

Malnutrition Screening and Assessment in Hospitalised Older People: a Review

Elsa **Dent**^{1,2,3}, Emiel O. **Hoogendijk**⁴, Renuka **Visvanathan**⁵, Olivia R.L. **Wright**⁶

1. Torrens University Australia, Adelaide, Australia
2. Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Australia
3. School of Public Health, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
4. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Amsterdam Public Health research institute, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
5. National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Centre of Research Excellence Frailty Trans-disciplinary Research To Achieve Healthy Ageing, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia; Adelaide Medical School, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia
6. School of Human Movement and Nutrition Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

Corresponding Author:

Elsa Dent

Torrens University Australia

Level 1, 220 Victoria Square, Adelaide, AUSTRALIA 5000

Phone: +61 8 8 113 7823

Email: elsa.dent@adelaide.edu.au

Keywords (MeSH):

Nutrition Assessment; Nutritional Status; Patient Care Planning; Aged, 80 and over

60

Malnutrition (undernutrition) remains one of the most serious health problems for older people worldwide. Many factors contribute to malnutrition in older people, including: loss of appetite, polypharmacy, dementia, frailty, poor dentition, swallowing difficulties, social isolation, and poverty. Malnutrition is common in the hospital setting, yet often remains undetected by medical staff. The objective of this review is to compare the validity and reliability of Nutritional Screening Tools (NSTs) for older adults in the hospital setting. We also provide an overview of the various nutritional screening and assessment tools used to identify malnutrition in hospitalised older adults. These include: Subjective Global Assessment (SGA), the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA), MNA-short form (MNA-SF), Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST), Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire (SNAQ), Geriatric Nutrition Risk Index (GNRI) and anthropometric measurements. The prevalence and outcomes of malnutrition in hospitalised older adults are also addressed.

Introduction

Malnutrition (undernutrition), sometimes called “hidden hunger”, is caused by many factors including starvation, disease, and the ageing process (1-3). Older adults are particularly susceptible to malnutrition, which in turn, places them at increased risk of adverse clinical outcomes including mortality, functional decline, increased risk of infection, and admission to aged care facilities (4-14). In the hospital setting, malnutrition is very common amongst older adults, with around half of older adults affected (15).

Unfortunately, hospitals are renowned for contributing to further declines in nutritional status. Acute illness and injury can exacerbate weight loss during hospitalisation (5, 16). In addition, there is often an inadequate meal service, with inflexible meal times, limited food choice, insufficient time to eat meals, and a lack of culturally specific food (17-20). Meals may also lack sufficient energy requirements for patients, or patients may need to fast prior to medical tests (21, 22). Compounding this situation is that malnutrition regularly remains unrecognised in the hospital setting (5, 23).

Understandably, early identification of patients with malnutrition in the hospital setting remains crucial for optimal nutritional care (24). Nutritional Screening Tools (NSTs) offer a good opportunity to rapidly identify malnutrition (25, 26). There are a reported 32 nutritional screening tools (NSTs) for use in the hospital setting (25), with 23 of these specific to older adults (27). Despite this large number of screening tools, the literature shows limited comparison of the validity and reliability of these tools for older adults in the hospital setting. A recent review by Power et al. (2018) (27) looked at the validity of NSTs across various settings, however, they only examined criterion validity (validation against a “gold/reference standard”), and did not investigate construct validity. Similarly, a systematic review by van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren and colleagues (25) reported NSTs in the hospital setting, although their results were generic and did not focus specifically on older adults. Another recent systematic review by Marshal et al. (28) only looked at the validity of nutritional assessment instruments in hospitalised older people, and not NSTs (28).

The objective of this review is therefore to provide a comprehensive insight into the validity and reliability of NSTs for older adults in the hospital setting. We also provide an overview of the various nutritional screening and assessment tools used to identify malnutrition in

hospitalised older adults. A brief introduction on prevalence and outcomes of malnutrition in the hospital setting is additionally provided to highlight the context and importance of the topic. The terms malnutrition and undernutrition are used interchangeably in the literature, and for this review, malnutrition will allude to undernutrition rather than over-nutrition (29).

Methodology

Literature Search Strategy

A quasi-systematic review was performed. Publications were identified using the PubMed database using broad search terms previously used in systematic reviews (28, 30). Searches were limited to “human” and “English”, and age limits were not set in order to identify studies which incorporated older adults as part of subset analyses. Date restrictions were not set. Broad search terms were: Nutrition*, Malnutrition, Protein Energy Malnutrition, Undernutrition, Diagnos*, Evaluat*, Nutrition Status, Subjective Global Assessment, Mini Nutritional Assessment, Nutritional Screening, and Hospital. A lateral search was also conducted whereby the reference lists of relevant articles were searched for additional publications.

What is malnutrition?

There is currently no gold standard definition of malnutrition, although the common international consensus is that malnutrition is an inadequate nutritional status associated with adverse clinical outcomes (29). Recently, the European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN) (1) have supported the definition of malnutrition as “a state resulting from lack of intake or uptake of nutrition that leads to altered body composition (decreased fat free mass) and body cell mass leading to diminished physical and mental function and impaired clinical outcome from disease” (3). In Australia and New Zealand, the International Classification of Diseases code (version 10, Australian modification) (ICD-10-AM) is used to define malnutrition, as: “< 18.5 kg/m² or unintentional weight loss of ≥ 5% with evidence of suboptimal intake resulting in subcutaneous fat loss and/or muscle wasting” (31).

What causes malnutrition?

Older adults are at an increased risk of developing malnutrition due to multiple causal factors, including: co-morbidities and their complications such as polypharmacy, inflammation and pain (32, 33); lifestyle factors (2, 34, 35); psychological causes (36, 37); and age-related pathophysiology such as impairments in swallowing, taste, smell, sight, appetite and gastric

emptying (2, 36, 38). Age-related loss of weight and appetite is termed the ‘anorexia of ageing’ (39-41) and is well known to contribute to malnutrition in older adults. Around a quarter of malnutrition cases in older people have no known cause, and more often than not, an older adult will have several co-existing risk factors (14).

It is interesting that hospitalised older adults with malnutrition have been found to exhibit an increased likelihood of physiological system failure than non-malnourished patients (42). Decline in multiple physiological systems is a common premise of the geriatric condition of frailty (43, 44). Frailty is understandably linked to malnutrition, with the two conditions having similar aetiology (40, 41, 45-47), and often co-existing in both hospitalised (48) and community dwelling (49, 50) older adults. Similarly, malnutrition is closely related to sarcopenia (51), which is the “age-associated loss of skeletal muscle mass and function” (52, 53). Sarcopenia is common in hospitalised older adults with malnutrition (15).

Malnutrition Prevalence in the Hospital Setting

Older people have a much higher prevalence of malnutrition than younger people upon hospital admission, ranging from 1.2 – 2.3 times higher in patients aged over 65 years than those younger than 65 years based on several studies using the Subjective Global Assessment (SGA) for malnutrition classification (54-56). There is also much difference in malnutrition prevalence between hospitals predominantly due to different instruments used to diagnose malnutrition. For example, Baccoro and Sanchez (57) found large differences in malnutrition prevalence in their study of hospitalised women, with malnutrition diagnosed by the Subjective Global Assessment (SGA) (rating B + C) and by low Body Mass Index (BMI) being 49 % and 10 % respectively. Likewise, Bauer and colleagues (58) found variation in prevalence rate between instruments, with malnutrition diagnosed by the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) (scores <17) and SGA (rating B + C) being 33 % and 45 % respectively. We emphasise the importance of identifying malnutrition prevalence using full nutritional assessment, rather than NSTs which tends to over-identify malnutrition - based on low-moderate specificity values (30).

Other factors contributing to the observed inter-study differences in malnutrition prevalence include inter-tester reliability, the hospital location, the age distribution of patients (datasets with more older patients tend to report high malnutrition prevalence), and the characteristics of

the patients included in studies (29). For instance, hospital-based studies with a high percent of females may have a higher prevalence of malnutrition, given that female patients have been reported to have a higher risk of becoming malnourished (57). In addition, whether the study included surgical and/or medical patients, or those with dementia can have a large impact on prevalence rates of malnutrition (29). Of note, older adults with dementia are regularly excluded from studies of hospital-based malnutrition prevalence, even though they have a high likelihood of malnutrition compared with the general population (59, 60).

Consequences of Malnutrition in Hospitalised Older People

Malnutrition can have dire consequences for hospitalised older adults. A malnourished patient is at an increased risk of many adverse clinical outcomes, such as: mortality (6, 14, 29, 30, 61-66), infection (67), prolonged length of stay (LOS) (6, 29, 63, 68, 69), functional decline (30, 61, 70), discharge to higher level care (6, 8, 30, 68), falls (71), and rehospitalisation (29, 72). Hospital malnutrition is also costly to the health care system (73, 74).

Malnutrition and Mortality

Based on prospective studies, malnutrition in hospitalised older people generally increases mortality risk (29, 61-66). However, not all studies agree. For example, in a study of 444 Swedish patients with a heavy disease burden by Vischer et al. (75), MNA-SF categories were not associated with mortality at discharge, nor at 1 or 4 years follow-up. This lack of a relationship could potentially be due to the high number of co-morbidities overbearing the impact of malnutrition or from the benefits of nutritional care post-hospitalisation (75).

In studies that do show malnutrition contributes to mortality, much variation exists in the actual contribution of malnutrition to mortality risk. This variation can mostly be explained by the differences in nutritional assessment methods used, the differences in follow-up time and the lack of covariates controlled for in several studies and the potential protective effect of nutritional care post-hospitalisation. Overall, in the limited number of studies in which confounders have been controlled for, malnutrition has been found to consistently associate with mortality (14, 29, 61, 65, 75-81).

Malnutrition and Functional Decline

Only a handful of studies have prospectively looked at the influence of malnutrition and functional decline in hospitalised older people in acute care (61, 70, 79) and sub-acute care (72, 82-84). These studies all suggest that malnutrition is associated with a decline in activities of daily living (ADL) both in hospital and post-hospital in older people (61, 70). The extent of

functional decline in malnourished patients varied between studies, which could be due to the measure of functional decline used, the country of the population assessed and the degree of intervention patients encountered. One study also looked decline in instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) and found malnutrition was not related (61).

On the other hand, nutritional status improvements have been linked with functional gain in hospitalised older adults. For example, a recent Australian study found that one quarter of malnourished long-stay patients in Geriatric Evaluation and Management Units (GEMUs) improved their nutritional status over hospitalisation, which in turn was associated with gains in mobility scores (85).

Nutritional Screening

Malnutrition in older people is hard to identify (37, 86) and easily missed by clinical staff if nutritional screening is not performed (87, 88). Failing to identify malnutrition will lead to failing to treat (87); an undesirable outcome. Ultimately, to identify malnutrition or risk of malnutrition, a full nutritional assessment should be performed (29). However, such a comprehensive assessment is not feasible to perform on all patients in the hospital setting due both time and financial constraints (29). A more practical option is to use nutritional screening. Nutritional screening offers a relatively rapid and inexpensive method to identify patients who are at risk of malnutrition. In the hospital setting, nutritional screening is recommended to be accompanied by both a full nutritional assessment and an appropriate intervention for any patients identified with a risk of malnourishment (89-91). Nutritional screening is therefore a crucial precursor to the Nutrition Care Process (NCP) (92).

Despite the reported importance of nutritional screening for all hospitalised older patients (87, 93), several studies have reported that nutritional screening remains irregularly performed in the hospital setting due to several common, persisting factors (see Box 1). These include: time and staff shortages; confusion regarding which screening tool to use; limited information for staff to implement the screening tool; screening not seen as an admission priority or embedded in admission systems; and the common misconception by health practitioners that their judgement of a patient being underweight is superior to nutritional screening. In addition, nutritional screening is often not performed with a validated screening tool (36), particularly not one validated in the hospital setting (34).

Also notable as a major barrier to nutritional screening is the lack of effectiveness of current nutritional intervention strategies (7, 93). For example, a systematic review of oral nutritional support in older patients discharged from hospital found that whilst all studies found patients gained weight and/or increased their energy intake, mortality rates were not affected by nutritional supplementation in any studies (94). Notwithstanding this, a randomised controlled trial in Australia found that if nutritional screening was paired with an early intervention malnutrition care plan in malnourished patients (MNA score < 17), then patient length of stay was reduced from an average of 19.5 to 10.6 days (88).

Nutritional Screening Tools (NSTs)

There are several characteristics of a good NST. These include: rapid and easy application (95, 96); cost effectiveness (97); acceptance by patients (29); acceptance into the clinical setting (uses routinely collected information, and requires no complex computations) (29); can identify those who will need a nutritional assessment (1, 23, 25); population-specific (29); has criterion validity, [which is how well the tool compares to either an objective assessment by a dietitian/geriatrician, full nutritional assessment, or MNA/SGA] (98); content (face) validity [includes relevant components] (29, 99), and construct validity (how well the NST compares to other NSTs and laboratory values (29, 98). A bonus feature is that the NST can predict nutritional-related outcomes (30, 98).

Currently no reference standard for nutritional screening in older people has been agreed upon for clinical application and accordingly, various NSTs have been developed. NSTs tend to include BMI and a short string of questions regarding recent weight loss, food intake and risk of accelerated nutritional decline due to chronic disease (89). Several recent reviews of NSTs in older people have been conducted, including an evaluation of their validity and reliability (27, 29, 89, 98, 100, 101). Of note, because there is not one set reference standard for malnutrition assessment/diagnosis, NSTs are often validated against many standards of malnutrition assessment (27, 102). This section describes some of the most commonly used nutritional screening tools applicable to the hospital setting, and compares their validity and reliability head-to-head for hospitalised older patients.

The Mini Nutritional Assessment Short Form (MNA-SF)

The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) short form (MNA-SF) (103, 104) comprises six questions from the full MNA, and is the first part of a two-part process: the MNA-SF for screening for malnutrition or risk of malnutrition, followed by referral for MNA assessment (105). The MNA-SF is generally considered to be user friendly in that it takes less than 5 minutes to apply, at least in community dwelling older people (29). The MNA-SF has a high sensitivity and specificity when compared against the full MNA (103, 106), although this is a form of incorporation bias as the MNA-SF contains questions from the MNA (98). When the MNA-SF was compared against nutritional assessment or professional assessment of nutritional status in hospitalised older people, it showed poor specificity (107, 108).

Table 1 (Column 2) provides an outline of studies validating the MNA-SF against various reference standards. Like the MNA, very few studies have looked at construct validity of the MNA-SF; that is, how well it compares against components of a full nutritional assessment. The MNA-SF also provides the option of assessing calf circumference (CC) in lieu of the difficult to measure BMI (103). CC-incorporated MNA-SF was found to have similar accuracy of identifying malnutrition as the BMI incorporated MNA-SF in a recent Australian study of GEMU patients (109). However, a Spanish study of hospitalised older adults with diabetes disagreed, reporting that BMI-incorporated MNA-SF showed higher accuracy (110). Both these two studies used MNA as a reference standard.

The Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST)

The Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST) was developed by the British Association for Parenteral and Enteral nutrition (BAPEN) (111). It classifies patients as either at low, medium or high malnutrition risk based on an older person's BMI, history of unintentional weight loss and the probability of future weight loss based on acute disease (111, 112). MUST is a popular screening tool in UK national surveys of malnutrition (113) and has been found to have a similar reliability to the MNA in screening for nutritional risk in geriatric populations (99). When compared to the MNA, MUST has been reported to take less time, and to require less subjectivity by interviewers (99). However, MUST does have its disadvantages. It was recently found to have a low completion rate (47 % missing data) in a study of hospitalised older people, with the authors of this study rendering it less clinically applicable than other nutritional screening tools (108). MUST also includes BMI which is complicated to measure in older people (see Section 0) as well as having a BMI cut-off point that has been suggested

to be too low for older people (114). Table 1 (Column 3) shows validity and reliability studies incorporating MUST. From this table it can be seen that MUST has been found to have a low agreement with both weight loss and BMI (99, 108) and has been found to have low sensitivity (61 %) and specificity (76 %) in a large study of hospitalised older people of all ages (115).

Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire (SNAQ)

The Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire (SNAQ) (116) consists of 4 questions: one each on appetite, taste, satiety and meal frequency (116). Responses to each question are reported on a Likert scale ranging from 'very poor' to 'very good'. A score of 14 or less out of a possible 20 predicts future weight loss in older people (116, 117). SNAQ is advantageous as it is quick and easy to implement and requires no specialist equipment or training of assessors. SNAQ has been validated against weight loss in older people (116). It has also been validated against the MNA in hospitalised older people, where it showed modest sensitivity and specificity values of 71 % and 74 % respectively (118). Considerable more work is needed to validate the SNAQ, particularly against components of nutritional assessment (see Table 1, Column 4). Recently, SNAQ has been found to predict weight loss in patients with liver cirrhosis (119), as well as adverse clinical outcomes in hospitalised older females (120) (see Table 1, Column 4).

Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index (GNRI)

The Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index (GNRI) was developed as a nutritional-risk index for older people, based on the 'Nutritional Risk Index' for younger people (121). Since its development, it has also been validated against the MNA, although its agreement is low ($\kappa = 0.29$) (122). The equation for predicting GNRI is as follows:

$$\text{GNRI} = (1.489 \times \text{albumin (g/L)}) + (41.7 \times (\text{weight/WLo}))$$

With WLo = Ideal Weight, using Lorentz equations as described by Boulianne et al. (121):

$$\text{Men: } \text{WLo} = H - 100 - ((H - 150)/4)$$

$$\text{Women: } \text{WLo} = H - 100 - ((H - 150)/2.5)$$

With H = height in cm; g = grams; L = Litre

GNRI categories are: major risk (scores < 82), moderate risk (scores < 92), low risk (scores 92 to ≤ 98) and no risk (> 9) (121). The GNRI can be considered as a nutritional screening tool, although more validation studies are needed, as evident from reviewing Table 1 (Column 5).

Other Nutritional Screening Tools

Multiple other nutritional screening tools exist for older people, including the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (111), Malnutrition Screening Tool (123), the Determine Your Health Nutritional Screening Initiative (NSI) checklist (124), the Nutritional Status Score (NSS) (125) and the Rapid Screen (RS) (126).

Nutritional Assessment

Without a gold standard definition or assessment method for malnutrition, a reference standard is often used to diagnose malnutrition. This reference standard is usually a Full Nutritional Assessment (FNA) or an assessment by a trained professional such as a dietician, researcher, nurse or doctor (98, 127). A nutritional assessment includes four main components, summarised as ‘ABCD’: Anthropometric Measures, Biochemical and laboratory measures, Clinical Methods and Dietary Evaluation Methods (128). Functional capacities (grip strength and walking speed) are also important components of a nutritional assessment (29, 129).

Importantly, The American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN) and the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics have jointly proposed that malnutrition should be diagnosed when two or more out of six criteria co-exist: weight loss, low energy intake, loss of subcutaneous fat, fluid accumulation, muscle mass loss, and weakened grip strength (130). Recently, the Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition (GLIM) (ESPEN and ASPEN endorsed) has recommended that malnutrition be diagnosed when there exists: at least one of three phenotypic criterion (unintentional weight loss, low BMI and/or decreased muscle mass) and at least one of two aetiological criterion (decreased food intake or assimilation, and inflammation or disease burden) (91, 96). Other validated reference standards for nutritional assessment in older people include the Subjective Global Assessment (SGA) and the MNA (42,79).

Subjective Global Assessment (SGA)

The Subjective Global Assessment (SGA) (131, 132) is a multidimensional nutritional assessment instrument evaluating: weight loss history, change in dietary intake, persistent

gastro-intestinal symptoms (> 2 weeks), functional capacity (optimal, sub-optimal, ambulatory or bedridden), disease diagnosis and its influence on nutritional requirements (none, low, moderate or high stress), physical features of the patient (low subcutaneous fat levels, muscle wasting, ankle and/or sacral oedema and ascites) (132). The SGA has no numerical scoring system, rather it is used by professionals to subjectively classify patients as being well nourished (SGA A), with mild-moderate malnutrition (SGA B) or with severe malnutrition (SGA C) (129, 132). SGA was initially developed for use in people of all ages (132), but has since been validated for use in older hospitalised patients (133-135).

The SGA has been endorsed by several organisations, including The American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN) (136), by The European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN) (137) and the Dieticians Association of Australia (DAA) (138). However, the SGA is not objective like the MNA, thereby rendering it impractical for intervention and follow-up studies. Another limitation of the SGA is that both its construct (25) and concurrent validity (demonstration of a correlation between SGA and a 'reference standard' of malnutrition diagnosis) (28) are low.

The Mini Nutritional Assessment

The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) is an eighteen question nutritional assessment instrument specifically developed for use in older people (139-141). It is comprised of four components: anthropometry (BMI, calf circumference (CC) and mid-arm circumference (MAC) measurement); self-reported health; dietary questions (including weight loss) and clinical health (105, 139, 141). The MNA is scored out of 30, with scores < 17/30 classified as 'malnourished', scores 17-23.5 as 'at risk of malnourishment', and scores > 23.5 as 'well nourished' (105, 139, 141). In the literature, the MNA is frequently used as both a NST and an assessment instrument.

The MNA has undergone extensive validity and reliability testing, particularly in community based studies, and is popular for use in older people globally (28, 139, 142-144). Table 1 (Column 1) lists validity studies of the MNA, including studies specifically looking at hospitalised older people. From this table it can be seen that there are only a limited number of studies looking at the validity of the MNA in hospitalised older people, with sensitivity and specificity values appearing low overall. Recently, the MNA has also been improved for specificity by using population specific cut-offs for its anthropometric measures of BMI, calf

circumference (CC) and mid arm circumference (MAC) (145, 146) but these studies have yet to be applied to acute care geriatric wards. Also evident from this Table are the mixed results of studies of hospitalised older people looking at the construct validity of MNA, that is, how well it compares against components of a full nutritional assessment.

MNA has many advantages, including identification of malnutrition before severe weight loss occurs (139) and its ability to monitor changes in nutritional status (139). However, the MNA has disadvantages. It includes subjective questions, which are more suited to community dwelling rather than hospitalised older people (89) and which can result in a lack of inter-tester reliability (147, 148) It can over-diagnose risk of malnutrition in frail, older people (149), perhaps because the MNA itself can also identify frailty (2, 49). Other disadvantages of the MNA include its lack of ability to predict future malnutrition (149) and its inability to be used in patients with cognitive impairment (29) or in those with enteral feeding (150).

Weight

Weight assessment is often overlooked in geriatric wards. A study of a geriatric ward in Germany found weight was only documented in 54 % of geriatric patients (151). Even nutritional studies of older hospitalised patients have reported not measuring patient weight due to difficulties in assessing. For instance, Stratton and colleagues (63) were only able to weigh 56 % of patients in their study validating the MUST. Additionally, Tsai and colleagues (152) did not measure body weight in any of their long term care subjects, citing a lack of equipment available as the reason they did not measure weight. Multiple other reasons exist why weight measurement is difficult to perform in older people, including issues such as hearing or vision loss, dementia, incontinence, language barriers, delirium and frailty (29). It could also be that a patient is simply too ill to be weighed (153).

Weight Loss

Many NSTs (including MNA and MUST) incorporate weight loss information. Weight loss in older people is associated with many detrimental outcomes, including prolonged hospital admissions (99), increased infection risk (67), functional decline (154) and reduced life expectancy (139, 155, 156). A five year follow-up study of the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) also reported that weight loss was the best predictor of mortality in older people (157).

Body Mass Index (BMI)

Body Mass Index ($\text{weight}(\text{kg})/\text{height}(\text{m})^2$) is an established part of clinical nutrition screening and is often used as a screening tool for malnourishment on hospital admission (29). It is included as part of many NSTs of older people, including the MNA and MUST. BMI is quantitative and has the further advantages of being correlated with both fat mass (158) and MNA (159) in older people. Nevertheless, the use of BMI as a NST in older people is contentious for several reasons: it may not be a sensitive, reliable or valid measure of nutritional status in older people due to inaccuracies in assessing both height and weight (158); it does not correlate with weight loss in geriatric inpatients (99); it is overestimated in those who are well nourished and underestimated in those with risk of malnutrition (159); it is not an indicator of protein-energy malnutrition (29); and its correlation with fat mass is significantly lower in older people compared with younger people (160).

The optimal BMI for older people is also disputed and until this is defined, a broad range of BMI cut-offs for malnutrition detection in older people will exist. Even screening tools do not have standard BMI cut-offs, with the MUST and the MNA having BMI cut-offs of 18.5 kg/m^2 and 20 kg/m^2 respectively. Moreover, the ideal BMI for older people may be significantly higher than the commonly accepted $20\text{-}25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ for younger adults (158). This higher optimal BMI may mean BMI cut-offs for malnourishment detection in both the MNA and MUST are currently too low. These low BMI cut-offs may impede diagnoses of malnutrition based on weight loss. For instance, a Dutch study found that several older adults with a BMI above 25 kg/m^2 who had unintentional weight loss were not identified as being malnourished (161). The ESPEN have designated a BMI less than 22 kg/m^2 to define malnutrition in individuals aged 70 years or over (90)

Limb Circumference Measures

Circumference measurements reflect body levels of both lean and fat mass (162). Therefore these measures can be used to assess nutritional status in older people without needing to rely on height or weight measures. Commonly used circumference measures in the hospital setting include mid-arm circumference (MAC) and calf circumference (CC). Both of these measures are included in the MNA, and CC is nowadays included in the MNA-SF as an option in lieu of BMI (103). CC and MAC measures are popular with hospital staff as they are simple and easy to measure (29).

CC is measured as the widest girth of the calf; MAC as the mid-point circumference of the upper arm, mid-way between the acromion process and the elbow's lateral epicondyle (163). CC has been found to be more accurate at identifying malnutrition than MAC, except in people with end-stage functional decline (164). Despite their advantages, CC and MAC do have limitations. For example, MAC, although correlated with BMI (154) has been found to be a poor marker of malnutrition (165) and CC is highly influenced by common presence of ankle oedema.

Conclusion

Malnutrition is common in hospitalised older adults, yet often remains undetected by medical staff. Nutritional assessment is the ideal process to identify older adults requiring nutritional support, however it is time consuming to complete. Nutritional screening tools are useful for rapid, early identification of malnutrition, but need to be paired with nutritional assessment for accurate malnutrition identification. This review identified that most nutritional screening tools are not well validated against nutritional assessment. Further research is therefore needed to validate nutritional screening tools for older adults in the hospital setting, particularly regarding domains of nutritional assessment.

Acknowledgement

E.D. was supported by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Early Career Ageing [grant number 1112672]. E.O.H. was supported by an NWO/ZonMw Veni fellowship [grant number 91618067]. R.V. was supported by an NHMRC Centre of Research Excellence in Frailty Trans-disciplinary Research to Achieve Healthy Ageing [grant number 1102208]. The early workings of this review were developed during the doctoral candidature of E.D. of which Prof Ian Chapman and Prof Renuka Visvanathan were supervisors of. Financial support for earlier work on this study was provided by PhD scholarship funds from the Centre of Research Excellence in Translating Nutritional Science into Good Health, The University of Adelaide [NHMRC grant number 1041687].

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Box 1: Barriers and Problems with Implementation of Nutritional Screening Tools in the Hospital Setting

Barriers and Problems to Implementation
1. Lack of time and staff to implement the NSTs (166)
2. Cost (167)
3. Nutritional screening is not seen as important for patients on admission (168)
4. Nutritional screening is not a standard, routine procedure in a patient's hospital admission (169, 170)
5. Indecision over which NST to use (102)
6. Results of nutritional screening are not always documented in patient charts (93)
7. Patients who do not outwardly look malnourished are often not screened with a NST (166)
8. Most NSTs use BMI computations, which require the often difficult measurement of patient height and weight (166). Moreover, weight and height are commonly not measured in the hospital setting (167)
9. The use of BMI may be masking malnutrition (158)
10. Lack of information on validity and reliability (102)
11. NSTs are validated against many reference standards of malnutrition assessment as there is not one set reference standard for malnutrition assessment/diagnosis (171)
12. Nutritional screening is often not performed with a validated screening tool (172) or is performed with a screening tool not validated in that specific population (166)
13. The common belief by nurses that individual judgement of a patient being underweight is superior to a nutritional screening tool in detecting malnutrition or risk of malnutrition (166)
14. Multiple referral pathways for a full nutritional assessment often can result in a 'verbal' referral rather than a NST being utilised for referral (166)
15. The common misconception that patients not in the hospital for very long do not need to be screened (166)
16. Limited information for health practitioners on how to implement the NST appropriately (29)
17. Health Care professionals report that there are too many screening tools to choose from, so they choose none (99).
18. Interventions as the result of nutritional screening may not always be beneficial to patients, particularly in the short term (170)

Abbreviations: NST = Nutritional Screening Tool; BMI = Body Mass Index

Table 1: Comparisons of Selected Nutritional Screening Tools and Nutritional Risk Indices[†]

Feature	Nutritional Screening Tool										
	MNA (141)			MNA-SF(104)	MUST(111)	SNAQ(116)	GNRI (121)				
Items Included	Weight Loss BMI and CC Appetite Loss Mobility Stress/Acute Disease Dementia/Depression	Living Situation Drugs Skin Lesions Full Meals Protein Intake Fruits, Vegetables	Fluid Intake Mode of Feeding Nutritional Status Health Status MAC	Weight Loss BMI or CC Appetite Loss Mobility Stress/Acute Disease Dementia/Depression	Weight Loss BMI Acute Disease	Appetite Taste Satiety Meal Frequency	Serum Albumin Weight Height				
Criterion Validity and Reliability	<p>1. Validated in multiple studies of community dwelling older people where it has shown good sensitivity and specificity against a full nutritional assessment (139, 173).</p> <p>Hospitalised Older People:</p> <p>2. Validated against nutritional assessment by physicians: Se = 79 %; Sp = 90 % in 65 patients aged ≥ 65 years. Visvanathan et al. 2004 (126).</p> <p>3. Validated against nutritional assessment by dieticians: Se = 57 %; Sp = 69% in 160 patients aged ≥ 65 years. Azad et al. 1999 (174).</p> <p>4. Validated against full nutritional assessment: Se = 77 %; Sp = 36% in 60 patients aged > 65 years. Thorsdottir et al. 2005.(175).</p>			<p>1. Validated against nutritional assessment by physicians: MNA-SF-BMI: Se = 89 %; Sp = 82 %, MNA-SF-CC: Se = 85 %, Sp = 84 % in 2032 people aged ≥ 65years; 1346 in residential care, 490 community dwelling, 127 hospitalised, 65 in rehabilitation. Kaiser et al. 2009 (103).</p> <p>2. Validated against MNA: Se = 98 %, Sp = 100 % in 881people (mean aged 76.4 years); with 650 community dwelling, 105 hospitalised, others not defined. Rubenstein et al. 2001 (104).</p> <p>Hospitalised Older People:</p> <p>3. Validated against MNA: Se = 100 %, Sp = 70 % in 408 patients aged ≥ 60 years. Cohendy & Rubenstein, 2001 (106).</p> <p>4. Validated against nutritional assessment by clinical nutritionist: Se = 100 %, Sp = 38 % in 69 patients aged ≥ 70 years. Rahnoff et al. 2005 (108).</p> <p>5. Validated against nutritional assessment (low BMI & weight loss): Se = 100 %, Sp = 39 % in 171 patients aged > 60 years. Neelemaat et al. 2011 (108)</p> <p>6. 85 % agreement with MNA in 444 patients aged ≥ 75 years. Vischer et al. 2012 (75).</p>		<p>1. Validated against SGA: Se = 61 %. Sp = 76 % in 995 patients of all ages. Kyle et al. 2006 (115).</p> <p>Hospitalised Older People:</p> <p>2. Validated against SGA: Se and Sp not reported. Agreement = 92 % (k = 0.783) in 50 patients (mean age 45 years). Stratton et al. 2004 (111).</p> <p>3. Agreement with MNA (k = 0.790) in 531 patients aged ≥ 65 years by Cansado et al. 2009 (99)</p> <p>4. Agreement with combined index of malnutrition: Se = 81%, Sp = 99 % (k = 0.810) in 141 patients ≥ 65 years. Baek et al. (178)</p>		<p>1. Validated against MNA: Se = 71 %, Sp = 74 % in 175 community/hospitalized and residential care people aged ≥ 65 years. Rolland et al. 2012 (118).</p> <p>Hospitalised Older People:</p> <p>2. Validated against SGA (B+C) Se = 100 %, Sp = 53 % in 134 patients > 65 years. Young et al. 2013 (127)</p>		<p>(1) Agreement with MNA Agreement: k = 0.29 in 241 residential care residents. Cereda et al. 2009 (122).</p> <p>Hospitalised Older People:</p> <p>2. Agreement with combined index of malnutrition: Se = 95%, Sp = 67 % (k = 0.600) in 141 patients ≥ 65 years. Baek et al. (178)</p>	

		<p>7. Validated against MNA: Se = 90 %, Sp = 78 % (when using BMI); Se = 95 %, Sp = 65 % (when using CC) in 100 GEMU patients aged \geq 75 years. Dent et al. 2017 (109)</p> <p>8. Agreement with MNA: (k = 0.575) in 190 geriatric day hospital patients aged \geq 65 years. Schrader et al. 2016 (176)</p> <p>9. Agreement with MNA: (k = 0.700) in 201 patients \geq 65 years. Christner et al. 2016 (177)</p> <p>10. Low validity against combined index of malnutrition: Se = 100 %, Sp = 49 % (k = 0.46) in 141 patients \geq 65 years. Baek et al. (178)</p> <p>11. Low validity against SGA (B+C): Se = 100 %, Sp = 53 % in 134 patients $>$ 65 years. Young et al. 2013 (127)</p>			<p>2. Validated against MNA: (k = 0.610) in 131 patients aged \geq 60 years. Abd-El-Gawad et al. 2014 (179)</p>
Construct Validity	<p>Hospitalised Older People:</p> <p>1. Agreement with % Weight Loss (k = 0.293), BMI (k = 0.063) and MUST (K = 0.790) in 531 patients aged \geq 65 years (99)</p> <p>2. No association with BMI or CRP, iron, cholesterol, vitamin D, Albumin, Prealbumin and Haemoglobin showed a weak relationship. 444 patients aged \geq 75 years. Adjusted for confounders. Vischer et al. 2012 (75).</p> <p>3. Associated with low BMI and low levels of albumin, serum cholesterol, Vitamin A, Vitamin D. Se and Sp not reported. Univariate analysis in 106 patients aged \geq 65 years (180).</p> <p>4. Associated with triceps skinfold thickness, CC and BMI and Mid-Arm muscle circumference. Multivariate analysis in 109 patients aged $>$ 70 years (181).</p> <p>5. Associated with BMI, arm muscle area, albumin, transferrin, haemoglobin, lymphocyte</p>	<p>Hospitalised Older People:</p> <p>1. Associated with weight, BMI, Mid-Arm muscle circumference & grip strength in patients aged 65 – 99 years. Univariate analysis. Rasheed et al. 2013 (183).</p> <p>2. Associated with BMI, albumin haemoglobin, handgrip strength, CC and MAC in 142 patients aged \geq 65 years. Zhou et al. 2015 (184)</p>	<p>Hospitalised Older People:</p> <p>1. Agreement with % Weight Loss (k = 0.275), BMI (k = 0.111) and MNA (K = 0.790) in 531 patients aged \geq 65 years by Cansado et al. 2009 (99).</p> <p>2. Associated with weight, BMI, Mid-Arm muscle circumference, grip strength, albumin and pre-albumin in patients aged 65 – 99 years (183).</p> <p>3. Validated against low BMI and weight loss): Se = 67 %, Sp = 82 % in 171 patients aged $>$ 60</p>	<p>1. Validated against Weight Loss (5 %): Se = 81 %, Sp = 76% and Weight Loss (10 %): Se = 88 and Sp = 84 % by Wilson et al. 2005 (116); long-term care residents (n=247) and community-dwelling adults (n=352).</p>	<p><u>Hospitalised Older People:</u></p> <p>1. Associated with BMI, arm muscle area, albumin, transferrin & haemoglobin in 358 older people (77 % in hospital). Univariate analysis. Mean age = 84.6 years (182)</p>

	count, total cholesterol & creatinine in 358 older people (77 % in hospital). Univariate analysis. Mean age = 84.6 years (182)		years. Neelemaat et al. 2011 (108).		2. Associated with BMI, MAC, CC and albumin in 131 patients aged \geq 60 years. Abd-El-Gawad et al. 2014 (179)
BMI cut-off point (kg/m ²)	< 23	< 23	< 20	n/a	Continuous Variable

† A quasi-systematic review was performed, therefore results do not represent an exhaustive search of the literature.

Abbreviations: BMI = Body Mass Index; CC = Calf Circumference; MAC = Mid-Arm Circumference; MNA = Mini Nutritional Assessment; MNA-SF = MNA short form; MNA-SF-BMI = MNA-SF with BMI; MNA-SF-CC = MNA-SF with CC substituted for BMI; MUST = Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool; SNAQ = Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire; GNRI = Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index; Se = sensitivity; Sp = Specificity; κ = kappa; MAC = Mid Arm Circumference; GEMU = Geriatric Evaluation and Management Unit; n/a = not applicable. 'Patients' refers to hospitalised patients.

References

1. Cederholm T, Barazzoni R, Austin P, Ballmer P, Biolo G, Bischoff SC, et al. ESPEN guidelines on definitions and terminology of clinical nutrition. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2017;36(1):49-64.
2. Morley JE. Undernutrition in older adults. *Fam Pract*. 2012;29 Suppl 1:i89-i93.
3. Sobotka LE. *Basics in clinical nutrition (4th ed.)*. Galen, editor 2012
4. Dent E, Chapman IM, Piantadosi C, Visvanathan R. Performance of nutritional screening tools in predicting poor six-month outcome in hospitalised older patients. *Asia Pacific journal of clinical nutrition*. 2014;23(3):394-9.
5. Correia MI, Hegazi RA, Higashiguchi T, Michel JP, Reddy BR, Tappenden KA, et al. Evidence-based recommendations for addressing malnutrition in health care: an updated strategy from the feedM.E. Global Study Group. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2014;15(8):544-50.
6. O'Shea E, Trawley S, Manning E, Barrett A, Browne V, Timmons S. Malnutrition in Hospitalised Older Adults: A Multicentre Observational Study of Prevalence, Associations and Outcomes. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2017;21(7):830-6.
7. Beck AM, Dent E, Baldwin C. Nutritional intervention as part of functional rehabilitation in older people with reduced functional ability: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled studies. *Journal of human nutrition and dietetics : the official journal of the British Dietetic Association*. 2016;29(6):733-45.
8. Folven K, Biringer E, Abrahamsen JF. Mini Nutritional Assessment Short-Form (MNA-SF) Predicts Institutionalisation in an Intermediate Post-Acute Care Setting. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2018;22(2):199-204.
9. Lengyel CO, Jiang D, Tate RB. Trajectories of Nutritional Risk: The Manitoba Follow-Up Study. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2017;21(6):604-9.
10. Huang BT, Peng Y, Liu W, Zhang C, Chai H, Huang FY, et al. Nutritional State Predicts All-Cause Death Independent of Comorbidities in Geriatric Patients with Coronary Artery Disease. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2016;20(2):199-204.
11. Kalkan C, Kartal AC, Karakaya F, Tuzun A, Soykan I. Utility of Three Prognostic Risk Scores in Predicting Outcomes in Elderly Non-Malignant Patients after Percutaneous Gastrostomy. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2017;21(10):1344-8.
12. Soderstrom L, Rosenblad A, Thors Adolfsson E, Bergkvist L. Malnutrition is associated with increased mortality in older adults regardless of the cause of death. *Br J Nutr*. 2017;117(4):532-40.
13. Sanchez-Rodriguez D, Marco E, Schott AM, Rolland Y, Blain H, Vazquez-Ibar O, et al. Malnutrition according to ESPEN definition predicts long-term mortality in general older population: Findings from the EPIDOS study-Toulouse cohort. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2018.
14. van Wissen J, van Stijn MF, Doodeman HJ, Houdijk AP. Mini Nutritional Assessment and Mortality after Hip Fracture Surgery in the Elderly. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2016;20(9):964-8.
15. Cerri AP, Bellelli G, Mazzone A, Pittella F, Landi F, Zambon A, et al. Sarcopenia and malnutrition in acutely ill hospitalized elderly: Prevalence and outcomes. (1532-1983 (Electronic)).
16. Avelino-Silva TJ, Jaluul O. Malnutrition in Hospitalized Older Patients: Management Strategies to Improve Patient Care and Clinical Outcomes. *International Journal of Gerontology*. 2017;11(2):56-61.
17. Hickson M, Connolly A, Whelan K. Impact of protected mealtimes on ward mealtime environment, patient experience and nutrient intake in hospitalised patients. *Journal of human nutrition and dietetics : the official journal of the British Dietetic Association*. 2011;24(4):370-4.
18. Furman EF. Undernutrition in older adults across the continuum of care: nutritional assessment, barriers, and interventions. *Journal of gerontological nursing*. 2006;32(1):22-7.

19. Wright OR, Connelly LB, Capra S, Hendrikz J. Determinants of foodservice satisfaction for patients in geriatrics/rehabilitation and residents in residential aged care. *Health expectations : an international journal of public participation in health care and health policy*. 2013;16(3):251-65.
20. Howson FFA, Sayer AA, Roberts HC. The impact of trained volunteer mealtime assistants on dietary intake and satisfaction with mealtime care in adult hospital inpatients: A systematic review. *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2017;21(9):1038-49.
21. Thibault R, Chikhi M, Clerc A, Darmon P, Chopard P, Genton L, et al. Assessment of food intake in hospitalised patients: a 10-year comparative study of a prospective hospital survey. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2011;30(3):289-96.
22. Robison J, Pilgrim AL, Rood G, Diaper N, Elia M, Jackson AA, et al. Can trained volunteers make a difference at mealtimes for older people in hospital? A qualitative study of the views and experience of nurses, patients, relatives and volunteers in the Southampton Mealtime Assistance Study. *International journal of older people nursing*. 2015;10(2):136-45.
23. Dent E, Wright O, Hoogendijk EO, Hubbard RE. Nutritional screening and dietitian consultation rates in a geriatric evaluation and management unit. *Nutrition & dietetics: the journal of the Dietitians Association of Australia*. 2018;75(1):11-6.
24. Egseer D, Halfens RJ, Lohrmann C. Is the presence of a validated malnutrition screening tool associated with better nutritional care in hospitalized patients? *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif)*. 2017;37:104-11.
25. van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren MA, Guaitoli PR, Jansma EP, de Vet HC. Nutrition screening tools: does one size fit all? A systematic review of screening tools for the hospital setting. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2014;33(1):39-58.
26. Morley JE. Defining Undernutrition (Malnutrition) in Older Persons. *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2018;22(3):308-10.
27. Power L, Mullally D, Gibney ER, Clarke M, Visser M, Volkert D, et al. A review of the validity of malnutrition screening tools used in older adults in community and healthcare settings - A MaNuEL study. *Clinical nutrition ESPEN*. 2018;24:1-13.
28. Marshall S, Craven D, Kelly J, Isenring E. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the criterion validity of nutrition assessment tools for diagnosing protein-energy malnutrition in the older community setting (the MACRo study). *Clinical Nutrition*. 2018;37(6, Part A):1902-12.
29. Lim YP. *Malnutrition and Clinical Outcomes in Elderly Patients From a Singapore Acute Hospital Brisbane, Queensland: Queensland University of Technology*; 2010.
30. Dent E, Visvanathan R, Piantadosi C, Chapman I. Nutritional screening tools as predictors of mortality, functional decline, and move to higher level care in older people: a systematic review. *J Nutr Gerontol Geriatr*. 2012;31(2):97-145.
31. Agarwal E, Ferguson M, Banks M, Bauer J, Capra S, Isenring L. Malnutrition coding shortfalls in Australian and New Zealand hospitals. *Nutrition and Dietetics*. 2015;72(1):69-73.
32. Morley JE. Pathophysiology of the anorexia of aging. *Current opinion in clinical nutrition and metabolic care*. 2013;16(1):27-32.
33. Pourhassan M, Bottger S, Janssen G, Sieske L, Wirth R. The Association of Inflammation with Food Intake in Older Hospitalized Patients. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2018;22(5):589-93.
34. Dent E, Hoogendijk EO, Wright ORL. New insights into the anorexia of ageing: from prevention to treatment. *Current opinion in clinical nutrition and metabolic care*. 2019;22(1):44-51.
35. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Kiesswetter E, Drey M, Sieber CC. Nutrition, frailty, and sarcopenia. *Aging clinical and experimental research*. 2017;29(1):43-8.
36. Morley JE, Bauer JM. Nutrition and aging successfully. *Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition & Metabolic Care*. 2017;20(1):1-3.

37. Dent E. Anorexia of Aging and Avoidant/Restrictive Food Intake Disorder. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2017;18(5):449-50.
38. Egelseer D, Halfens RJG, Schols J, Lohrmann C. Dysphagia in Hospitalized Older Patients: Associated Factors and Nutritional Interventions. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2018;22(1):103-10.
39. Morley JE. Anorexia of aging: physiologic and pathologic. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1997;66(4):760-73.
40. Morley JE. Anorexia of ageing: a key component in the pathogenesis of both sarcopenia and cachexia. *Journal of cachexia, sarcopenia and muscle*. 2017;8(4):523-6.
41. Morley JE. Anorexia, weight loss, and frailty. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2010;11(4):225-8.
42. Zamora RJ, Chavin H, Regazzoni CJ, Pisarevsky AA, Petrucci E, Poderoso JJ. [Nutritional status, systemic inflammatory response syndrome and mortality in the elderly hospitalized patient]. *Medicina*. 2010;70(3):233-9.
43. Dent E, Lien C, Lim WS, Wong WC, Wong CH, Ng TP, et al. The Asia-Pacific Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Frailty. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2017;18(7):564-75.
44. Morley JE, Vellas B, van Kan GA, Anker SD, Bauer JM, Bernabei R, et al. Frailty consensus: a call to action. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2013;14(6):392-7.
45. Gabrovec B, Veninsek G, Samaniego LL, Carriazo AM, Antoniadou E, Jelenc M. The role of nutrition in ageing: A narrative review from the perspective of the European joint action on frailty - ADVANTAGE JA. *European journal of internal medicine*. 2018;56:26-32.
46. Woo J. Nutrition and Frailty. *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2018;22(9):1025-7.
47. Wei K, Thein FS, Nyunt MSZ, Gao Q, Wee SL, Ng TP. Nutritional and Frailty State Transitions in the Singapore Longitudinal Aging Study. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2018;22(10):1221-7.
48. Dent E, Visvanathan R, Piantadosi C, Chapman I. Use of the Mini Nutritional Assessment to detect frailty in hospitalised older people. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2012;16(9):764-7.
49. Zukeran MS, Ritti-Dias RM, Franco FGM, Cendoroglo MS, de Matos LDN, Lima Ribeiro SM. Nutritional Risk by Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA), but not Anthropometric Measurements, has a Good Discriminatory Power for Identifying Frailty in Elderly People: Data from Brazilian Secondary Care Clinic. *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2018.
50. Kim J, Lee Y, Won CW, Lee KE, Chon D. Nutritional Status and Frailty in Community-Dwelling Older Korean Adults: The Korean Frailty and Aging Cohort Study. *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2018;22(7):774-8.
51. Miller J, Wells L, Nwulu U, Currow D, Johnson MJ, Skipworth RJE. Validated screening tools for the assessment of cachexia, sarcopenia, and malnutrition: a systematic review. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2018;108(6):1196-208.
52. Morley JE, Argiles JM, Evans WJ, Bhasin S, Cella D, Deutz NE, et al. Nutritional recommendations for the management of sarcopenia. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2010;11(6):391-6.
53. Dent E, Morley JE, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Arai H, Kritchevsky SB, Guralnik J, et al. International Clinical Practice Guidelines for Sarcopenia (ICFSR): Screening, Diagnosis and Management. *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2018.
54. Kyle UG, Unger P, Dupertuis YM, Karsegard VL, Genton L, Pichard C. Body composition in 995 acutely ill or chronically ill patients at hospital admission: a controlled population study. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2002;102(7):944-55.
55. Waitzberg DL, Caiaffa WT, Correia MI. Hospital malnutrition: the Brazilian national survey (IBRANUTRI): a study of 4000 patients. *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif)*. 2001;17(7-8):573-80.

56. Pirlich M, Schutz T, Kemps M, Luhman N, Minko N, Lubke HJ, et al. Social risk factors for hospital malnutrition. *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif)*. 2005;21(3):295-300.
57. Baccaro F, Sanchez A. [Determination of hospital malnutrition: a comparison between the subjective global assessment and body mass index.]. *Rev Gastroenterol Mex*. 2009;74(2):105-9.
58. Bauer JM, Vogl T, Wicklein S, Trogner J, Muhlberg W, Sieber CC. Comparison of the Mini Nutritional Assessment, Subjective Global Assessment, and Nutritional Risk Screening (NRS 2002) for nutritional screening and assessment in geriatric hospital patients. *Z Gerontol Geriatr*. 2005;38(5):322-7.
59. Payne M, Morley JE. Dysphagia, Dementia and Frailty. *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2018;22(5):562-5.
60. Timmons S, Manning E, Barrett A, Brady NM, Browne V, O'Shea E, et al. Dementia in older people admitted to hospital: a regional multi-hospital observational study of prevalence, associations and case recognition. *Age and ageing*. 2015;44(6):993-9.
61. Chang HH, Tsai SL, Chen CY, Liu WJ. Outcomes of hospitalized elderly patients with geriatric syndrome: report of a community hospital reform plan in Taiwan. *Archives of gerontology and geriatrics*. 2010;50 Suppl 1:S30-3.
62. Sancarolo D, D'Onofrio G, Franceschi M, Scarcelli C, Niro V, Addante F, et al. Validation of a Modified-Multidimensional Prognostic Index (m-MPI) including the Mini Nutritional Assessment Short-Form (MNA-SF) for the prediction of one-year mortality in hospitalized elderly patients. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2011;15(3):169-73.
63. Stratton RJ, King CL, Stroud MA, Jackson AA, Elia M. 'Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool' predicts mortality and length of hospital stay in acutely ill elderly. *Br J Nutr*. 2006;95(2):325-30.
64. Lee JS, Choi HS, Ko YG, Yun DH. Performance of the Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index in predicting 28-day hospital mortality in older adult patients with sepsis. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2013.
65. Soderstrom L, Rosenblad A, Adolfsson ET, Saletti A, Bergkvist L. Nutritional status predicts preterm death in older people: A prospective cohort study. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2013.
66. Alzahrani SH, Alamri SH. Prevalence of malnutrition and associated factors among hospitalized elderly patients in King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *BMC geriatrics*. 2017;17(1):136.
67. Paillaud E, Herbaud S, Caillet P, Lejonc JL, Campillo B, Bories PN. Relations between undernutrition and nosocomial infections in elderly patients. *Age and ageing*. 2005;34(6):619-25.
68. Dent E, Chapman I, Piantadosi C, Visvanathan R. Nutritional screening tools and anthropometric measures associate with hospital discharge outcomes in older people. *Australasian journal on ageing*. 2015;34(1):E1-6.
69. Gärtner S, Kraft M, Krüger J, Vogt LJ, Fiene M, Mayerle J, et al. Geriatric nutritional risk index correlates with length of hospital stay and inflammatory markers in older inpatients. *Clinical Nutrition*. 2017;36(4):1048-53.
70. Salvi F, Giorgi R, Grilli A, Morichi V, Espinosa E, Spazzafumo L, et al. Mini Nutritional Assessment (short form) and functional decline in older patients admitted to an acute medical ward. *Aging clinical and experimental research*. 2008;20(4):322-8.
71. Johnson CS. The association between nutritional risk and falls among frail elderly. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2003;7(4):247-50.
72. Neumann SA, Miller MD, Daniels L, Crotty M. Nutritional status and clinical outcomes of older patients in rehabilitation. *Journal of human nutrition and dietetics : the official journal of the British Dietetic Association*. 2005;18(2):129-36.
73. Correia MITD, Perman MI, Waitzberg DL. Hospital malnutrition in Latin America: A systematic review. *Clinical Nutrition*. 2017;36(4):958-67.
74. Curtis LJ, Bernier P, Jeejeebhoy K, Allard J, Duerksen D, Gramlich L, et al. Costs of hospital malnutrition. (1532-1983 (Electronic)).

75. Vischer UM, Frangos E, Graf C, Gold G, Weiss L, Herrmann FR, et al. The prognostic significance of malnutrition as assessed by the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) in older hospitalized patients with a heavy disease burden. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2012;31(1):113-7.
76. Agarwal N, Acevedo F, Leighton LS, Cayten CG, Pitchumoni CS. Predictive ability of various nutritional variables for mortality in elderly people. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1988;48(5):1173-8.
77. Faxen-Irving G, Basun H, Cederholm T. Nutritional and cognitive relationships and long-term mortality in patients with various dementia disorders. *Age and ageing*. 2005;34(2):136-41.
78. Muhlethaler R, Stuck AE, Minder CE, Frey BM. The prognostic significance of protein-energy malnutrition in geriatric patients. *Age and ageing*. 1995;24(3):193-7.
79. Covinsky KE, Martin GE, Beyth RJ, Justice AC, Sehgal AR, Landefeld CS. The relationship between clinical assessments of nutritional status and adverse outcomes in older hospitalized medical patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 1999;47(5):532-8.
80. Flodin L, Svensson S, Cederholm T. Body mass index as a predictor of 1 year mortality in geriatric patients. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2000;19(2):121-5.
81. Alarcon T, Barcena A, Gonzalez-Montalvo JI, Penalosa C, Salgado A. Factors predictive of outcome on admission to an acute geriatric ward. *Age and ageing*. 1999;28(5):429-32.
82. Chen YM, Chuang YW, Liao SC, Lin CS, Yang SH, Tang YJ, et al. Predictors of functional recovery (FR) for elderly hospitalized patients in a geriatric evaluation and management unit (GEMU) in Taiwan. *Archives of gerontology and geriatrics*. 2010;50 Suppl 1:S1-5.
83. Chen CC, Wang C, Huang GH. Functional trajectory 6 months posthospitalization: a cohort study of older hospitalized patients in Taiwan. *Nurs Res*. 2008;57(2):93-100.
84. Espauella J, Arnau A, Cubi D, Amblas J, Yanez A. Time-dependent prognostic factors of 6-month mortality in frail elderly patients admitted to post-acute care. *Age and ageing*. 2007;36(4):407-13.
85. Whitley A, Skliros E, Graven C, McIntosh R, Lasry C, Newsome C, et al. Changes in Nutritional and Functional Status in Longer Stay Patients Admitted to a Geriatric Evaluation and Management Unit. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2017;21(6):686-91.
86. Mowe M, Bosaeus I, Rasmussen HH, Kondrup J, Unosson M, Rothenberg E, et al. Insufficient nutritional knowledge among health care workers? *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2008;27(2):196-202.
87. Elia M, Zellipour L, Stratton RJ. To screen or not to screen for adult malnutrition? *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2005;24(6):867-84.
88. Holyday M, Daniells S, Bare M, Caplan GA, Petocz P, Bolin T. Malnutrition screening and early nutrition intervention in hospitalised patients in acute aged care: a randomised controlled trial. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2012;16(6):562-8.
89. Rasmussen HH, Holst M, Kondrup J. Measuring nutritional risk in hospitals. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2010;2:209-16.
90. Cederholm T, Bosaeus I, Barazzoni R, Bauer J, Van Gossum A, Klek S, et al. Diagnostic criteria for malnutrition - An ESPEN Consensus Statement. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2015;34(3):335-40.
91. Cederholm T, Jensen GL, Correia M, Gonzalez MC, Fukushima R, Higashiguchi T, et al. GLIM criteria for the diagnosis of malnutrition - A consensus report from the global clinical nutrition community. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2018.
92. Lacey K, Pritchett E. Nutrition Care Process and Model: ADA adopts road map to quality care and outcomes management. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2003;103(8):1061-72.
93. Volkert D. Malnutrition in Older Adults - Urgent Need for Action: A Plea for Improving the Nutritional Situation of Older Adults. *Gerontology*. 2013.

94. Beck AM, Holst M, Rasmussen HH. Oral nutritional support of older (65 years+) medical and surgical patients after discharge from hospital: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clinical rehabilitation*. 2013;27(1):19-27.
95. Skipper A, Ferguson M, Thompson K, Castellanos VH, Porcari J. Nutrition screening tools: an analysis of the evidence. *JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition*. 2012;36(3):292-8.
96. Morley JE. Screening for Malnutrition (Undernutrition) in Primary Care. *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2018.
97. Mitchell H, Porter J. The cost-effectiveness of identifying and treating malnutrition in hospitals: a systematic review. *Journal of human nutrition and dietetics : the official journal of the British Dietetic Association*. 2016;29(2):156-64.
98. van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren MA, Guaitoli PR, Jansma EP, de Vet HC. Nutrition screening tools: Does one size fit all? A systematic review of screening tools for the hospital setting. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2013.
99. Cansado P, Ravasco P, Camilo M. A longitudinal study of hospital undernutrition in the elderly: comparison of four validated methods. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2009;13(2):159-64.
100. Green SM, Watson R. Nutritional screening and assessment tools for older adults: literature review. *J Adv Nurs*. 2006;54(4):477-90.
101. Phillips MB, Foley AL, Barnard R, Isenring EA, Miller MD. Nutritional screening in community-dwelling older adults: a systematic literature review. *Asia Pacific journal of clinical nutrition*. 2010;19(3):440-9.
102. van Venrooij LM, van Leeuwen PA, Hopmans W, Borgmeijer-Hoelen MM, de Vos R, De Mol BA. Accuracy of quick and easy undernutrition screening tools--Short Nutritional Assessment Questionnaire, Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool, and modified Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool--in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2011;111(12):1924-30.
103. Kaiser MJ, Bauer JM, Ramsch C, Uter W, Guigoz Y, Cederholm T, et al. Validation of the Mini Nutritional Assessment short-form (MNA-SF): a practical tool for identification of nutritional status. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2009;13(9):782-8.
104. Rubenstein LZ, Harker JO, Salva A, Guigoz Y, Vellas B. Screening for undernutrition in geriatric practice: developing the short-form mini-nutritional assessment (MNA-SF). *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2001;56(6):M366-72.
105. Guigoz Y, Lauque S, Vellas BJ. Identifying the elderly at risk for malnutrition. The Mini Nutritional Assessment. *Clin Geriatr Med*. 2002;18(4):737-57.
106. Cohendy R, Rubenstein LZ, Eledjam JJ. The Mini Nutritional Assessment-Short Form for preoperative nutritional evaluation of elderly patients. *Aging (Milan, Italy)*. 2001;13(4):293-7.
107. Ranhoff AH, Gjoen AU, Mowe M. Screening for malnutrition in elderly acute medical patients: the usefulness of MNA-SF. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2005;9(4):221-5.
108. Neelemaat F, Meijers J, Kruizenga H, van Ballegooijen H, van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren M. Comparison of five malnutrition screening tools in one hospital inpatient sample. *J Clin Nurs*. 2011;20(15-16):2144-52.
109. Dent E, Chapman I, Piantadosi C, Visvanathan R. Screening for malnutrition in hospitalised older people: Comparison of the Mini Nutritional Assessment with its short-form versions. *Australasian journal on ageing*. 2017;36(2):E8-e13.
110. Martin A, Ruiz E, Sanz A, Garcia JM, Gomez-Candela C, Burgos R, et al. Accuracy of Different Mini Nutritional Assessment Reduced Forms to Evaluate the Nutritional Status of Elderly Hospitalised Diabetic Patients. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2016;20(4):370-5.
111. Stratton RJ, Hackston A, Longmore D, Dixon R, Price S, Stroud M, et al. Malnutrition in hospital outpatients and inpatients: prevalence, concurrent validity and ease of use of the 'malnutrition universal screening tool' ('MUST') for adults. *Br J Nutr*. 2004;92(5):799-808.

112. Elia M, Stroud M. Nutrition in acute care. *Clin Med*. 2004;4(5):405-7.
113. Elia M, Russell CA, Stratton RJ. Malnutrition in the UK: policies to address the problem. *Proc Nutr Soc*. 2010;69(4):470-6.
114. Anthony PS. Nutrition screening tools for hospitalized patients. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2008;23(4):373-82.
115. Kyle UG, Kossovsky MP, Karsegard VL, Pichard C. Comparison of tools for nutritional assessment and screening at hospital admission: a population study. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2006;25(3):409-17.
116. Wilson MM, Thomas DR, Rubenstein LZ, Chibnall JT, Anderson S, Baxi A, et al. Appetite assessment: simple appetite questionnaire predicts weight loss in community-dwelling adults and nursing home residents. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2005;82(5):1074-81.
117. Wilson MM. Assessment of appetite and weight loss syndromes in nursing home residents. *Mo Med*. 2007;104(1):46-51.
118. Rolland Y, Perrin A, Gardette V, Filhol N, Vellas B. Screening older people at risk of malnutrition or malnourished using the Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire (SNAQ): a comparison with the Mini-Nutritional Assessment (MNA) tool. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2012;13(1):31-4.
119. Wang T, Shen J. Usefulness of Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire (SNAQ) in Appetite Assessment in Elder Patients with Liver Cirrhosis. *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2018;22(8):911-5.
120. Pilgrim AL, Baylis D, Jameson KA, Cooper C, Sayer AA, Robinson SM, et al. Measuring Appetite with the Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire Identifies Hospitalised Older People at Risk of Worse Health Outcomes. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2016;20(1):3-7.
121. Bouillanne O, Morineau G, Dupont C, Coulombel I, Vincent JP, Nicolis I, et al. Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index: a new index for evaluating at-risk elderly medical patients. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2005;82(4):777-83.
122. Cereda E, Pusani C, Limonta D, Vanotti A. The ability of the Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index to assess the nutritional status and predict the outcome of home-care resident elderly: a comparison with the Mini Nutritional Assessment. *Br J Nutr*. 2009;102(4):563-70.
123. Ferguson M, Capra S, Bauer J, Banks M. Development of a valid and reliable malnutrition screening tool for adult acute hospital patients. *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif)*. 1999;15(6):458-64.
124. Boulton C, Krinke UB, Urdangarin CF, Skarin V. The validity of nutritional status as a marker for future disability and depressive symptoms among high-risk older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 1999;47(8):995-9.
125. McMurtry CT, Rosenthal A. Predictors of 2-year mortality among older male veterans on a geriatric rehabilitation unit. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 1995;43(10):1123-6.
126. Visvanathan R, Penhall R, Chapman I. Nutritional screening of older people in a sub-acute care facility in Australia and its relation to discharge outcomes. *Age and ageing*. 2004;33(3):260-5.
127. Young AM, Kidston S, Banks MD, Mudge AM, Isenring EA. Malnutrition screening tools: comparison against two validated nutrition assessment methods in older medical inpatients. *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif)*. 2013;29(1):101-6.
128. Dwyer Jt Fau - Gallo JJ, Gallo Jj Fau - Reichel W, Reichel W. Assessing nutritional status in elderly patients. (0002-838X (Print)).
129. Dietitians QH. Validated Nutrition Assessment Tools: Comparison Guide. In: Government Q, editor.
130. White JV, Guenter P, Jensen G, Malone A, Schofield M. Consensus statement: Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics and American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition: characteristics recommended for the identification and documentation of adult malnutrition (undernutrition). *JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition*. 2012;36(3):275-83.

131. Detsky AS, Baker JP, Mendelson RA, Wolman SL, Wesson DE, Jeejeebhoy KN. Evaluating the accuracy of nutritional assessment techniques applied to hospitalized patients: methodology and comparisons. *JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition*. 1984;8(2):153-9.
132. Detsky AS, McLaughlin JR, Baker JP, Johnston N, Whittaker S, Mendelson RA, et al. What is subjective global assessment of nutritional status? *JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition*. 1987;11(1):8-13.
133. Ek AC, Unosson M, Larsson J, Ganowski W, Bjurulf P. Interrater variability and validity in subjective nutritional assessment of elderly patients. *Scandinavian journal of caring sciences*. 1996;10(3):163-8.
134. Christensson L, Unosson M, Ek AC. Evaluation of nutritional assessment techniques in elderly people newly admitted to municipal care. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2002;56(9):810-8.
135. Duerksen DR, Yeo TA, Siemens JL, O'Connor MP. The validity and reproducibility of clinical assessment of nutritional status in the elderly. *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif)*. 2000;16(9):740-4.
136. Guidelines for the use of parenteral and enteral nutrition in adult and pediatric patients. *JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition*. 2002;26(1 Suppl):1SA-138SA.
137. Lochs H, Allison SP, Meier R, Pirlich M, Kondrup J, Schneider S, et al. Introductory to the ESPEN Guidelines on Enteral Nutrition: Terminology, definitions and general topics. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2006;25(2):180-6.
138. Watterson C, Fraser A, Banks M, Isenring E, Miller M, Silvester C, et al. Evidence based practice guidelines for the nutritional management of malnutrition in adult patients across the continuum of care. *Nutrition and Dietetics*. 2009;66(s3):s1-s34.
139. Guigoz Y. The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) review of the literature--What does it tell us? *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2006;10(6):466-85; discussion 85-7.
140. Guigoz Y, Vellas B, Garry PJ. Assessing the nutritional status of the elderly: The Mini Nutritional Assessment as part of the geriatric evaluation. *Nutr Rev*. 1996;54(1 Pt 2):S59-65.
141. Guigoz Y, Garry PJ. Mini Nutritional Assessment: a practical assessment tool for grading the nutritional state of elderly patients. *Facts Res Gerontol*. 1994;4(Suppl 2):15-59.
142. Vellas B, Villars H, Abellan G, Soto ME, Rolland Y, Guigoz Y, et al. Overview of the MNA--Its history and challenges. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2006;10(6):456-63; discussion 63-5.
143. Marshall S, Young A, Bauer J, Isenring E. Nutrition Screening in Geriatric Rehabilitation: Criterion (Concurrent and Predictive) Validity of the Malnutrition Screening Tool and the Mini Nutritional Assessment-Short Form. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*. 2016;116(5):795-801.
144. Ghazi L, Fereshtehnejad SM, Abbasi Fard S, Sadeghi M, Shahidi GA, Lokk J. Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) is Rather a Reliable and Valid Instrument to Assess Nutritional Status in Iranian Healthy Adults and Elderly with a Chronic Disease. *Ecology of food and nutrition*. 2015;54(4):342-57.
145. Tsai AC, Ho CS, Chang MC. Population-specific anthropometric cut-points improve the functionality of the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) in elderly Taiwanese. *Asia Pacific journal of clinical nutrition*. 2007;16(4):656-62.
146. Charlton KE, Nichols C, Bowden S, Lambert K, Barone L, Mason M, et al. Older rehabilitation patients are at high risk of malnutrition: evidence from a large Australian database. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2010;14(8):622-8.
147. Kubrak C, Jensen L. Malnutrition in acute care patients: a narrative review. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2007;44(6):1036-54.
148. Kaiser R, Winning K, Uter W, Lesser S, Stehle P, Sieber CC, et al. Comparison of two different approaches for the application of the mini nutritional assessment in nursing homes: resident interviews versus assessment by nursing staff. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2009;13(10):863-9.
149. Beck AM HM, Rasmussen HH. . Efficacy of the mini nutritional assessment to predict the risk of developing malnutrition or adverse health outcomes for old people. *e-SPEN* 2008;3(3):e102-e7.

150. Sieber CC. Nutritional screening tools--How does the MNA compare? Proceedings of the session held in Chicago May 2-3, 2006 (15 Years of Mini Nutritional Assessment). *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2006;10(6):488-92; discussion 92-4.
151. Volkert D, Saeglit C, Gueldenzoph H, Sieber CC, Stehle P. Undiagnosed malnutrition and nutrition-related problems in geriatric patients. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2010;14(5):387-92.
152. Tsai AC, Ku PY, Tsai JD. Population-specific anthropometric cutoff standards improve the functionality of the Mini Nutritional Assessment without BMI in institutionalized elderly in Taiwan. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2008;12(10):696-700.
153. Wirth R, Volkert D, Rosler A, Sieber CC, Bauer JM. Bioelectric impedance phase angle is associated with hospital mortality of geriatric patients. *Archives of gerontology and geriatrics*. 2010;51(3):290-4.
154. Oliveira MR, Fogaca KC, Leandro-Merhi VA. Nutritional status and functional capacity of hospitalized elderly. *Nutr J*. 2009;8:54.
155. Haugsgjerd TR, Dierkes J, Vollset SE, Vinknes KJ, Nygård OK, Seifert R, et al. Association between weight change and mortality in community living older people followed for up to 14 years. The Hordaland Health Study (HUSK). *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2017;21(8):909-17.
156. Beleigoli AM, Diniz MDFH, Boersma E, Silva JL, Lima-Costa MF, Ribeiro AL. The effects of weight and waist change on the risk of long-term mortality in older adults- The Bambuí (Brazil) Cohort Study of Aging. *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2017;21(8):861-6.
157. Fried LP, Kronmal RA, Newman AB, Bild DE, Mittelmark MB, Polak JF, et al. Risk factors for 5-year mortality in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *JAMA*. 1998;279(8):585-92.
158. Cook Z, Kirk S, Lawrenson S, Sandford S. Use of BMI in the assessment of undernutrition in older subjects: reflecting on practice. *Proc Nutr Soc*. 2005;64(3):313-7.
159. Hengstermann S, Nieczaj R, Steinhagen-Thiessen E, Schulz RJ. Which are the most efficient items of mini nutritional assessment in multimorbid patients? *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2008;12(2):117-22.
160. Campillo B, Paillaud E, Uzan I, Merlier I, Abdellaoui M, Perennec J, et al. Value of body mass index in the detection of severe malnutrition: influence of the pathology and changes in anthropometric parameters. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2004;23(4):551-9.
161. Kruizenga HM, Wierdsma NJ, van Bokhorst MA, de van der S, Haollander HJ, Jonkers-Schuitema CF, et al. Screening of nutritional status in The Netherlands. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2003;22(2):147-52.
162. Tsai AC, Lai MC, Chang TL. Mid-arm and calf circumferences (MAC and CC) are better than body mass index (BMI) in predicting health status and mortality risk in institutionalized elderly Taiwanese. *Archives of gerontology and geriatrics*. 2011;54(3):443-7.
163. Rutters F, Nieuwenhuizen AG, Lemmens SG, Bouwman F, Mariman E, Westerterp-Plantenga MS. Associations between anthropometrical measurements, body composition, single-nucleotide polymorphisms of the hypothalamus/pituitary/adrenal (HPA) axis and HPA axis functioning. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2011;74(6):679-86.
164. Tsai AC, Chang TL, Yang TW, Chang-Lee SN, Tsay SF. A modified mini nutritional assessment without BMI predicts nutritional status of community-living elderly in Taiwan. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2010;14(3):183-9.
165. Burden ST, Stoppard E, Shaffer J, Makin A, Todd C. Can we use mid upper arm anthropometry to detect malnutrition in medical inpatients? A validation study. *Journal of human nutrition and dietetics : the official journal of the British Dietetic Association*. 2005;18(4):287-94.
166. Porter J, Raja R, Cant R, Aroni R. Exploring issues influencing the use of the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool by nurses in two Australian hospitals. *Journal of human nutrition and dietetics : the official journal of the British Dietetic Association*. 2009;22(3):203-9.

167. Omidvari AH, Vali Y, Murray SM, Wonderling D, Rashidian A. Nutritional screening for improving professional practice for patient outcomes in hospital and primary care settings. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2013;6:CD005539.
168. Lindorff-Larsen K, Hojgaard Rasmussen H, Kondrup J, Staun M, Ladefoged K. Management and perception of hospital undernutrition-a positive change among Danish doctors and nurses. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2007;26(3):371-8.
169. Mowe M, Bosaeus I, Rasmussen HH, Kondrup J, Unosson M, Irtun O. Nutritional routines and attitudes among doctors and nurses in Scandinavia: a questionnaire based survey. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2006;25(3):524-32.
170. Volkert D. Malnutrition in older adults - urgent need for action: a plea for improving the nutritional situation of older adults. *Gerontology*. 2013;59(4):328-33.
171. van Venrooij LMW, de Vos R, Borgmeijer-Hoelen AMMJ, Kruijenga HM, Jonkers-Schuitema CF, de Mol BAMJ. Quick-and-easy nutritional screening tools to detect disease-related undernutrition in hospital in- and outpatient settings: A systematic review of sensitivity and specificity. *e-SPEN*. 2007;2(2):21-37.
172. Smoliner C, Volkert D, Wirth R. [Management of malnutrition in geriatric hospital units in Germany]. *Z Gerontol Geriatr*. 2013;46(1):48, 50-5.
173. Bauer JM, Kaiser MJ, Anthony P, Guigoz Y, Sieber CC. The Mini Nutritional Assessment--its history, today's practice, and future perspectives. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2008;23(4):388-96.
174. Azad N, Murphy J, Amos SS, Toppan J. Nutrition survey in an elderly population following admission to a tertiary care hospital. *CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association medicale canadienne*. 1999;161(5):511-5.
175. Thorsdottir I, Jonsson PV, Asgeirsdottir AE, Hjaltadottir I, Bjornsson S, Ramel A. Fast and simple screening for nutritional status in hospitalized, elderly people. *Journal of human nutrition and dietetics : the official journal of the British Dietetic Association*. 2005;18(1):53-60.
176. Schrader E, Grosch E, Bertsch T, Sieber CC, Volkert D. Nutritional and Functional Status in Geriatric Day Hospital Patients - MNA Short Form Versus Full MNA. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2016;20(9):918-26.
177. Christner S, Ritt M, Volkert D, Wirth R, Sieber CC, Gassmann KG. Evaluation of the nutritional status of older hospitalised geriatric patients: a comparative analysis of a Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) version and the Nutritional Risk Screening (NRS 2002). *Journal of human nutrition and dietetics : the official journal of the British Dietetic Association*. 2016;29(6):704-13.
178. Baek MH, Heo YR. Evaluation of the efficacy of nutritional screening tools to predict malnutrition in the elderly at a geriatric care hospital. *Nutrition research and practice*. 2015;9(6):637-43.
179. Abd-El-Gawad WM, Abou-Hashem RM, El Maraghy MO, Amin GE. The validity of Geriatric Nutrition Risk Index: simple tool for prediction of nutritional-related complication of hospitalized elderly patients. Comparison with Mini Nutritional Assessment. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2014;33(6):1108-16.
180. Calvo I, Olivar J, Martinez E, Rico A, Diaz J, Gimena M. MNA(R) Mini Nutritional Assessment as a nutritional screening tool for hospitalized older adults; rationales and feasibility. *Nutricion hospitalaria*. 2012;27(5):1619-25.
181. Leandro-Merhi VA, De Aquino JL. Anthropometric parameters of nutritional assessment as predictive factors of the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) of hospitalized elderly patients. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2011;15(3):181-6.
182. Cereda E, Pedrolli C, Zagami A, Vanotti A, Piffer S, Opizzi A, et al. Nutritional screening and mortality in newly institutionalised elderly: A comparison between the Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index and the Mini Nutritional Assessment. *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2011.
183. Rasheed S, Woods RT. An investigation into the association between nutritional status and quality of life in older people admitted to hospital. *Journal of human nutrition and dietetics : the official journal of the British Dietetic Association*. 2013.

184. Zhou J, Wang M, Wang H, Chi Q. Comparison of two nutrition assessment tools in surgical elderly inpatients in Northern China. *Nutr J.* 2015;14:68.