tamoxifen for advanced breast cancer	Cancer treatment and care
Moschetti, I[394]	TI: Follow-up strategies for women treated for early breast cancer	Cancer treatment and care
Martin-Hirsch, PPL[395]	TI: Collection devices for obtaining cervical cytology samples	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve

Van Roon, AHC[396]	TI: Guaiac-based faecal occult blood tests versus faecal immunochemical tests for colorectal cancer screening in average-risk individuals	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Flight, IHK[397]	TI: Interventions for improving uptake of population-based screening for colorectal cancer using fecal occult blood testing	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve – PROTOCOL ONLY
Khan, SM[398]	TI: Wound drainage after plastic and reconstructive surgery of the breast	Cancer treatment and care
Brown, SR[399]	TI: Chromoscopy versus conventional endoscopy for the detection of polyps in the colon and rectum	Targeted screening (at risk) or diagnostics (symptoms)
Skoetz, N[400]	TI: Prophylactic antibiotics or G(M)-CSF for the prevention of infections and improvement of survival in cancer patients receiving myelotoxic chemotherapy	Cancer treatment and care
Singh, H[401]	TI: Propofol for sedation during colonoscopy	Not screening programme related
Koliopoulos, G[402]	TI: Cytology versus HPV testing for cervical cancer screening in the general population	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Phillips, J[403]	TI: Screening sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy for reducing colorectal cancer mortality in asymptomatic persons	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Gillies, K[404]	TI: Decision aids for people considering taking part in clinical trials	Not screening programme related
Cavalheri, V[405]	TI: Exercise training undertaken by people within 12 months of lung resection for non-small cell lung cancer	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Hurlow, A[406]	TI: Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS) for cancer pain in adults	Cancer treatment and care
Morgan, J[407]	TI: Transparent Cap Colonoscopy versus Standard Colonoscopy to Improve Caecal Intubation	No reporting of barriers to screening or interventions to improve
Dobbins, M[408]	TI: School-based physical activity programs for promoting physical activity and fitness in children and adolescents aged 6 to 18	Not screening programme related

Macaya, A[409]	TI: Interventions for anal canal intraepithelial neoplasia	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Rollason, V[410]	TI: Interventions for treating bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ)	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Birch, DW[411]	TI: Heated insufflation with or without humidification for laparoscopic abdominal surgery	Screening for other cancers/diseases
Mosli, MH[412]	TI: Histologic scoring indices for evaluation of disease activity in ulcerative colitis	Screening for other cancers/diseases
O'Mahoney, M[413]	TI: Interventions for raising breast cancer awareness in women	Personal and cultural barriers (e.g. attitudes, beliefs, fear)

Studies excluded based on full text review

First Author		Reason for exclusion
Abdel-Aleem[1]	Mobile clinics for women's and children's health	No barriers or facilitators
Altobelli E.[414]	Breast cancer screening programmes across the WHO European region: Differences among countries based on national income level.	No barriers or facilitators
Andresen[415]	Pap, Mammography, and Clinical Breast Examination Screening Among Women with Disabilities: A Systematic Review	No barriers or facilitators
Anonymous[416]	Recommendations on screening for breast cancer in average-risk women aged 40-74 years.	No barriers or facilitators
Anonymous[417]	Abstracts of the British Psychosocial Oncology Society 2016 Annual Conference.	No barriers or facilitators
Asonganyi E.[418]	Factors affecting compliance with clinical practice guidelines for pap smear screening among healthcare providers in africa: systematic review and meta-summary of 2045 individuals. [Review]	Duplicate or secondary publication
Babu G.R.[419]	Epidemiological correlates of breast cancer in South India.	No barriers or facilitators

Baron R.C.[420]	Client-Directed Interventions to Increase Community Demand for Breast, Cervical, and Colorectal Cancer Screening. A Systematic Review.	Duplicate or secondary publication
Blackwell S.C.[421]	Enough evidence to treat? The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Guidelines.	Not systematic review
Brocklehurst[422]	Screening programmes for the early detection and prevention of oral cancer	Other - Not correct cancer type
Bromley E.G.[423]	A systematic review of patient, provider, and system barriers to colorectal cancer screening with colonoscopy in african-americans.	Duplicate or secondary publication
Brouwers M.C.[424]	What implementation interventions increase cancer screening rates? a systematic review.	Other - Cited SR in other publication
Devaney[425]	Navigating healthcare: gateways to cancer screening	Not systematic review
Dieng [72]	Screening programmes for the early detection and prevention of oral cancer	Other - Risk population
Donnelly[426]	Arab Women's Breast Cancer Screening Practices: A Literature Review	Not systematic review
Falk[427]	A systematic review of legislation impacting breast and cervical cancer prevention interventions in Texas.	Not systematic review
Flight,[397]	Interventions for improving uptake of population-based screening for colorectal cancer using fecal occult blood testing	No barriers or facilitators - PROTOCOL ONLY
Francis[428]	Cochrane Collaboration and Systematic Reviews - Age and Ageing	Personal and cultural
Haddock[429]	Clinic capacity and spatial access to preventive breast health services for underserved survivors across texas.	No barriers or facilitators
Holden, DJ[430]	Enhancing the use and quality of colorectal cancer screening	Duplicate or secondary publication
Honein-AbouHaidar G.N.[431]	Benefits and barriers to participation in colorectal cancer screening: A protocol for a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative studies.	No barriers or facilitators

Honein-AbouHaidar G.N.[431]	Benefits and barriers to participation in colorectal cancer screening: A protocol for a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative studies.	No barriers or facilitators
Ko, M[432]	Colorectal cancer screening behaviors among Korean Americans.	Not systematic review
Linsky, A[433]	Capsule Commentary on Genoff et al., Navigating Language Barriers: A Systematic Review of Patient Navigators' Impact on Cancer Screening for Limited English Proficient Patients.	Not systematic review
Lionis, C[434]	Colorectal cancer screening and the challenging role of general practitioner/family physician: An issue of quality.	Not systematic review
McLachlan S.[435]	Patients' experiences and reported barriers to screening colonoscopy: A systematic review.	Duplicate or secondary publication
Mema[436]	Integrated cancer screening performance indicators: A systematic review.	No barriers or facilitators
Mitchell, AJ[437]	Breast cancer screening in women with mental illness: comparative meta-analysis of mammography uptake. [Review]	No barriers or facilitators
Rossi P.G.[438]	[Methods to increase participation in cancer screening programmes]. [Italian]	Duplicate or secondary publication
Sabatino[439]	Interventions to Increase Recommendation and Delivery of Screening for Breast, Cervical, and Colorectal Cancers by Healthcare Providers. Systematic Reviews of Provider Assessment and Feedback and Provider Incentives.	Not systematic review
Saitz[440]	'We do not see the lens through which we look': Screening mammography evidence and non-financial conflicts of interest.	Not systematic review
Sarai Racey C.[441]	Self-collected HPV testing improves participation in cervical cancer screening: A systematic review and meta-analysis.	Duplicate or secondary publication

Smith, AA[442]	Advanced practice registered nurses, physician assistants and cancer prevention and screening: a systematic review.	No barriers or facilitators
Vernon[443]	Interventions to promote repeat breast cancer screening with mammography	No barriers or facilitators
Viswanathan, M[444]	Outcomes of community health worker interventions.	Duplicate or secondary publication
Whop[302]	A systematic review of patient navigator programs for indigenous cancer patients.	Not systematic review
Yabroff, KR[445]	Advancing comparative studies of patterns of care and economic outcomes in cancer: Challenges and opportunities.	No barriers or facilitators
Zapka [309]	Follow up to abnormal cancer screening tests	Duplicate or secondary publication

References

1. Abdel-Aleem, H., et al., *Mobile clinics for women's and children's health*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2016. **2016 (8) (no pagination)**(CD009677).
2. Agha, R.A., T. Goodacre, and D.P. Orgill, *Use of autologous fat grafting for reconstruction postmastectomy and breast conserving surgery: A systematic review protocol*. BMJ Open, 2013. **3 (10) (no pagination)**(e003709).
3. Agha, R.A., et al., *Nipple sparing versus skin sparing mastectomy: A systematic review protocol*. BMJ Open, 2016. **6 (5) (no pagination)**(e010151).
4. Alexander, E.K., et al., *2017 Guidelines of the American Thyroid Association for the Diagnosis and Management of Thyroid Disease during Pregnancy and the Postpartum*. Thyroid, 2017. **27(3)**: p. 315-389.
5. Al-Foheidi, M., M.M. Al-Mansour, and E.M. Ibrahim, *Breast cancer screening: Review of benefits and harms, and recommendations for developing and low-income countries*. Medical Oncology, 2013. **30 (2) (no pagination)**(471).
6. Alipour, S. and A. Eskandari, *Systematic review of effects of pregnancy on breast and abdominal contour after TRAM/DIEP breast reconstruction in breast cancer survivors*. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2015. **152(1)**: p. 9-15.
7. Alsanea, N., et al., *National Guidelines for Colorectal Cancer Screening in Saudi Arabia with strength of recommendations and quality of evidence*. Annals of Saudi Medicine, 2015. **35(3)**: p. 189-195.
8. Altobelli, E., et al., *Colorectal cancer prevention in Europe: Burden of disease and status of screening programs*. Preventive Medicine, 2014. **62**: p. 132-141.

9. Andreeva, V.A. and P. Pokhrel, *Breast cancer screening utilization among Eastern European immigrant women worldwide: A systematic literature review and a focus on psychosocial barriers*. *Psycho-Oncology*, 2013. **22**(12): p. 2664-2675.
10. Anhang, R., A. Goodman, and S.J. Goldie, *HPV communication: Review of existing research and recommendations for patient education*. *Ca-A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 2004. **54**(5): p. 248-259.
11. Anonymous, *Abstracts from the European General Practice Research Network, EGPRN Meeting 2014*. *European Journal of General Practice*. Conference: European General Practice Research Network, EGPRN Meeting, 2014. **20**(3).
12. Anonymous, *"Healthcare Cost, Quality, and Policy: Driving Stakeholder Innovation in Process and Practice"*. *Journal of Population Therapeutics and Clinical Pharmacology*, 2014. **21** (1): p. e116.
13. Anonymous, *Canadian Society for Epidemiology and Biostatistics 2013 National Student Conference, CSEB 2013*. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. Conference, 2013. **178**(10).
14. Anonymous, *2nd European Congress on Endometriosis*. *Journal of Endometriosis*. Conference: 2nd European Congress on Endometriosis., 2013. **5**(pp S7).
15. Anonymous, *COSA 37th 2010 Annual Scientific Meeting*. *Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology*. Conference: 37th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Clinical Oncological Society of Australia, COSA. Melbourne, VIC Australia. Conference Publication:, 2010. **6**(no pagination).
16. Anonymous, *The cultural context of screening for early detection of breast cancer*. *Psycho-Oncology*, 2010. **19**: p. S20.
17. Anonymous., *Human papillomavirus. Often harmless but in some cases carcinogenic*. *Prescrire International*, 2007. **16**(89): p. 115-119.
18. Anonymous, *Poster Abstracts from the 7th Annual CUGH Conference: Bridging to a Sustainable Future in Global Health*. *Annals of Global Health*. Conference: 7th Annual CUGH Conference: Bridging to a Sustainable Future in Global Health. United States, 2016. **82**(3).
19. Anothaisintawee, T., et al., *Developing and validating risk prediction model for screening breast cancer in Thai women*. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 2013. **1**): p. S96-S97.
20. Arezzo, A., et al., *Practice parameters for early rectal cancer management: Italian Society of Colorectal Surgery (Societa Italiana di Chirurgia Colo-Rettale; SICCR) guidelines*. *Techniques in Coloproctology*, 2015. **19**(10): p. 587-593.
21. Argon, S., et al., *Systematic review of the quantitative drug exposure data available in the pharmacogenetic literature using the University of Washington E-PKGENE© application indentifies drugs most sensitive to genetic variations*. *Drug Metabolism Reviews*, 2016. **48**: p. 131.
22. Armaroli, P., et al., *European Code against Cancer, 4th Edition: Cancer screening*. *Cancer Epidemiology*, 2015. **39**: p. S139-S152.
23. Arosarena, O.A., M. Madsen, and R. Haug, *Special Considerations with Floor of Mouth and Tongue Cancer*. *Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Clinics of North America*, 2006. **18**(4): p. 521-531.
24. Austoker, J., et al., *European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. First Edition Communication*. *Endoscopy*, 2012. **44**(SUPPL3): p. SE164-SE185.
25. Avery, M.D., *Current resources for evidence-based practice, September/October 2013*. *Journal of Midwifery and Women's Health*, 2013. **58**(5): p. 569-573.
26. Baezconde-Garbanati, L., et al., *Breast cancer screening among Latinas: Our research in the U.S. and initial findings for Scandinavia*. *Psycho-Oncology*, 2010. **19**: p. S21.

27. Baker, S., M. Wall, and A. Bloomfield, *What is the most appropriate breast-cancer screening interval for women aged 45 to 49 years in New Zealand?* New Zealand Medical Journal, 1221. **118**(1221).
28. Baker, S., M. Wall, and A. Bloomfield, *Breast cancer screening for women aged 40 to 49 years - What does the evidence mean for New Zealand?* New Zealand Medical Journal, 1221. **118**(1221).
29. Banerjee, G., et al., *Self-reported use of kinesiology taping in oncology and or palliative / supportive care settings: Findings from the UK questionnaire survey.* British Journal of Pain, 2017. **11 (2 Supplement 1)**: p. 64.
30. Banks, E., et al., *Hormone replacement therapy and false positive recall in the Million Women Study: Patterns of use, hormonal constituents and consistency of effect.* Breast Cancer Research, 2006. **8 (1) (no pagination)**(R8).
31. Banta, H.D., et al., *Health promotion and disease prevention as a complement to community health indicators: Working group 1.* International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2002. **18**(2): p. 238-272.
32. Beatty, L., et al., *A systematic review of psychotherapeutic interventions for women with metastatic breast cancer: Implications and recommendations for developing an online self-help program.* Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015. **11**: p. 79.
33. Benson, J.R. and G.Q. della Rovere, *Management of the axilla in women with breast cancer.* Lancet Oncology, 2007. **8**(4): p. 331-348.
34. Bielawski, M.P., et al., *Improving care of chronic conditions for women veterans: Identifying opportunities for comparative effectiveness research.* Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2014. **3**(2): p. 155-166.
35. Bobdey, S., et al., *Burden of cervical cancer and role of screening in India.* Indian Journal of Medical and Paediatric Oncology, 2016. **37**(4): p. 278-285.
36. Borrás, J.M., J.A. Espinas, and X. Castells, *The evidence on breast cancer screening: the story continues. [Spanish]*
La evidencia del cribado del cancer de mama: la historia continua. Gaceta sanitaria / S.E.S.P.A.S, 2003. **17**(3): p. 249-255.
37. Botesteanu, D.A., et al., *Mathematical models of breast and ovarian cancers.* Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Systems Biology and Medicine, 2016. **8**(4): p. 337-362.
38. Brankovic, I., P. Verdonk, and I. Klinge, *Applying a gender lens on human papillomavirus infection: Cervical cancer screening, HPV DNA testing, and HPV vaccination.* International Journal for Equity in Health, 2013. **12 (1) (no pagination)**(14).
39. Bratcher, L.F. and V.V. Sahasrabudhe, *The impact of antiretroviral therapy on HPV and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: Current evidence and directions for future research.* Infectious Agents and Cancer, 2010. **5 (1) (no pagination)**(8).
40. Brennan, V.K. and S. Dixon, *Incorporating process utility into quality adjusted life years: A systematic review of empirical studies.* PharmacoEconomics, 2013. **31**(8): p. 677-691.
41. Bukowska-Durawa, A. and A. Luszczynska, *Cervical cancer screening and psychosocial barriers perceived by patients. A systematic review.* Wspolczesna Onkologia, 2014. **18**(3): p. 153-159.
42. Butow, P.N., et al., *Psychosocial well-being and supportive care needs of cancer patients living in urban and rural/regional areas: A systematic review.* Supportive Care in Cancer, 2012. **20**(1): p. 1-22.
43. Cadoni, S., F.W. Leung, and F. Radaelli, *Methods and techniques for unsedated colonoscopy: A systematic review.* Journal of Interventional Gastroenterology, 2015. **5**(1): p. 25-31.

44. Callejo, D., A. Lopez-Polin, and J.A. Blasco, *Cost utility of human papilloma virus vaccine in Spain*. Value in Health, 2010. **13 (7)**: p. A258.
45. Calonge, N., et al., *Screening for colorectal cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement*. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2008. **149(9)**: p. 627-637.
46. Cardinale, F., P. Bruzzi, and C. Bolognesi, *Role of micronucleus test in predicting breast cancer susceptibility: a systematic review and meta-analysis*. British Journal of Cancer., 2011. **20**.
47. Carroll, M.R.R., H.E. Seaman, and S.P. Halloran, *Tests and investigations for colorectal cancer screening*. Clinical Biochemistry, 2014. **47(10-11)**: p. 921-939.
48. Carter, A., et al., *Racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare among women in the VA: A systematic review*. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2015. **30**: p. S241.
49. Castillo, E., K. Murphy, and J. van Schalkwyk, *No. 342-Hepatitis B and Pregnancy*. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 2017. **39(3)**: p. 181-190.
50. Cazap, E., et al., *Breast cancer in Latin America: experts perceptions compared with medical care standards*. Breast, 2010. **19(1)**: p. 50-4.
51. Chamot, E., et al., *Are treatments for cervical precancerous lesions in less-developed countries safe enough to promote scaling-up of cervical screening programs? A systematic review*. BMC Women's Health, 2010. **10**: p. 11.
52. Chan, B.K., et al., *Localization techniques for guided surgical excision of non-palpable breast lesions*. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews, 2015. **12**: p. CD009206.
53. Chan, D.N.S. and W.K.W. So, *A Systematic Review of the Factors Influencing Ethnic Minority Women's Cervical Cancer Screening Behavior: From Intrapersonal to Policy Level*. Cancer Nursing., 2017. **09**.
54. Chen, C., et al., *Accuracy of several cervical screening strategies for early detection of cervical cancer: A meta-analysis*. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer, 2012. **22(6)**: p. 908-921.
55. Chikhaoui, Y., et al., *Cost-minimization analysis of genetic testing versus clinical screening of at-risk relatives for familial adenomatous polyposis*. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2002. **18(1)**: p. 67-80.
56. Christy, S.M. and S.M. Rawl, *Shared decision-making about colorectal cancer screening: A conceptual framework to guide research*. Patient Education and Counseling, 2013. **91(3)**: p. 310-317.
57. Consedine, N.S., et al., *Fear, anxiety, worry, and breast cancer screening behavior: A critical review*. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2004. **13(4)**: p. 501-510.
58. Cooper, K., et al., *Chemoprevention of colorectal cancer: Systematic review and economic evaluation*. Health Technology Assessment, 2010. **14(32)**: p. 1-205.
59. Corkum, M., et al., *Screening for new primary cancers in cancer survivors compared to non-cancer controls: A systematic review and meta-analysis*. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2013. **7(3)**: p. 455-463.
60. Corrarino, J.E., *Health Literacy and Women's Health: Challenges and Opportunities*. Journal of Midwifery and Women's Health, 2013. **58(3)**: p. 257-264.

61. Curran, J., *How effective is mammography in detecting breast cancer recurrence in women after Breast Conservation Therapy (BCT) - A systematic literature review*. Radiography, 2016. **22**(3): p. 252-256.
62. D'Andrea, E., et al., *Which BRCA genetic testing programs are ready for implementation in health care? A systematic review of economic evaluations*. Genetics in Medicine, 2016. **18**(12): p. 1171-1180.
63. Das, V., J. Kalita, and M. Pal, *Predictive and prognostic biomarkers in colorectal cancer: A systematic review of recent advances and challenges*. Biomedicine and Pharmacotherapy, 2017. **87**: p. 8-19.
64. De Morgan, S., *Communicating prognosis and treatment of DCIS: Challenges arising from a diagnosis of uncertainty*. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2012. **8**: p. 209.
65. DeBarros, M. and S.R. Steele, *Colorectal cancer screening in an equal access healthcare system*. Journal of Cancer, 2013. **4**(3): p. 270-280.
66. DeFrank, J.T., et al., *The Psychological Harms of Screening: the Evidence We Have Versus the Evidence We Need*. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2014. **30**(2): p. 242-248.
67. Demment, M.M., et al., *Developing the evidence base to inform best practice: A scoping study of breast and cervical cancer reviews in low- and middle-income countries*. PLoS ONE, 2015. **10** (9) (no pagination)(e0134618).
68. Denny, L., *The prevention of cervical cancer in developing countries*. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2005. **112**(9): p. 1204-1212.
69. DeWalt, D.A., et al., *Literacy and health outcomes: A systematic review of the literature*. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2004. **19**(12): p. 1228-1239.
70. Dhana, A., et al., *Systematic review of facility-based sexual and reproductive health services for female sex workers in Africa*. Globalization and Health, 2014. **10** (1) (no pagination)(46).
71. Dhanji, Z. and A. Randall, *Cervical screening reminder calls pilot: Utilizing EMRs to improve cancer screening*. Journal of Clinical Oncology. Conference: ASCO's Quality Care Symposium, 2016. **34**(7 SUPPL. 1).
72. Dieng, M., et al., *Improving cancer risk understanding: A systematic review of educational interventions for people with, or at high risk of, cancer*. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2012. **8**: p. 343.
73. Dietz, J.R., et al., *Breast Cancer Management Updates: Young and Older, Pregnant, or Male*. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015. **22**(10): p. 3219-3224.
74. Dillner, J., *Primary human papillomavirus testing in organized cervical screening*. Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2013. **25**(1): p. 11-16.
75. Dinnes, J., et al., *Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of double reading of mammograms in breast cancer screening: Findings of a systematic review*. Breast, 2001. **10**(6): p. 455-463.
76. Domgue, J.B.F., et al., *Alternative methods for primary cervical cancer screening in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis of accuracy of VIA, VILI and HPV testing*. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014. **10**: p. 52.
77. Ekwunife, O.I., et al., *Challenges in Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Modelling of HPV Vaccines in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review and Practice Recommendations*. PharmacoEconomics, 2017. **35**(1): p. 65-82.

78. Eleje, G.U., et al., *Palliative interventions for controlling vaginal bleeding in advanced cervical cancer*. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews, 2015. **5**: p. CD011000.
79. Elit, L., et al., *Cervical Cancer Prevention in Low-Resource Settings*. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 2011. **33**(3): p. 272-279.
80. Ellis, P., et al., *A systematic review of studies evaluating diffusion and dissemination of selected cancer control interventions*. Health Psychology, 2005. **24**(5): p. 488-500.
81. Emery, J.D., *The challenges of early diagnosis of cancer in general practice*. Medical Journal of Australia, 2015. **203**(10): p. 391-393.e1.
82. Endzelins, E., et al., *Diagnostic, prognostic and predictive value of cell-free miRNAs in prostate cancer: A systematic review*. Molecular Cancer, 2016. **15** (1) (no pagination)(41).
83. Escoffery, C., et al., *A grey literature review of special events for promoting cancer screenings*. BMC Cancer, 2014. **14** (1) (no pagination)(454).
84. Ewald, N., et al., *Pyruvate kinase M2 (tumor M2-PK) as a screening tool for colorectal cancer (CRC) - A review. [German]*
Pyruvatkinase M2 (tumor M2-PK) im stuhl als screeningparameter fur kolorektale neoplasien - Eine ubersicht uber bisher publizierte daten. Tumor Diagnostik und Therapie, 2006. **27**(1): p. 32-36.
85. Ezendam, N., et al., *Health literacy in cancer patients: State of the art and future directions*. Psycho-Oncology, 2013. **22**: p. 192-193.
86. Fahrbach, K., et al., *A comparison of the accuracy of two minimally invasive breast biopsy methods: A systematic literature review and meta-analysis*. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2006. **274**(2): p. 63-73.
87. Falk, D., *A systematic review of breast and cervical cancer prevention interventions for latinas*. Psycho-Oncology, 2015. **24**: p. 177-178.
88. Farshid, G., et al., *Mucocele-like lesions of the breast: A benign cause for indeterminate or suspicious mammographic microcalcifications*. Breast Journal, 2005. **11**(1): p. 15-22.
89. Fernandes, R., et al., *Optimal primary febrile neutropenia prophylaxis for patients receiving docetaxel-cyclophosphamide chemotherapy for breast cancer: a systematic review*. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2017. **161**(1).
90. Fesenfeld, M., R. Hutubessy, and M. Jit, *Cost-effectiveness of human papillomavirus vaccination in low and middle income countries: A systematic review*. Vaccine, 2013. **31**(37): p. 3786-3804.
91. Finocchiaro-Kessler, S., et al., *Cervical cancer prevention and treatment research in Africa: A systematic review from a public health perspective*. BMC Women's Health, 2016. **16** (1) (no pagination)(29).
92. Flanagan, S.M., et al., *Adverse outcomes after colposcopy*. BMC Women's Health, 2011. **11** (no pagination)(2).
93. Fleeman, N., et al., *The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of genotyping for CYP2D6 for the management of women with breast cancer treated with tamoxifen: A systematic review*. Health Technology Assessment, 2011. **15**(33): p. 1-102.
94. Forbat, L., et al., *Family history of breast cancer: Clinical implications for relational health promotion derived from a systematic review*. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2012. **8**: p. 279-280.
95. Forhan, S.E., et al., *A systematic review of the effects of visual inspection with acetic acid, cryotherapy, and loop electrosurgical excision procedures for cervical dysplasia in HIV-infected women in low- and middle-income countries*. Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes, 2015. **68**: p. S350-S356.
96. Foster, C., et al., *Web-based decision aids to support young women with breast cancer*. Psycho-Oncology, 2015. **24**: p. 4-5.

97. Frederiksen, M.E., E. Lynge, and M. Rebolj, *What women want. Women's preferences for the management of low-grade abnormal cervical screening tests: A systematic review*. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2012. **119**(1): p. 7-19.
98. Freeberg, J.A., et al., *The clinical effectiveness of fluorescence and reflectance spectroscopy for the in vivo diagnosis of cervical neoplasia: An analysis by phase of trial design*. Gynecologic Oncology, 2007. **107**(1 SUPPL.): p. S270-S280.
99. Frego, M., et al., *Dysplasia in ulcerative colitis: Still a challenge*. Annali Italiani di Chirurgia, 2011. **82**(1): p. 5-10.
100. Fu, M.R., et al., *Psychosocial impact of lymphedema: A systematic review of literature from 2004 to 2011*. Psycho-Oncology, 2013. **22**(7): p. 1466-1484.
101. Gadducci, A., et al., *Smoking habit, immune suppression, oral contraceptive use, and hormone replacement therapy use and cervical carcinogenesis: A review of the literature*. Gynecological Endocrinology, 2011. **27**(8): p. 597-604.
102. Gajjar, K., et al., *Pain relief for women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia undergoing colposcopy treatment*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2016. **2016 (7) (no pagination)**(CD006120).
103. Garbee, D., D. Danna, and C. Lemoine, *The impact of side effects on adherence and persistence with oral anti-cancer agents in women diagnosed with early stage breast cancer: A systematic review of quantitative evidence protocol*. JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, 2014. **12**(10): p. 27-39.
104. Garrett, M.M. and D.A. Fisher, *Strategies to improve colorectal cancer screening rates*. Journal of Clinical Outcomes Management, 2006. **13**(9): p. 512-517.
105. Gaskie, S. and J. Nashelsky, *Are breast self-exams or clinical exams effective for screening breast cancer?* Journal of Family Practice, 2005. **54**(9): p. 803-804.
106. Gatsonis, C. and P. Paliwal, *Meta-analysis of diagnostic and screening test accuracy evaluations: Methodologic primer*. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2006. **187**(2): p. 271-281.
107. Gelband, H., et al., *Costs, affordability, and feasibility of an essential package of cancer control interventions in low-income and middle-income countries: Key messages from Disease Control Priorities, 3rd edition*. The Lancet, 2016. **387**(10033): p. 2133-2144.
108. Gierisch, J.M., et al., *Prioritization of research addressing management strategies for ductal carcinoma in situ*. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2014. **160**(7): p. 484-91.
109. Gimeno Garcia, A.Z., et al., *Public awareness of colorectal cancer screening: Knowledge, attitudes, and interventions for increasing screening uptake*. ISRN Oncology, 2014. **2014 (no pagination)**(425787).
110. Goddard, K.A.B., et al., *Building the evidence base for decision making in cancer genomic medicine using comparative effectiveness research*. Genetics in Medicine, 2012. **14**(7): p. 633-642.
111. Goldsmith, M.R., C.R. Bankhead, and J. Austoker, *Synthesising quantitative and qualitative research in evidence-based patient information*. Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health, 2007. **61**(3): p. 262-70.
112. Gudgeon, J.M., et al., *Lynch syndrome screening implementation: Business analysis by a healthcare system*. American Journal of Managed Care, 2011. **17**(8): p. e288-e300.
113. Gutierrez, L.Q., J.D.L. Senaris, and M.L. Amador, *Review of an economic analysis of the emerging technologies in oncology*. [Spanish]

- Revision de analisis economicos sobre tecnologias emergentes en oncologia.* Pharmacoeconomics - Spanish Research Articles, 2009. **6**(4): p. 146-158.
114. Habbema, J.D.F., et al., *Models in the development of clinical practice guidelines.* Annals of Internal Medicine, 2014. **161**(11): p. 812-818.
 115. Hackney, L., et al., *Review of the evidence on the use of arbitration or consensus within breast screening: A systematic scoping review.* Radiography, 2017. **23**(2): p. 171-176.
 116. Hakonsen, S.J., et al., *Diagnostic accuracy of a validated screening tool for monitoring nutritional status in patients with colorectal cancer: A systematic review protocol.* JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, 2013. **11**(8): p. 186-198.
 117. Hakonsen, S.J., et al., *Diagnostic test accuracy of nutritional tools used to identify undernutrition in patients with colorectal cancer: A systematic review.* JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, 2015. **13**(4): p. 141-187.
 118. Hamilton, J.G., et al., *Primary care providers' cancer genetic testing-related knowledge, attitudes, and communication behaviors: A systematic review and research agenda.* Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2017. **32**(3): p. 315-324.
 119. Hammond, W.A., A. Swaika, and K.A.B.I.R. Mody, *Pharmacologic resistance in colorectal cancer: A review.* Therapeutic Advances in Medical Oncology, 2016. **8**(1): p. 57-84.
 120. Harris, R., et al., *Reconsidering the criteria for evaluating proposed screening programs: Reflections from 4 current and former members of the U.S. preventive services task force.* Epidemiologic Reviews, 2011. **33**(1): p. 20-35.
 121. Haugsdal, M.L. and G.L. Ryan, *HPV and Cervical Dysplasia in Adolescents: A Progressive March Toward Prevention.* Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology, 2015. **28**(3): p. 127-131.
 122. Havlicek, A.J. and H. Mansell, *The community pharmacist's role in cancer screening and prevention.* Canadian Pharmacists Journal, 2016. **149**(5): p. 274-282.
 123. Hay, J.L., K.D. McCaul, and R.E. Magnan, *Does worry about breast cancer predict screening behaviors? A meta-analysis of the prospective evidence.* Preventive Medicine, 2006. **42**(6): p. 401-408.
 124. Heitman, S.J., et al., *Colorectal cancer screening for average-risk north americans: An economic evaluation.* PLoS Medicine, 2010. **7** (**11**) (**no pagination**)(e1000370).
 125. Heitman, S.J., et al., *Colorectal cancer screening for average-risk North Americans: an economic evaluation.*[Erratum appears in PLoS Med. 2012 Nov;9(11). doi: 10.1371/annotation/Ofd49c83-2c6d-42b5-a8c1-45a0aaedaa77]. PLoS Medicine / Public Library of Science, 2010. **7**(11): p. e1000370.
 126. Hendry, M., et al., *"HPV? Never heard of it!": A systematic review of girls' and parents' information needs, views and preferences about human papillomavirus vaccination.* Vaccine, 2013. **31**(45): p. 5152-5167.
 127. Heresbach, D. and T. Ponchon, *CT colonoscopy in 2007: The next standard for colorectal cancer screening in average-risk subjects?* Endoscopy, 2007. **39**(6): p. 542-544.
 128. Herrmann, A., et al., *Examining where research efforts on cancer-related decision aids have been made.* Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016. **12**: p. 23-24.
 129. Hewitson, P., et al., *Screening for colorectal cancer using the faecal occult blood test, Hemocult.* Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2007. **(1)** (**no pagination**)(CD001216).

130. Hirschhorn, L.R., et al., *Cancer and the 'other' noncommunicable chronic diseases in older people living with HIV/AIDS in resource-limited settings: A challenge to success*. *Aids*, 2012. **26**(SUPPL.1): p. S65-S75.
131. Husereau, D., et al., *Health Technology Assessment: A Review of International Activity and Examples of Approaches With Computed Tomographic Colonography*. *Journal of the American College of Radiology*, 2009. **6**(5): p. 343-352.
132. Hwang, E.S. and I. Bedrosian, *Patterns of breast magnetic resonance imaging use an opportunity for data-driven resource allocation*. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 2014. **174**(1): p. 122-124.
133. Idy, S.R., *Information needs and patient satisfaction among colorectal cancer patients*. *Psycho-Oncology*, 2015. **24**: p. 306-307.
134. Ilbawi, A.M. and B.O. Anderson, *Global Cancer Consortiums: Moving from Consensus to Practice*. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, 2015. **22**(3): p. 719-727.
135. Ilic, D., et al., *Screening for prostate cancer*. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2013(1): p. CD004720.
136. Jacob, I. and K. Payne, *Evaluating the economic impact of technological advances in diagnostics: The case of high throughput sequencing for hereditary breast cancer*. *Value in Health*, 2013. **16** (7): p. A617.
137. Janssens, J.F., *Faecal occult blood test as a screening test for colorectal cancer*. *Acta Gastro-Enterologica Belgica*, 2005. **68**(2): p. 244-246.
138. Jatoi, I. and W.F. Anderson, *Cancer screening*. *Current Problems in Surgery*, 2005. **42**(9): p. 620-682.
139. Jensen, A.E., et al., *Panel management in primary care: A systematic review*. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 2014. **29**: p. S163.
140. Jentschke, M. and P. Hillemanns, *Systematic comparison of different meta-analyses, systematic reviews and HTA reports on cervical cancer screening based on cytology or HPV test*. *Geburtshilfe und Frauenheilkunde*, 2016. **76**(10): p. 1081-1085.
141. Jentschke, M. and P. Hillemanns, *Systematic comparison of different meta-analyses, systematic reviews and HTA reports on cervical cancer screening based on cytology or HPV test*. [German]

Systematischer Vergleich verschiedener Metaanalysen, systematischer Reviews und HTA-Berichte zum Zervixkarzinomscreening mittels Zytologie oder HPV-Test. *Tumor Diagnostik und Therapie*, 2017. **38**(2): p. 118-124.

142. Jeong, K.E. and J.A. Cairns, *Review of economic evidence in the prevention and early detection of colorectal cancer*. *Health Economics Review*, 2013. **3**(1): p. 1-10.
143. Jones, C.E., et al., *A systematic review of barriers to early presentation and diagnosis with breast cancer among black women*. *BMJ Open*, 2014. **4**(2): p. e004076.
144. Jones, S.C. and K. Johnson, *Womens awareness of cancer symptoms: A review of the literature*. *Women's Health*, 2012. **8**(5): p. 579-591.
145. Jorgensen, K.J., *Mammography screening. Benefits, harms, and informed choice*. *Danish medical journal*, 2013. **60**(4): p. B4614.
146. Juhl Jorgensen, K., *Mammography screening*. *Danish Medical Journal*, 2013. **60**(4).
147. Karnon, J., et al., *Liquid-based cytology in cervical screening: An updated rapid and systematic review and economic analysis*. *Health Technology Assessment*, 2004. **8**(20): p. iii-59.
148. Kearney, A.J., *Viewpoint: It is time to reconsider policy for population-based mammography screening*. *Journal of public health policy*, 2015. **36**(3): p. 259-269.

149. Khan, N.F., et al., *Long-term survivors of adult cancers and uptake of primary health services: A systematic review*. European Journal of Cancer, 2008. **44**(2): p. 195-204.
150. Khodakarami, N., et al., *The new guideline for cervical cancer screening in low risk Iranian women. [Persian]*. Iranian Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Infertility, 2014. **17**(95): p. 8-17.
151. Kiechle, E.S., et al., *Different Measures, Different Outcomes? A Systematic Review of Performance-Based versus Self-Reported Measures of Health Literacy and Numeracy*. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2015. **30**(10): p. 1538-1546.
152. Kizior, A., P. Kizior, and J. Spisz, *Barriers to participation in breast and cervical cancer screening (analysis of international research)*. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014. **10**: p. 178.
153. Kolahdooz, F., et al., *Knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours towards cancer screening in indigenous populations: a systematic review*. Lancet Oncology, 2014. **15**(11): p. e504-16.
154. Konrad, G., *Dietary interventions for fecal occult blood test screening: Systematic review of the literature*. Canadian Family Physician, 2010. **56**(3): p. 229-238.
155. Kramer, B.S., et al., *Use of 5alpha-Reductase Inhibitors for Prostate Cancer Chemoprevention: American Society of Clinical Oncology/American Urological Association 2008 Clinical Practice Guideline*. Journal of Urology, 2009. **181**(4): p. 1642-1657.
156. Kramer, B.S., et al., *Getting it right: Being smarter about clinical trials - A major NIH meeting led to recommendations for conducting better clinical trials*. PLoS Medicine, 2006. **3**(6): p. 0756-0761.
157. Kremers, H.M., et al., *Preventive medical services among patients with rheumatoid arthritis*. Journal of Rheumatology, 2003. **30**(9): p. 1940-7.
158. Kyrgiou, M., et al., *Management of minor cervical cytological abnormalities: A systematic review and a meta-analysis of the literature*. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 2007. **33**(6): p. 514-520.
159. Kyrgiou, M., et al., *Obstetric outcomes after conservative treatment for intraepithelial or early invasive cervical lesions: Systematic review and meta-analysis*. Lancet, 2006. **367**(9509): p. 489-498.
160. Labianca, R., E. Berardi, and F. Malugani, *Challenges in the treatment of gastrointestinal tumours*. Annals of Oncology, 2006. **17**(SUPPL. 5): p. v137-v141.
161. Lam, K., et al., *DNA methylation based biomarkers in colorectal cancer: A systematic review*. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Reviews on Cancer, 2016. **1866**(1): p. 106-120.
162. Lamb, G.C., et al., *Does public reporting impact quality of care in wisconsin?* Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2011. **26**: p. S320-S321.
163. Lange, M., et al., *Cognitive dysfunctions in elderly cancer patients: A new challenge for oncologists*. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 2014. **40**(6): p. 810-817.
164. Lansdorp-Vogelaar, I., A.B. Knudsen, and H. Brenner, *Cost-effectiveness of colorectal cancer screening*. Epidemiologic Reviews, 2011. **33**(1): p. 88-100.
165. Launois, R., et al., *Systematic review and bivariate/HSROC meta-analysis of immunochemical and guaiac fecal occult blood tests for colorectal cancer screening*. Gastroenterology, 2014. **1**: p. S-404.
166. Lawal, O., et al., *Mammography screening in Nigeria - A critical comparison to other countries*. Radiography, 2015. **21**(4): p. 348-351.

167. Le, M.T., et al., *Is the false-positive rate in mammography in North America too high?* British Journal of Radiology, 2016. **89 (1065) (no pagination)**(20160045).
168. Lee, S.J. and J. Thomas, *Antenatal breast examination for promoting breastfeeding.* Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2008. **(3) (no pagination)**(CD006064).
169. Leung, D.Y.P., et al., *Contributing factors to colorectal cancer screening among Chinese people: A review of quantitative studies.* International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2016. **13 (5) (no pagination)**(506).
170. Lewis, C.L., *Colorectal cancer: screening.* BMJ clinical evidence, 2007(pagination).
171. Lieberman, D., et al., *Standardized colonoscopy reporting and data system: report of the Quality Assurance Task Group of the National Colorectal Cancer Roundtable.* Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2007. **65(6)**: p. 757-766.
172. Lin, Q., et al., *The role of pharmaceutical care in the oncology department.* European Journal of Hospital Pharmacy, 2015. **22(3)**: p. 128-131.
173. Lockwood, S. and B. Ritzert, *Cost-effectiveness of serum CA125 compared to transvaginal ultrasound as a screening test for ovarian cancer: A systematic review protocol.* JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, 2013. **11(10)**: p. 89-106.
174. Lowery, J.T., et al., *Understanding the contribution of family history to colorectal cancer risk and its clinical implications: A state-of-the-science review.* Cancer, 2016. **122(17)**: p. 2633-2645.
175. Lusardi, M.M., *Using walking speed in clinical practice: Interpreting age-, gender-, and function-specific norms.* Topics in Geriatric Rehabilitation, 2012. **28(2)**: p. 77-90.
176. Lyman, G.H. and B. Djulbegovic, *The challenge of systematic reviews of diagnostic and staging studies in cancer.* Cancer Treatment Reviews, 2005. **31(8)**: p. 628-639.
177. Maciosek, M.V., et al., *Priorities Among Effective Clinical Preventive Services. Results of a Systematic Review and Analysis.* American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2006. **31(1)**: p. 52-61.
178. Mai, V., et al., *Ontario Cervical Cancer Screening Clinical Practice Guidelines.* Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 2007. **29(4)**: p. 344-353.
179. Malila, N., C. Senore, and P. Armaroli, *European guidelines for quality assurance in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. First Edition Organisation.* Endoscopy, 2012. **44(SUPPL3)**: p. SE31-SE48.
180. Manca, D.P., *Closing arguments - Yes*
- Conclusions finales - Non.* Canadian Family Physician, 2015. **61(10)**: p. 847-849 and 852-853.
181. Mandelblatt, J., et al., *The cost-effectiveness of screening mammography beyond age 65 years: a systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.* Annals of internal medicine, 2003. **139(10)**: p. 835-842.
182. Mansfield, C., et al., *Stated Preference for Cancer Screening: A Systematic Review of the Literature, 1990-2013.* Preventing chronic disease, 2016. **13**: p. E27.
183. Marks, D., et al., *Screening for hypercholesterolaemia versus case finding for familial hypercholesterolaemia: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis.* Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England), 2000. **4(29)**: p. 1-123.
184. McCombie, A., et al., *Computerised Cognitive Behavioural Therapy for Psychological Distress in Patients with Physical Illnesses: A Systematic Review.* Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings, 2015. **22(1)**: p. 20-44.

185. McLachlan, S.A., A. Clements, and J. Austoker, *Patients' experiences and reported barriers to colonoscopy in the screening context--a systematic review of the literature*. Patient Education & Counseling, 2012. **86**(2): p. 137-46.
186. McLachlin, C.M., et al., *Ontario cervical cancer screening clinical practice guidelines*. Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Canada: JOGC, 2007. **29**(4): p. 344-53.
187. Melnikow, J., et al., *Counterpoint: Randomized trials provide the strongest evidence for clinical guidelines: The US Preventive Services Task Force and prostate cancer screening*. Medical Care, 2013. **51**(4): p. 301-303.
188. Meyerson, B.E., et al., *Increasing efforts to reduce cervical cancer through state-level comprehensive cancer control planning*. Cancer Prevention Research, 2015. **8**(7): p. 636-641.
189. Mezei, A.K., et al., *Cost-effectiveness of cervical cancer screening methods in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review*. International Journal of Cancer., 2017.
190. Mills, E.D., *A review of sexual health care access and outcomes among women who have sex with women*. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2016. **92**: p. A22-A23.
191. Molina, Y., et al., *Breast cancer interventions serving US-based Latinas: Current approaches and directions*. Women's Health, 2013. **9**(4): p. 335-350.
192. Montella, M., et al., *Determinant factors for diagnostic delay in operable breast cancer patients*. European Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2001. **10**(1): p. 53-59.
193. Moore, S.P., et al., *Cancer incidence in indigenous people in Australia, New Zealand, Canada, and the USA: A comparative population-based study*. The Lancet Oncology, 2015. **16**(15): p. 1483-1492.
194. Mori, R., *Human papillomavirus vaccines: Global versus Japanese situations*. Acta Cytologica, 2016. **60**: p. 37.
195. Mork, M., et al., *Lynch Syndrome: A Primer for Urologists and Panel Recommendations*. Journal of Urology., 2015.
196. Morton, R., M. Sayma, and M.S. Sura, *Economic analysis of the breast cancer screening program used by the UK NHS: Should the program be maintained?* Breast Cancer: Targets and Therapy, 2017. **9**: p. 217-225.
197. Murphy, J., et al., *HPV Testing in Primary Cervical Screening: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis*. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 2012. **34**(5): p. 443-452.
198. Murphy, J., et al., *Cervical Screening: A Guideline for Clinical Practice in Ontario*. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 2012. **34**(5): p. 453-458.
199. Murphy, J., et al., *The organization of colposcopy services in Ontario: Recommended framework*. Current Oncology, 2015. **22**(4): p. 287-296.
200. Muszbek, N., et al., *Economic evaluation of population-based mass screening for the early detection of cancer: a systematic review. [Hungarian]* *Daganatos betegsegek korai felismeresere irányuló populacios szintu szuroprogramok egeszseg-gazdasagtani elemzese - rendszerezett irodalmi attekintes*. Magyar onkologia, 2002. **46**(2): p. 119-129.
201. Nahvijou, A., et al., *A systematic review of economic aspects of cervical cancer screening strategies worldwide: discrepancy between economic analysis and policymaking*. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP, 2014. **15**(19): p. 8229-8237.
202. Newall, A.T., et al., *Cost-effectiveness analyses of human papillomavirus vaccination*. Lancet Infectious Diseases, 2007. **7**(4): p. 289-296.

203. Nicholson, F.B., et al., *Review article: Population screening for colorectal cancer*. *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 2005. **22**(11-12): p. 1069-1077.
204. Norredam, M., S.S. Nielsen, and A. Krasnik, *Migrants' utilization of somatic healthcare services in Europe--a systematic review*. *European journal of public health*, 2010. **20**(5): p. 555-563.
205. Okabayashi, K., et al., *Body mass index category as a risk factor for colorectal adenomas: A systematic review and meta-analysis*. *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 2012. **107**(8): p. 1175-1185.
206. Oosterhoff, M., M.E. van der Maas, and L.M.G. Steuten, *A Systematic Review of Health Economic Evaluations of Diagnostic Biomarkers*. *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy*, 2016. **14**(1): p. 51-65.
207. Padgett, L., *The American cancer society survivorship guidelines: From systematic review to dissemination tools*. *Psycho-Oncology*, 2017. **26**: p. 44-45.
208. Papagrigoriadis, S., *Follow-up of patients with colorectal cancer: The evidence is in favour but we are still in need of a protocol*. *International Journal of Surgery*, 2007. **5**(2): p. 120-128.
209. Patchell, S., et al., *Is bioimpedance spectroscopy the most effective way of screening for lymphedema following breast cancer? a systematic review*. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 2016. **12**: p. 165-166.
210. Patel, H., et al., *Knowledge of human papillomavirus and the human papillomavirus vaccine in European adolescents: A systematic review*. *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, 2016. **92**(6): p. 474-479.
211. Payne, S., *Not an equal opportunity disease - a sex and gender-based review of colorectal cancer in men and women: Part I*. *Journal of Men's Health and Gender*, 2007. **4**(2): p. 131-139.
212. Pearce, A., M. Haas, and R. Viney, *Are the true impacts of adverse events considered in economic models of antineoplastic drugs? a systematic review*. *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy*, 2013. **11**(6): p. 619-637.
213. Perlman, S., et al., *Knowledge and awareness of HPV vaccine and acceptability to vaccinate in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review*. *PLoS ONE*, 2014. **9** (3) (no pagination)(e90912).
214. Petelin, L., et al., *A systematic review of comparative effectiveness models and economic evaluations for cancer risk management strategies in *brca1/2* mutation carriers*. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 2016. **12**: p. 155-156.
215. Phillips, K.A., et al., *A review of studies examining stated preferences for cancer screening*. *Preventing chronic disease*, 2006. **3**(3): p. A75.
216. Pignone, M., et al., *Challenges in systematic reviews of economic analyses*. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 2005. **142**(12 II): p. 1073-1079.
217. Pignone, M., et al., *Cost-effectiveness analyses of colorectal cancer screening: A systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force*. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 2002. **137**(2): p. 96-104.
218. Pignone, M.P., et al., *Costs and cost-effectiveness of full implementation of a biennial faecal occult blood test screening program for bowel cancer in Australia*. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 2011. **194**(4): p. 180-185.
219. Pinzon Florez, C.E., et al., *Cost-effectiveness of screening and early detection strategies for colorectal cancer in Colombia*. *Value in Health*, 2012. **15** (4): p. A67.

220. Porte, F., et al., *CT colonography for surveillance of patients with colorectal cancer: Systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic efficacy*. *European Radiology*, 2017. **27**(1): p. 51-60.
221. Porzsolt, F. and R.M. Kaplan, *Optimizing health: Improving the value of healthcare delivery*, in *Optimizing health: Improving the value of healthcare delivery*. 2006, Springer Science + Business Media; US: New York, NY. p. xxi, 313.
222. Pothiwala, P., E.M. Evans, and K.M. Chapman-Novakofski, *Ethnic variation in risk for osteoporosis among women: A review of biological and behavioral factors*. *Journal of Women's Health*, 2006. **15**(6): p. 709-719.
223. Praditsitthikorn, N., et al., *Economic evaluation of policy options for prevention and control of cervical cancer in Thailand*. *PharmacoEconomics*, 2011. **29**(9): p. 781-806.
224. Prince, A.E.R., et al., *Is there evidence that we should screen the general population for Lynch syndrome with genetic testing? A systematic review*. *Pharmacogenomics & Personalized Medicine*, 2017. **10**: p. 49-60.
225. Pron, G., *Prostate-specific antigen (Psa)-based population screening for prostate cancer: An evidence-based analysis*. Ontario Health Technology Assessment Series, 2015. **15**(10).
226. Pruitt, S.L., et al., *Association of area socioeconomic status and breast, cervical, and colorectal cancer screening: A systematic review*. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention*, 2009. **18**(10): p. 2579-2599.
227. Pruitt, S.L., *The association of area socioeconomic status and cancer screening: A systematic review and multilevel study*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 2008. **69**(2-B): p. 972.
228. Qasim, A. and C. O'Morain, *Primary prevention of colorectal cancer: Are we closer to reality?* *European Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, 2010. **22**(1): p. 9-17.
229. Qureshi, Z., et al., *Battling fear: A potential key to improving colorectal cancer screening*. *Value in Health*, 2015. **18** (3): p. A50.
230. Raichand, S., et al., *Conclusions in systematic reviews of mammography for breast cancer screening and associations with review design and author characteristics*. *Systematic Reviews*, 2017. **6** (1) (no pagination)(105).
231. Rainey, L., et al., *Women's perceptions on personalised risk-based breast cancer screening and primary prevention: A systematic review*. *European Journal of Cancer*, 2016. **57**: p. S25.
232. Ravven, S., S.K. Schultz, and J.A. Driver, *Cancer treatment for people with mental illness: A systematic review of the literature*. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 2011. **1**): p. S97-S98.
233. Reames, B.N., et al., *Critical evaluation of oncology clinical practice guidelines*. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 2013. **31**(20): p. 2563-8.
234. Reeves, M.M., et al., *Cardiovascular disease incidence and mortality in breast cancer survivors compared to the general population: Systematic review and meta-analysis*. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. Conference, 2016. **34**(no pagination).
235. Reidy, M., S. Denieffe, and S. Foran, *Cancer screening in women with intellectual disabilities: An Irish perspective*. *Journal of Intellectual Disabilities*, 2014. **18**(1): p. 51-60.
236. Rezaianzadeh, A., et al., *The incidence of breast cancer in Iran: A systematic review and meta-analysis*. *Journal of Analytical Oncology*, 2016. **5**(4): p. 139-145.

237. Rice, L.J. and C.H. Halbert, *Social Networks Across Common Cancer Types: The Evidence, Gaps, and Areas of Potential Impact*. *Advances in Cancer Research*, 2017. **133**: p. 95-128.
238. Richardson, A.K. and J.D. Potter, *Screening for colorectal cancer and prostate cancer: Challenges for New Zealand*. *New Zealand Medical Journal*, 2014. **127**(1395): p. 23-30.
239. Rivers, D., et al., *A systematic review of the factors influencing African Americans' participation in cancer clinical trials*. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*, 2013. **35**(2): p. 13-32.
240. Rizer, A.M., et al., *Challenges in Intervention Research for Lesbian and Bisexual Women*. *LGBT health*, 2015. **2**(2): p. 105-112.
241. Rogers, H., S. Harris-Haywood, and L. Siminoff, *Missed opportunities in the diagnosis of colorectal cancer: A mixed methods study and medical records review of 252 recently diagnosed patients*. *Psycho-Oncology*, 2014. **23**: p. 156-157.
242. Roland, M., *Mammotest and breast cancer screening*. [French]
- Le mammotest et le dépistage du cancer du sein*. *Revue Medicale de Bruxelles*, 2009. **30**(4): p. 261-269.
243. Ronco, G., et al., *[Health technology assessment report: HPV DNA based primary screening for cervical cancer precursors]*. [Italian]
- Health technology assessment report: ricerca del DNA di papillomavirus umano (HPV) come test primario per lo screening dei precursori del cancro del collo dell'utero*. *Epidemiologia e prevenzione*, 2012. **36**(3-4 Suppl 1): p. e1-72.
244. Rubin, D.T. and B.A. Lashner, *Will a 5-ASA a day keep the cancer (and dysplasia) away?* *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 2005. **100**(6): p. 1354-1356.
245. Runowicz, C.D., et al., *American cancer society/American society of clinical oncology breast cancer survivorship care guideline*. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 2016. **34**(6): p. 611-635.
246. Salvador, S., et al., *No. 344-Opportunistic Salpingectomy and Other Methods of Risk Reduction for Ovarian/Fallopian Tube/Peritoneal Cancer in the General Population*. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada*, 2017. **39**(6): p. 480-493.
247. Samuel, P.S., et al., *Breast, cervical, and colorectal cancer screening rates amongst female Cambodian, Somali, and Vietnamese immigrants in the USA*. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 2009. **8** (no pagination)(30).
248. Santesso, N., et al., *World Health Organization Guidelines for treatment of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2-3 and screen-and-treat strategies to prevent cervical cancer*. *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, 2016. **132**(3): p. 252-258.
249. Scherr, C.L., et al., *Genetic counseling for hereditary breast and ovarian cancer among Puerto Rican women living in the United States*. *Reviews on Recent Clinical Trials*, 2014. **9**(4): p. 245-253.
250. Schiff, G.D., et al., *Diagnostic pitfalls: A new paradigm to understand and prevent diagnostic error*. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 2016. **1**): p. S188-S189.
251. Schreuders, E.H., et al., *Colorectal cancer screening: A global overview of existing programmes*. *Gut*, 2015. **64**(10): p. 1637-1649.
252. Schreuders, E.H., et al., *Meta-analysis on guaiac-based fecal occult blood tests versus fecal immunochemical tests for colorectal cancer screening in average-risk individuals*. *Gastroenterology*, 2016. **1**): p. S653.

253. Sculpher, M.J., et al., *Generalisability in economic evaluation studies in healthcare: A review and case studies*. Health Technology Assessment, 2004. **8**(49): p. iii-117.
254. Senore, C., et al., *Optimising colorectal cancer screening acceptance: A review*. Gut, 2015. **64**(7): p. 1158-1177.
255. Sharaf, R., et al., *Uptake of genetic testing by relatives in Lynch syndrome: A systematic review*. Journal of Clinical Oncology. Conference, 2013. **31**(4 SUPPL. 1).
256. Sharma, P., et al., *Advanced imaging in colonoscopy and its impact on quality*. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2014. **79**(1): p. 28-36.
257. Sheridan, S.L., R.P. Harris, and S.H. Woolf, *Shared decision making about screening and chemoprevention: A suggested approach from the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force*. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2004. **26**(1): p. 56-66.
258. Sherman, K.A., et al., *Psychosocial approaches to participation in BRCA1/2 genetic risk assessment among African American women: A systematic review*. Journal of Community Genetics, 2014. **5**(2): p. 89-98.
259. Shojania, K.G. and L.A. Bero, *Taking advantage of the explosion of systematic reviews: an efficient MEDLINE search strategy*. Effective clinical practice : ECP, 2001. **4**(4): p. 157-162.
260. Shrivastava, S., P. Shrivastava, and J. Ramasamy, *Exploring the role of dietary factors in the development of breast cancer*. Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics, 2016. **12**(2): p. 493-497.
261. Shrivastava, S.R.B., P.S. Shrivastava, and J. Ramasamy, *Assessing the contribution of dietary factors in breast cancer*. Clinical Cancer Investigation Journal, 2015. **4**(1): p. 1-5.
262. Shuhong, W., et al., *Cost and cost-effectiveness of digital mammography compared with film-screen mammography in Australia*. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2009. **33**(5): p. 430-436.
263. Siebert, U., et al., *Cost-effectiveness of human papillomavirus testing in cervical cancer screening. A review of decision analyses. [German] Kosteneffektivitat der HPV-diagnostik in der zervixkarzinomfruherkennung*. Gynakologe, 2003. **36**(4): p. 341-348.
264. Sigurdsson, J.A., et al., *Marginal public health gain of screening for colorectal cancer: Modelling study, based on WHO and national databases in the Nordic countries*. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, 2013. **19**(2): p. 400-407.
265. Silva, N.S., *Comparison of markov models used for the economic evaluation of colorectal cancer screening: A systematic review*. Value in Health, 2016. **19** (7): p. A372.
266. Sinaiko, A.D., et al., *Synthesis of research on patient-centered medical homes brings systematic differences into relief*. Health Affairs, 2017. **36**(3): p. 500-508.
267. Skirton, H., et al., *Genomic medicine: What are the challenges for the National Health Service?* Personalized Medicine, 2012. **9**(5): p. 539-545.
268. Skroumpelos, A., E. Pavi, and J. Kyriopoulos, *Discussing the introduction of national screening programs in Greece: A delphi study*. Value in Health, 2011. **14** (7): p. A462-A463.
269. Smith, J.L., A. Ghaffarzadeh, and K.M. Wilson, *Adaptation of an effective cervical cancer screening intervention: Assessing barriers and facilitators to screening among Latinas and African American women*. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention. Conference: 8th AACR Conference on the Science of Health Disparities in Racial/Ethnic Minorities and the Medically Underserved. United States, 2016. **25**(3 Supplement).
270. Smith, J.S., et al., *Cervical cancer and use of hormonal contraceptives: A systematic review*. Lancet, 2003. **361**(9364): p. 1159-1167.

271. Snowsill, T., et al., *A systematic review and economic evaluation of diagnostic strategies for Lynch syndrome*. Health Technology Assessment, 2014. **18**(58): p. i-xxxviii+1-405.
272. Sritharan, J., K. McFarlan, and O. Sanchez, *The relationship between modifiable environmental risk factors and colorectal cancer: A systematic review*. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention. Conference: American Association for Cancer Research, AACR International Conference on the Science of Cancer Health Disparities, 2011. **20**(10 Meeting Abstracts).
273. Sritipsukho, P. and Y. Thaweekul, *Accuracy of visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA) for cervical cancer screening: a systematic review*. Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand = Chotmaihet thangphaet, 2010. **93 Suppl 7**: p. S254-261.
274. Stange, K.C., *In this issue: Many arrows rather than magic bullets*. Annals of Family Medicine, 2014. **12**(3): p. 198-199.
275. Steinwachs, D., et al., *NIH state-of-the-science conference statement: Enhancing use and quality of colorectal cancer screening*. NIH consensus and state-of-the-science statements, 2010. **27**(1): p. 1-31.
276. Stewart, A., *Genetic Testing Strategies in Newly Diagnosed Endometrial Cancer Patients Aimed at Reducing Morbidity or Mortality from Lynch Syndrome in the Index Case or Her Relatives*. PLoS Currents, 2013. **(SEP) (no pagination)**(ecurrents.eogt.b59a6e84f27c536e50db4e46aa26309c).
277. Stewart, D.E., et al., *Self-Collected Samples for Testing of Oncogenic Human Papillomavirus: A Systematic Review*. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 2007. **29**(10): p. 817-828.
278. Stromsvik, N., et al., *Men in the women's world of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer--a systematic review*. Familial Cancer, 2009. **8**(3): p. 221-9.
279. Stuart, G., et al., *Report of the 2003 Pan-Canadian Forum on Cervical Cancer Prevention and Control. The recommendations reported herein reflect the decisions of the pan-Canadian forum participants as individual experts and do not necessarily reflect official policies of their respective organizations*. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 2004. **26**(11): p. 1004-1014.
280. Sullivan, W., et al., *Developing national guidance on genetic testing for breast cancer predisposition: The role of economic evidence?* Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers, 2012. **16**(6): p. 580-591.
281. Sutherland, J., S. Robertson-Malt, and C. Stern, *All-cause 30-day postoperative mortality for older patients in developed countries presenting for elective colorectal surgery: A systematic review protocol*. JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, 2013. **11**(8): p. 159-169.
282. Tappenden, P., et al., *Whole disease modeling to inform resource allocation decisions in cancer: A methodological framework*. Value in Health, 2012. **15**(8): p. 1127-1136.
283. Tavakolpour, S., S.M. Alavian, and S. Sali, *Hepatitis B reactivation during immunosuppressive therapy or cancer chemotherapy, management, and prevention: A comprehensive review-screened*. Hepatitis Monthly, 2016. **16** (4) (no pagination)(e35810).
284. Tepper, N.K., et al., *Laboratory screening prior to initiating contraception: A systematic review*. Contraception, 2013. **87**(5): p. 645-649.
285. Tiwari, A.K. and H.K. Roy, *Progress against cancer (1971-2011): How far have we come?* Journal of Internal Medicine, 2012. **271**(4): p. 392-399.
286. Towler, B.P., et al., *Screening for colorectal cancer using the faecal occult blood test, hemoccult*. Cochrane database of systematic reviews (Online), 2000(2): p. CD001216.
287. Tsu, V., M. Murray, and S. Franceschi, *Human papillomavirus vaccination in low-resource countries: Lack of evidence to support vaccinating sexually active women*. British Journal of Cancer, 2012. **107**(9): p. 1445-1450.

288. Tuffaha, H.W., L.G. Gordon, and P.A. Scuffham, *Value of information analysis in oncology: The value of evidence and evidence of value*. Journal of Oncology Practice, 2014. **10**(2): p. e55-e62.
289. van der Heide, I., et al., *Health literacy and informed decision making regarding colorectal cancer screening: a systematic review*. European journal of public health, 2015. **25**(4): p. 575-582.
290. Van Herwaarden, Y.J., et al., *Low prevalence of serrated polyposis syndrome in screening populations: A systematic review*. Endoscopy, 2015. **47**(11): p. 1043-1049.
291. Vasilevska, M., et al., *Relative risk of cervical cancer in indigenous women in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, and the United States: A systematic review and meta-analysis*. Journal of Public Health Policy, 2012. **33**(2): p. 148-164.
292. Vetto, J.T., *Teaching Breast Self-Examination: A Tale of Two Cities*. Journal of Cancer Education, 2006. **21**(3): p. 115-116.
293. Vinnicombe, S., et al., *Full-field digital versus screen-film mammography: Comparison within the UK breast screening program and systematic review of published data*. Radiology, 2009. **251**(2): p. 347-358.
294. Vourlekis, B., K. Ell, and D. Padgett, *Evidence-based assessment in case management to improve abnormal cancer screen follow-up*. Health and Social Work, 2005. **30**(2): p. 98-106.
295. Wang, S., et al., *Cost and cost-effectiveness of digital mammography compared with film-screen mammography in Australia*. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2009. **33**(5): p. 430-6.
296. Watine, J.C. and P.S. Bunting, *Mass colorectal cancer screening: Methodological quality of practice guidelines is not related to their content validity*. Clinical Biochemistry, 2008. **41**(7-8): p. 459-466.
297. Weinstein, L.C., et al., *Cancer screening, prevention, and treatment in people with mental illness*. CA Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 2016. **66**(2): p. 133-151.
298. Weiss, J.E., et al., *Challenges With Identifying Indication for Examination in Breast Imaging as a Key Clinical Attribute in Practice, Research, and Policy*. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2017. **14**(2): p. 198-207.
299. Wells, K.J., et al., *Patient navigation: State of the art or is it science?* Cancer, 2008. **113**(8): p. 1999-2010.
300. Whitlock, E.P., et al., *Screening for colorectal cancer: A targeted, updated systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force*. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2008. **149**(9): p. 638-658.
301. Whitlock, E.P., et al., *Liquid-based cytology and human papillomavirus testing to screen for cervical cancer: a systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force*. Annals of internal medicine, 2011. **155**(10): p. 687-697, W214-215.
302. Whop, L., et al., *Navigating the cancer journey: A review of patient navigator programs for indigenous cancer patients*. Psycho-Oncology, 2011. **20**: p. 60.
303. Wille-Jorgensen, P. and A.G. Renehan, *Systematic reviews and meta-analyses in coloproctology: interpretation and potential pitfalls*. Colorectal Disease, 2008. **10**(1): p. 21-32.
304. Wollins, D.S. and M.R. Somerfield, *Q and A: Magnetic resonance imaging in the detection and evaluation of breast cancer*. Journal of Oncology Practice, 2008. **4**(1): p. 18-23.

305. Wong, V.S.W. and W.K. So, *Effectiveness of colorectal cancer preventive measures among ethnic minorities-an integrative review*. *Cancer Nursing*, 2015. **1**): p. S61.
306. Wood, B., et al., *Eliciting women's cervical screening preferences: A mixed methods systematic review protocol*. *Systematic Reviews*, 2016. **5 (1) (no pagination)**(136).
307. Wortley, S., K. Flitcroft, and K. Howard, *What is the role of community preference information in health technology assessment decision making? A case study of colorectal cancer screening*. *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care*, 2015. **31**(4): p. 241-248.
308. Zapka, J., et al., *Factors in quality care-the case of follow-up to abnormal cancer screening tests-problems in the steps and interfaces of care*. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute - Monographs*, 2010(40): p. 58-71.
309. Zapka, J.M., et al., *Follow-up to abnormal cancer screening tests: Considering the multilevel context of care*. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention*, 2014. **23**(10): p. 1965-1973.
310. Zhang, H., *Temporal trends, incidence, and prevalence of young-onset colorectal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis*. *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 2016. **111**: p. S114.
311. Zhang, Z., et al., *Individualized management of pregnant women with high hepatitis B virus DNA levels*. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, 2014. **20**(34): p. 12056-12061.
312. Zhao, F.H., et al., *Pooled analysis of a self-sampling HPV DNA test as a cervical cancer primary screening method*. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 2012. **104**(3): p. 178-188.
313. Zwahlen, M., M. Bopp, and N.M. Probst-Hensch, *Mammography screening in Switzerland: Limited evidence from limited data*. *Swiss Medical Weekly*, 2004. **134**(21-22): p. 295-306.
314. Abdullahi, L.H., et al., *Knowledge, attitudes and practices on adolescent vaccination among adolescents, parents and teachers in Africa: A systematic review*. *Vaccine*, 2016. **34**(34): p. 3950-3960.
315. Akar, S.Z., et al., *The Role of Cultural Factors in Mammography Screening: Systematic Review*, in *20th World Congress on Controversies in Obstetrics, Gynecology & Infertility*, Z. BenRafael, Editor. 2015. p. 53-57.
316. Balmer, C., F. Griffiths, and J. Dunn, *A qualitative systematic review exploring lay understanding of cancer by adults without a cancer diagnosis*. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 2014. **70**(8): p. 1688-1701.
317. Belkic, K., et al., *Imaging surveillance programs for women at high breast cancer risk in Europe: Are women from ethnic minority groups adequately included?* *International Journal of Oncology*, 2015. **47**(3): p. 817-839.
318. Boger, E., et al., *Self-Management and Self-Management Support Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Mixed Research Synthesis of Stakeholder Views*. *Plos One*, 2015. **10**(7).
319. Braat, M., et al., *Radioembolization-induced liver disease: a systematic review*. *European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology*, 2017. **29**(2): p. 144-152.
320. Brigic, A., et al., *A systematic review regarding the feasibility and safety of endoscopic full thickness resection (EFTR) for colonic lesions*. *Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques*, 2013. **27**(10): p. 3520-3529.

321. Ciria, R., et al., *Comparative Short-term Benefits of Laparoscopic Liver Resection: 9000 Cases and Climbing*. *Annals of Surgery*, 2016. **263**(4): p. 761-777.
322. Comino, E.J., et al., *A systematic review of interventions to enhance access to best practice primary health care for chronic disease management, prevention and episodic care*. *Bmc Health Services Research*, 2012. **12**.
323. El-Kareh, R., O. Hasan, and G.D. Schiff, *Use of health information technology to reduce diagnostic errors*. *Bmj Quality & Safety*, 2013. **22**: p. ii40-ii51.
324. Fone, D., et al., *Systematic review of the use and value of computer simulation modelling in population health and health care delivery*. *Journal of Public Health Medicine*, 2003. **25**(4): p. 325-335.
325. Fowler, R.A., et al., *An Official American Thoracic Society Systematic Review: The Association between Health Insurance Status and Access, Care Delivery, and Outcomes for Patients Who Are Critically Ill*. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 2010. **181**(9): p. 1003-1011.
326. Franco, E.L., E. Duarte-Franco, and T.E. Rohan, *Evidence-based policy recommendations on cancer screening and prevention*. *Cancer Detection and Prevention*, 2002. **26**(5): p. 350-361.
327. Goossens, J., et al., *Cancer patients' and professional caregivers' needs, preferences and factors associated with receiving and providing fertility-related information: A mixed-methods systematic review*. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 2014. **51**(2): p. 300-319.
328. Graetz, V., et al., *Utilization of health care services by migrants in Europe-a systematic literature review*. *British Medical Bulletin*, 2017. **121**(1): p. 5-18.
329. Green, B.B. and S.H. Taplin, *Breast cancer screening controversies*. *Journal of the American Board of Family Practice*, 2003. **16**(3): p. 233-241.
330. Greenwald, P. and B.K. Dunn, *Do We Make Optimal Use of the Potential of Cancer Prevention?*, in *Cancer Prevention II*, H.J. Senn, U. Kapp, and F. Otto, Editors. 2009. p. 3-17.
331. Greer, J.A., et al., *A Systematic Review of Adherence to Oral Antineoplastic Therapies*. *Oncologist*, 2016. **21**(3): p. 354-376.
332. Guirguis-Blake, J.M., J.T. Henderson, and L.A. Perdue, *Periodic Screening Pelvic Examination Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force*. *Jama-Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2017. **317**(9): p. 954-966.
333. Herrmann, A., et al., *Wilfully out of sight? A literature review on the effectiveness of cancer-related decision aids and implementation strategies*. *Bmc Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 2016. **16**.
334. Hoffmann, T.C. and C. Del Mar, *Patients' Expectations of the Benefits and Harms of Treatments, Screening, and Tests A Systematic Review*. *Jama Internal Medicine*, 2015. **175**(2): p. 274-286.
335. Howell, D., et al., *A Pan-Canadian practice guideline: prevention, screening, assessment, and treatment of sleep disturbances in adults with cancer*. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 2013. **21**(10): p. 2695-2706.
336. Hurtado-de-Mendoza, A., et al., *Behavioral Interventions to Enhance Adherence to Hormone Therapy in Breast Cancer Survivors: A Systematic Literature Review*. *Clinical Breast Cancer*, 2016. **16**(4): p. 247-+.
337. Janberidze, E., et al., *How Are Patient Populations Characterized in Studies Investigating Depression in Advanced Cancer? Results From a Systematic Literature Review*. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 2014. **48**(4): p. 678-698.
338. Johnson, W.A. and H.C. Pieters, *Intimate Partner Violence Among Women Diagnosed With Cancer*. *Cancer Nursing*, 2016. **39**(2): p. 87-96.

339. Kim, K., et al., *Effects of Community-Based Health Worker Interventions to Improve Chronic Disease Management and Care Among Vulnerable Populations: A Systematic Review*. American Journal of Public Health, 2016. **106**(4): p. E3-E28.
340. Kyrgiou, M., et al., *Adiposity and cancer at major anatomical sites: umbrella review of the literature*. Bmj-British Medical Journal, 2017. **356**.
341. Lawrence, R.A., et al., *Primary Care Physicians' Perspectives of Their Role in Cancer Care: A Systematic Review*. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2016. **31**(10): p. 1222-1236.
342. Luzak, A., et al., *Clinical effectiveness of cancer screening biomarker tests offered as self-pay health service: a systematic review*. European Journal of Public Health, 2016. **26**(3): p. 498-505.
343. Macefield, R.C., et al., *A systematic review of on-site monitoring methods for health-care randomised controlled trials*. Clinical Trials, 2013. **10**(1): p. 104-124.
344. McCready, T., D. Littlewood, and J. Jenkinson, *Breast self-examination and breast awareness: a literature review*. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2005. **14**(5): p. 570-578.
345. Meiklejohn, J.A., et al., *The role of the GP in follow-up cancer care: a systematic literature review*. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2016. **10**(6): p. 990-1011.
346. Miovic, M. and S. Block, *Psychiatric disorders in advanced cancer*. Cancer, 2007. **110**(8): p. 1665-1676.
347. Nerich, V., et al., *Cost-utility analyses of drug therapies in breast cancer: a systematic review*. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2016. **159**(3): p. 407-424.
348. Noble, M., et al., *Computer-aided detection mammography for breast cancer screening: systematic review and meta-analysis*. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2009. **279**(6): p. 881-890.
349. Oeffinger, K.C., et al., *Breast Cancer Screening for Women at Average Risk 2015 Guideline Update From the American Cancer Society*. Jama-Journal of the American Medical Association, 2015. **314**(15): p. 1599-1614.
350. Otte, J.L., et al., *Systematic review of sleep disorders in cancer patients: can the prevalence of sleep disorders be ascertained?* Cancer Medicine, 2015. **4**(2): p. 183-200.
351. Patel, S.A. and M.E. Zenilman, *Outcomes in older people undergoing operative intervention for colorectal cancer*. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2001. **49**(11): p. 1561-1564.
352. Pearson, S.A., et al., *Studies using Australia's Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme data for pharmacoepidemiological research: a systematic review of the published literature (1987-2013)*. Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety, 2015. **24**(5): p. 447-455.
353. Pirrone, F. and M. Albertini, *Olfactory detection of cancer by trained sniffer dogs: A systematic review of the literature*. Journal of Veterinary Behavior-Clinical Applications and Research, 2017. **19**: p. 105-117.
354. Powe, B.D. and R. Finnie, *Cancer fatalism - The state of the science*. Cancer Nursing, 2003. **26**(6): p. 454-465.
355. Reynolds, L.M., et al., *Disgust and Behavioral Avoidance in Colorectal Cancer Screening and Treatment A Systematic Review and Research Agenda*. Cancer Nursing, 2013. **36**(2): p. 122-130.
356. Roine, E., et al., *Cost-effectiveness of interventions based on physical exercise in the treatment of various diseases: A systematic literature review*. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2009. **25**(4): p. 427-454.

357. Rutter, M.D., et al., *British Society of Gastroenterology/Association of Coloproctologists of Great Britain and Ireland guidelines for the management of large non-pedunculated colorectal polyps*. Gut, 2015. **64**(12): p. 1847-1873.
358. Senore, C., C. Bellisario, and C. Hassan, *Organization of surveillance in GI practice*. Best Practice & Research in Clinical Gastroenterology, 2016. **30**(6): p. 855-866.
359. Shan, L.F., et al., *PCR-Based Assays versus Direct Sequencing for Evaluating the Effect of KRAS Status on Anti-EGFR Treatment Response in Colorectal Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis*. Plos One, 2014. **9**(9).
360. Shepherd, J.P., G.K. Frampton, and P. Harris, *Interventions for encouraging sexual behaviours intended to prevent cervical cancer*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2011(4).
361. Singal, A.G., A. Pillai, and J. Tiro, *Early Detection, Curative Treatment, and Survival Rates for Hepatocellular Carcinoma Surveillance in Patients with Cirrhosis: A Meta-analysis*. Plos Medicine, 2014. **11**(4).
362. Stock, C., U. Haug, and H. Brenner, *Population-based prevalence estimates of history of colonoscopy or sigmoidoscopy: review and analysis of recent trends*. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2010. **71**(2): p. 366-381.
363. Subnis, U.B., et al., *Psychosocial Therapies for Patients With Cancer: A Current Review of Interventions Using Psychoneuroimmunology-Based Outcome Measures*. Integrative Cancer Therapies, 2014. **13**(2): p. 85-104.
364. Tapia-Siles, S.C., S. Coleman, and A. Cuschieri, *Current state of micro-robots/devices as substitutes for screening colonoscopy: assessment based on technology readiness levels*. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016. **30**(2): p. 404-413.
365. Tricco, A.C., et al., *Canadian oncogenic human papillomavirus cervical infection prevalence: Systematic review and meta-analysis*. BMC Infectious Diseases, 2011. **11**.
366. van den Beuken-van Everdingen, M.H.J., et al., *Update on Prevalence of Pain in Patients With Cancer: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis*. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 2016. **51**(6): p. 1070-+.
367. Vermeulen, V., K. Coppens, and K. Kesteloot, *Impact of health technology assessment on preventive screening in Belgium - Case studies of mammography in breast cancer, PSA screening in prostate cancer, and ultrasound in normal pregnancy*. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2001. **17**(3): p. 316-328.
368. Wade, R., et al., *Adjunctive colposcopy technologies for examination of the uterine cervix - DySIS, LuViva Advanced Cervical Scan and Niris Imaging System: a systematic review and economic evaluation*. Health Technology Assessment, 2013. **17**(8): p. 1-+.
369. Wainberg, S. and J. Husted, *Utilization of screening and preventive surgery among unaffected carriers of a BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene mutation*. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention, 2004. **13**(12): p. 1989-1995.
370. Wentzensen, N. and S.J. Klug, *Early Detection of Cervical Carcinomas Finding an Overall Approach*. Deutsches Arzteblatt International, 2008. **105**(37): p. 617-U10.
371. Wigle, J., E. Coast, and D. Watson-Jones, *Human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine implementation in low and middle-income countries (LMICs): Health system experiences and prospects*. Vaccine, 2013. **31**(37): p. 3811-3817.
372. Wong, C.K.H., et al., *Possible Impact of Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio (ICER) on Decision Making for Cancer Screening in Hong Kong: A Systematic Review*. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2016. **14**(6): p. 647-657.

373. Zapka, J.G., et al., *A framework for improving the quality of cancer care: The case of breast and cervical cancer screening*. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention*, 2003. **12**(1): p. 4-13.
374. Gotzsche, P.C. and M. Nielsen, *Screening for breast cancer with mammography*. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2009(4): p. CD001877.
375. Gartlehner, G., et al., *Mammography in combination with breast ultrasonography versus mammography for breast cancer screening in women at average risk*. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (4): p. CD009632.
376. Holme, O., et al., *Flexible sigmoidoscopy versus faecal occult blood testing for colorectal cancer screening in asymptomatic individuals*. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (9): p. CD009259.
377. Kusters, J.P. and P.C. Gotzsche, *Regular self-examination or clinical examination for early detection of breast cancer*. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (2): p. CD003373.
378. Posadzki, P., et al., *Automated telephone communication systems for preventive healthcare and management of long-term conditions*. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. **12**: p. CD009921.
379. Miller, D., V. Livingstone, and P. Herbison, *Interventions for relieving the pain and discomfort of screening mammography*. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (1): p. CD002942.
380. Hilgart, J.S., B. Coles, and R. Iredale, *Cancer genetic risk assessment for individuals at risk of familial breast cancer*. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2012(2): p. CD003721.
381. Hafner, S., et al., *Water infusion versus air insufflation for colonoscopy*. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (5): p. CD009863.
382. Kyrgiou, M., et al., *Immediate referral to colposcopy versus cytological surveillance for minor cervical cytological abnormalities in the absence of HPV test*. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. **1**: p. CD009836.
383. Grabosch, S.M., et al., *Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents to induce regression and prevent the progression of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia*. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2014(4): p. CD004121.
384. Brockbank, E., et al., *Pre-treatment surgical para-aortic lymph node assessment in locally advanced cervical cancer*. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2013(3): p. CD008217.
385. Cruickshank, S., et al., *Specialist breast care nurses for supportive care of women with breast cancer*. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2008(1): p. CD005634.
386. Goodwin, A., et al., *Post-operative radiotherapy for ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast*. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2013(11): p. CD000563.
387. Galaal, K., et al., *Interventions for reducing anxiety in women undergoing colposcopy*. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (12): p. CD006013.
388. Rydzewska, L., et al., *Neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus surgery versus surgery for cervical cancer*. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2012. **12**: p. CD007406.
389. Scatchard, K., et al., *Chemotherapy for metastatic and recurrent cervical cancer*. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. **10**: p. CD006469.
390. Arbyn, M., *Low cost versus other screening tests to detect cervical cancer or precancer in developing countries*. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.
391. van der Heijden, E., et al., *Follow-up strategies after treatment (large loop excision of the transformation zone (LLETZ)) for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN): Impact of human papillomavirus (HPV) test*. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. **1**: p. CD010757.

392. Staley, H., I. McCallum, and J. Bruce, *Postoperative tamoxifen for ductal carcinoma in situ*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. **10**: p. CD007847.
393. Mao, C., et al., *Toremifene versus tamoxifen for advanced breast cancer*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2012(7): p. CD008926.
394. Moschetti, I., et al., *Follow-up strategies for women treated for early breast cancer*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2016(5): p. CD001768.
395. Martin-Hirsch, P., et al., *Collection devices for obtaining cervical cytology samples*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (3): p. CD001036.
396. van Roon, A.H., *Guaiac-based faecal occult blood tests versus faecal immunochemical tests for colorectal cancer screening in average-risk individuals*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.
397. Flight, I., *Interventions for improving uptake of population-based screening for colorectal cancer using fecal occult blood testing*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.
398. Khan, S.M., M.J. Smeulders, and C.M. Van der Horst, *Wound drainage after plastic and reconstructive surgery of the breast*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2015(10): p. CD007258.
399. Brown, S.R., et al., *Chromoscopy versus conventional endoscopy for the detection of polyps in the colon and rectum*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2016. **4**: p. CD006439.
400. Skoetz, N., et al., *Prophylactic antibiotics or G(M)-CSF for the prevention of infections and improvement of survival in cancer patients receiving myelotoxic chemotherapy*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2015(12): p. CD007107.
401. Singh, H., et al., *Propofol for sedation during colonoscopy*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2008(4): p. CD006268.
402. Koliopoulos, G., *Cytology versus HPV testing for cervical cancer screening in the general population*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.
403. Phillips, J., *Screening sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy for reducing colorectal cancer mortality in asymptomatic persons*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.
404. Gillies, K., et al., *Decision aids for people considering taking part in clinical trials*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (11): p. CD009736.
405. Cavalheri, V., et al., *Exercise training undertaken by people within 12 months of lung resection for non-small cell lung cancer*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2013(7): p. CD009955.
406. Hurlow, A., et al., *Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS) for cancer pain in adults*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2012(3): p. CD006276.
407. Morgan, J., et al., *Transparent Cap Colonoscopy versus Standard Colonoscopy for Investigation of Gastrointestinal Tract Conditions*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (2): p. CD008211.
408. Dobbins, M., et al., *School-based physical activity programs for promoting physical activity and fitness in children and adolescents aged 6 to 18*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2013(2): p. CD007651.
409. Macaya, A., et al., *Interventions for anal canal intraepithelial neoplasia*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2012. **12**: p. CD009244.
410. Rollason, V., et al., *Interventions for treating bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ)*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2016. **2**: p. CD008455.
411. Birch, D.W., et al., *Heated insufflation with or without humidification for laparoscopic abdominal surgery*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. **10**: p. CD007821.

412. Mosli, M.H., et al., *Histologic scoring indices for evaluation of disease activity in ulcerative colitis*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2017. **5**: p. CD011256.
413. O'Mahony, M., et al., *Interventions for raising breast cancer awareness in women*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2017. **2**: p. CD011396.
414. Altobelli, E., et al., *Breast cancer screening programmes across the WHO European region: Differences among countries based on national income level*. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2017. **14 (4) (no pagination)**(452).
415. Andresen, E.M., et al., *Pap, Mammography, and Clinical Breast Examination Screening Among Women with Disabilities: A Systematic Review*. Womens Health Issues, 2013. **23(4)**: p. E205-E214.
416. Anonymous, *Recommendations on screening for breast cancer in average-risk women aged 40-74 years*. Cmaj, 2011. **183(17)**: p. 1991-2001.
417. Anonymous, *Abstracts of the British Psychosocial Oncology Society 2016 Annual Conference*. Psycho Oncology. Conference, 2016. **25**(no pagination).
418. Asonganyi, E., et al., *Factors Affecting Compliance with Clinical Practice Guidelines for Pap Smear Screening among Healthcare Providers in Africa: Systematic Review and Meta-Summary of 2045 Individuals*. PLoS ONE, 2013. **8 (9) (no pagination)**(e72712).
419. Babu, G.R., S.B. Lakshmi, and J.A. Thiyagarajan, *Epidemiological correlates of breast cancer in South India*. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP, 2013. **14(9)**: p. 5077-5083.
420. Baron, R.C., et al., *Client-Directed Interventions to Increase Community Demand for Breast, Cervical, and Colorectal Cancer Screening. A Systematic Review*. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2008. **35(1 SUPPL.)**: p. S34-S55.
421. Blackwell, S.C., *Enough evidence to treat? The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Guidelines*. Clinical Chemistry, 2012. **58(7)**: p. 1098-1100.
422. Brocklehurst, P., et al., *Screening programmes for the early detection and prevention of oral cancer*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2013(11): p. CD004150.
423. Bromley, E.G., et al., *A systematic review of patient, provider, and system barriers to colorectal cancer screening with colonoscopy in african-americans*. Gastroenterology, 2013. **1**): p. S581.
424. Brouwers, M.C., et al., *Effective interventions to facilitate the uptake of breast, cervical and colorectal cancer screening: an implementation guideline*. Implementation science : IS, 2011. **6**: p. 112.
425. Devaney, J., et al., *Navigating healthcare: gateways to cancer screening*. Disability & Society, 2009. **24(6)**: p. 739-751.
426. Donnelly, T.T., et al., *Arab Women's Breast Cancer Screening Practices: A Literature Review*. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2013. **14(8)**: p. 4519-4528.
427. Falk, D., *A systematic review of legislation impacting breast and cervical cancer prevention interventions in Texas*. Psycho-Oncology, 2016. **25**: p. 124-125.
428. Francis, R.M., *Cochrane collaboration and systematic reviews*. Age and Ageing, 2013. **42(6)**: p. 665-665.
429. Haddock, N., *Clinic capacity and spatial access to preventive breast health services for underserved survivors across texas*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 2015. **76(3-B(E))**: p. No Pagination Specified.
430. Holden, D.J., et al., *Systematic review: Enhancing the use and quality of colorectal cancer screening*. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2010. **152(10)**: p. 668-676.

431. Honein-AbouHaidar, G.N., et al., *Benefits and barriers to participation in colorectal cancer screening: A protocol for a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative studies*. BMJ Open, 2014. **4 (2) (no pagination)**(e004508).
432. Ko, M. and T. Badger, *Colorectal cancer screening behaviors among Korean Americans*. Psycho-Oncology, 2011. **1**): p. 68-69.
433. Linsky, A., *Capsule Commentary on Genoff et al., Navigating Language Barriers: A Systematic Review of Patient Navigators' Impact on Cancer Screening for Limited English Proficient Patients*. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2016. **31**(4): p. 415.
434. Lionis, C., *Colorectal cancer screening and the challenging role of general practitioner/family physician: An issue of quality*. Quality in Primary Care, 2007. **15**(3): p. 129-131.
435. McLachlan, S., A. Clements, and J. Austoker, *Patients' experiences and reported barriers to screening colonoscopy: A systematic review*. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2009. **1**): p. 1537.
436. Mema, S.C., et al., *Integrated cancer screening performance indicators: A systematic review*. PLoS ONE, 2016. **11 (8) (no pagination)**(e0161187).
437. Mitchell, A.J., et al., *Breast cancer screening in women with mental illness: comparative meta-analysis of mammography uptake*. British Journal of Psychiatry, 2014. **205**(6): p. 428-35.
438. Giorgi Rossi, P., et al., *[Methods to increase participation in cancer screening programmes]*. Epidemiologia e Prevenzione, 2012. **36**(1 Suppl 1): p. 1-104.
439. Sabatino, S.A., et al., *Interventions to Increase Recommendation and Delivery of Screening for Breast, Cervical, and Colorectal Cancers by Healthcare Providers. Systematic Reviews of Provider Assessment and Feedback and Provider Incentives*. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2008. **35**(1 SUPPL.): p. S67-S74.
440. Saitz, R., *'We do not see the lens through which we look': Screening mammography evidence and non-financial conflicts of interest*. Evidence-Based Medicine, 2013. **18**(3): p. 81-82.
441. Sarai Racey, C., D.R. Withrow, and D. Gesink, *Self-collected HPV testing improves participation in cervical cancer screening: A systematic review and meta-analysis*. Canadian Journal of Public Health, 2013. **104**(2): p. e159-e166.
442. Smith, A.A., D. Kepka, and K.R. Yabroff, *Advanced practice registered nurses, physician assistants and cancer prevention and screening: a systematic review*. BMC health services research, 2014. **14**: p. 68.
443. Vernon, S.W., et al., *Interventions to promote repeat breast cancer screening with mammography: a systematic review and meta-analysis*. J Natl Cancer Inst, 2010. **102**(14): p. 1023-39.
444. Viswanathan, M., et al., *Outcomes of community health worker interventions*. Evidence report/technology assessment, 2009(181): p. 1-144, A1-1442, B1-144214, passim.
445. Yabroff, K.R., et al., *Advancing comparative studies of patterns of care and economic outcomes in cancer: Challenges and opportunities*. Journal of the National Cancer Institute - Monographs, 2013(46): p. 1-6.