

Core Questions in Philosophy 7e

Suggested readings, video, and audio

1. What is Philosophy?

- **Primary source:** Russell, Bertrand. (1912). The Value of Philosophy. [The Problems of Philosophy](#) (pp. 237-250). London: Williams and Norgate.
- **Supplementary reading:** Jim Pryor on [what an argument is \(and isn't\)](#); on [core vocabulary](#)
- **Watch/listen:** Marianne Talbot, "[The philosophical method: logic and argument](#)," via Oxford University Podcasts
- **Watch/listen:** Dave Ward, "[What is Philosophy?](#)", via the University of Edinburgh's Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) "[Introduction to Philosophy](#)."
- **Podcast:** Rick Lewis, Anja Steinbauer, and Richard Worley, "[What is Philosophy?](#)", via [philosophynow.org](#)

2. Deductive Arguments

- **Watch/listen:** Marianne Talbot, "[Deductive validity: Evaluating deductive arguments](#)" and "[What is a good argument? Validity and truth](#)," via Oxford University Podcasts
- **Watch/listen:** Julianne Chung, "[Truth and Validity](#)," via Wi-Phi.com
- **Watch/listen:** Geoff Pynn, "[Deductive Arguments](#)," via Wi-Phi.com

3. Inductive and Abductive Arguments

- **Supplementary reading:** Douven, Igor. (2017). [Abduction](#). In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Summer 2017 edition). Retrieved from: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/abduction/> See also "[C.S. Pierce on Deduction, Induction, and Abduction](#)" (SEP)
- **Watch/listen:** Geoff Pynn (2015), "[Abductive Arguments](#)," via Wi-Phi.com

4. Aquinas' First Four Ways

- **Primary source:** Aquinas, Thomas. (1265-1274). "[Whether God Exists](#)" (Pt. I, Question 2, Article 3). Summa Theologiae (Fathers of the English Dominican Province, trans., ed. and corrected by faculty and staff of the Aquinas Institute). Retrieved from: <https://aquinas.cc/56/57/~151>.
- **Supplementary reading:** Clarke, Samuel (1705). [A Demonstration of the Being and Attributes of God](#), § I-III. Retrieved from: <https://www.earlymoderntexts.com/assets/pdfs/clarke1704.pdf>.
- **Supplementary reading:** Pawl, Timothy (2012). "[The Five Ways](#)". In Bruce and Barbone (Eds.), Just the Arguments: 100 of the Most Important Arguments in Western Philosophy (1st ed.). Retrieved from: https://ir.stthomas.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1007&context=cas_phil_pub.
- **Supplementary reading:** Reichenbach, Bruce (2004). "[Cosmological Arguments](#)." The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2019 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). Retrieved from: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/cosmological-argument/>
- **Podcast:** Peter Adamson, "[The Ox Heard Round the World: Thomas Aquinas](#)," via History of Philosophy Without Any Gaps, King's College London, and LMU.
- **Podcast:** Annabel Brett, John Haldane, and Martin Palmer, "[St. Thomas Aquinas](#)," via BBC Radio
- **Watch/listen:** Bryan Magee and Anthony Kenny, "[Medieval Philosophy: Thomas Aquinas](#)," via Philosophy Overdose
- **Watch/listen:** Joseph Magee, "[The Five Ways in Context](#)," via the [Thomistic Philosophy page](#)

5. The Design Argument

Primary source: Paley, William. (1802). [Natural Theology](#), Ch. 1. Retrieved from: <https://babel.hathitrust.org/cgi/pt?id=hvd.32044011906914;view=1up;seq=12>.

Primary source: Hume, David. (1776). [Dialogues Concerning Natural Religion](#) (ed. Bennett), Pt. 2. Retrieved from: <https://www.earlymoderntexts.com/assets/pdfs/hume1779.pdf>.

Supplementary reading: Dawkins, Richard. (1987). [The Blind Watchmaker](#), Ch. 4. Retrieved from: <https://archive.org/details/BlindWatchmakerTheRichardDawkins>.

Supplementary reading: Weber, Bruce. (2011). [“Design and Its Discontents.”](#) Retrieved from: <https://philpapers.org/rec/WEBDAI>

Supplementary reading: George, Marie. (2016). [“Thomistic Rebuttal to Some Common Objections to Paley’s Argument from Design”](#). Retrieved from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/nbfr.12187>

Supplementary reading: Ratzsch, Del and Koperski, Jeffrey. (2015). [“Teleological Arguments for the Existence of God”](#) The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2019 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). Retrieved from: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/teleological-arguments/>

Podcast: T. J. Mawson, [“Arguments for the Existence of God: The Design Argument.”](#) via Oxford University Podcasts.

Podcast: Jack Symes, Andrew Horton and Ollie Marley, [“The Teleological Argument, Parts I and II,”](#) via The Panpsychist Philosophy Podcast

Watch/listen: Gillian Anderson, [“William Paley and the Design Argument,”](#) via BBC Radio

Podcast: Nigel Warburton and Stewart Sutherland, [“Stewart Sutherland on Hume on Design,”](#) via Philosophy Bites

6. Evolution and Creationism

Primary source: Darwin, Charles. (1859). [The Origin of Species](#), chapters 4; 6; 9; 14. London: John Murray (1st ed.). Retrieved from: <http://darwin-online.org.uk/content/frameset?itemID=F373&viewtype=side&pageseq=1>

Supplementary reading: Ayala, Francisco. (2007). [“Darwin's greatest Discovery: Design without a Designer”](#). Retrieved from: https://www.pnas.org/content/104/suppl_1/8567.

Supplementary reading: Miles, Sara Joan. (2001). [“Charles Darwin and Asa Gray Discuss Teleology and Design”](#). Retrieved from: <https://www.asa3.org/ASA/PSCF/2001/PSCF9-01Miles.html#18>

Supplementary reading: Asimov, Isaac. (1984). [“The ‘threat’ of creationism”](#). Retrieved from: http://www.stephenjaygould.org/ctrl/azimov_creationism.html

Podcast: Daniel Dennett and David Rutledge, [“Evolution is Evolving,”](#) via [The Philosopher’s Zone](#) and Australian National Radio (ABC RN)

Podcast: Daniel Dennett, Polly Stryker, Ken Taylor, [“Intelligent Design,”](#) via PhilosophyTalk.org and Stanford University

7. Can Science Explain Everything?

Primary reading: Leibniz, Gottfried Wilhelm. (1697). [The Ultimate Origin of Things](#) (trans. J. Bennett), Ch. . Retrieved from: <https://www.earlymoderntexts.com/assets/pdfs/leibniz1697b.pdf>

Primary reading: [Theodicy](#) §7: G VI 106–07/H 127–28

Supplementary reading: Holt, Jim. (2012). [“Why Does the World Exist?”](#) New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

Supplementary reading: Review of Holt by Sarah Bakewell, [“The Basic Question,”](#) for the New York Times

Podcast: Laura Maguire and Ken Taylor, [“Science, Philosophy, and Theology,”](#) via PhilosophyTalk.org

Podcast: Ken Taylor, Josh Landy, and Jim Holt, [“Why Is There Something Rather Than Nothing?”](#), via PhilosophyTalk.org

Watch: Ian Hutchinson and Lisa Randall, [“So, Can Science Explain Everything?”](#), via the American Association for the Advancement of Science

8. The Ontological Argument

Primary reading: St. Anselm. (1077-1078). Proslogion (trans. Burr), Ch. II. Retrieved from: <http://www.fordham.edu/halsall/source/anselm.html>.

Primary reading: Guanilo (1077-1078). “On Behalf of the Fool.” In Proslogion (trans. Burr). Retrieved from: <http://www.fordham.edu/halsall/source/anselm.html>.

Primary reading: Halsell, Paul (ed.). (1998). "[Medieval Sourcebook: Philosophers' Criticisms of Anselm's Ontological Argument for the Being of God](https://sourcebooks.fordham.edu/basis/anselm-critics.asp)". Retrieved from: <https://sourcebooks.fordham.edu/basis/anselm-critics.asp>

Supplementary reading: Baker, Lynne Rudder, and Matthews, Gareth. (2010). "[The Ontological Argument Simplified](http://www.jstor.org/stable/23315109)." Analysis, 70(2), 210-212. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23315109>

Supplementary reading: Uckelman, Sara. "The Ontological Argument." (2011). Just the Arguments: 100 of the Most Important Arguments in Western Philosophy. Bruce, Michael & Barbone, Steven (eds.). Hoboken: Wiley-Blackwell (2011).

Podcast: Peter Adamson, "[Somebody's Perfect: Anselm's Ontological Argument](#)," via History Of Philosophy Without Any Gaps, King's College, and LMU

Podcast: Clare Carlisle, John Haldane, and Peter Millican, "[The Ontological Argument](#)," via BBC Radio's "In Our Time"

9. Is the Existence of God Testable?

Primary reading: Hume, David. (1739-1740). "Of Miracles." An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding. Retrieved from: <https://www.gutenberg.org/files/9662/9662-h/9662-h.htm>

Primary reading: Ayer, A. J. (1936). [Language, Truth, and Logic](#), pp. 119-124. Oxford, England: Ryerson Press. Retrieved from: <https://archive.org/details/AlfredAyer/page/n3>

Supplementary reading: Flew, Anthony. (1950). "[Theology and Falsification](#)". Retrieved from: http://www.stephenjaygould.org/ctrl/flew_falsification.html

Supplementary reading: Beck, Kate. (February, 2015). "[Does Science Need Falsifiability?](#)" Retrieved from: <https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/article/falsifiability/>

Supplementary reading: Van Inwagen, Peter. (2004). "[Can Science Disprove the Existence of God?](#)" Philosophic Exchange: Vol. 34 : No. 1 , Article 3. Retrieved from https://digitalcommons.brockport.edu/phil_ex/vol34/iss1/3/

Podcast: John Danaher, "[Theology and Falsification](#)," via Philosophical Disquisitions

Podcast: Mark Linsenmayer and Wes Alwan, "[Is Faith Rational?](#)" via The Partially Examined Life

10. Pascal and Irrationality

Primary reading: Pascal, Blaise. (1670). "The Wager." Pensées, §III. Retrieved from: <https://www.gutenberg.org/files/18269/18269-h/18269-h.htm>

Primary reading: James, William. (1896). "The Will to Believe," §1–5. Retrieved from: <https://www.gutenberg.org/files/26659/26659-h/26659-h.htm>

Primary reading: Clifford, W. K. (1877). "The Ethics of Belief". Retrieved from: http://people.brandeis.edu/~teuber/Clifford_ethics.pdf

Supplementary reading: Buchak, Lara. (2012). "[Can it be rational to have faith?](#)". Retrieved from: <https://philpapers.org/archive/BUCCIB-2.pdf>.

Supplementary reading: Jordan, Jeffrey. (2008). "[Theistic Belief and Religious Uncertainty](#)." Retrieved from: https://infidels.org/library/modern/jeffrey_jordan/belief.html.

Supplementary reading: Foley, Richard. (1991). "[Evidence and Reasons for Belief](#)". Retrieved from: https://as.nyu.edu/content/dam/nyu-as/philosophy/documents/dick-foley's-papers/Foley,%20Evidence%20and%20Reasons%20for%20Belief_.pdf

Podcast: Liz Jackson, "[Salvaging Pascal's Wager](#)," via Oxford University podcasts

Podcast: Alan Saunders and James Franklin, "[Pascal's wager: betting on God](#)," via The Philosopher's Zone

Watch/listen: Susanna Rinard, "[Pascal's Wager](#)," via Wi-Phi.com and Harvard University

Watch/listen: Thomas Donaldson, "[The Will to Believe](#)," via Wi-Phi.com

11. The Argument from Evil

Primary reading: Mackie, J. L. (1955). "[Evil and Omnipotence](#)," Mind, 64, 200-212 . Retrieved from: <http://www.ditext.com/mackie/evil.html>

Primary reading: McCord Adams, Marilyn. (1989). "Horrendous Evils and the Goodness of God." Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society, Supplementary Volumes, 63, 297-310. Retrieved from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4106922>

Supplementary reading: Stump, Eleonore. (1994). “[The Mirror of Evil](#)”. In Morris (ed.), *God and the Philosophers*, pp. 235-247. Retrieved from: <https://sites.google.com/site/stumpep/home/onlinepapers>

Supplementary reading: Griffioen, Amber L. (2018). “[Therapeutic Theodicy? Suffering, Struggle, and the Shift from the God’s-Eye-View](#).” *Religions* 9(4), 99 . Retrieved from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1444/9/4/99/htm>

Supplementary reading: Russell, Bruce and Wykstra, Stephen. (1998). “[The ‘Inductive’ Argument from Evil: A Dialogue](#)”. Retrieved from: https://infidels.org/library/modern/bruce_russell/dialogue.html

Supplementary reading: DeRose, Keith. (2015). “[Might God Have Reasons for Not Preventing Evils?](#)” . Retrieved from: <https://cpb-us-w2.wpmucdn.com/campuspress.yale.edu/dist/c/1227/files/2015/12/Problem-of-Evil-Ch1-2iyzc9x.pdf>

Podcast: Sally Haslanger, “[The Problem of Evil](#),” via Wi-Phi.com

Podcast: Ken Taylor, Josh Landy, and Michael Tooley, “[The Problem of Evil](#),” via Philosophy Talk

12. What is Knowledge?

Primary reading: Plato and Jowett, Benjamin (trans.). (1990). *Theaetetus*, 201c-202d. New York: C. Scribner's Sons. Retrieved from: <http://classics.mit.edu/Plato/theatu.html>

Primary reading: Gettier, Edmund. (1963). “Is Justified True Belief Knowledge?” *Analysis*, 23(6), 121-123. Retrieved from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3326922> .

Primary reading: Zagzebski, Linda. (1999). “[What is Knowledge?](#)”. In John Greco & Ernest Sosa (eds.), *The Blackwell Guide to Epistemology*. Oxford: Blackwell. pp. 92-116. Retrieved from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781405164863.ch3>

Supplementary reading: Zagzebski, Linda. (1994). “[The Inescapability of Gettier Problems](#)”. *The Philosophical Quarterly*, Vol. 44, No. 174 (Jan., 1994), pp. 65-73. Retrieved from: https://phil.uniba.sk/fileadmin/fif/katedry_pracoviska/kfdf/Personal/Nuhlicek/Literatura/Teorie_zdovodnenia/Zagzebski_L.-The_Inescapability_of_Gettier_Problems_1994.pdf

Supplementary reading: Nozick, Robert. (1988). “[Knowledge and Skepticism](#),” from Jonathan Dancy (ed.), *Perceptual Knowledge*. New York: Oxford University Press. Retrieved from <https://pages.ucsd.edu/faculty/rarneson/Courses/nozickphilreading.pdf>

Supplementary reading: Chapman, Andrew. (April 2014). “[The Gettier Problem](#)”. Retrieved from <https://1000wordphilosophy.com/2014/04/10/the-gettier-problem/>

Podcast: Peter Millican, “[Gettier and Other Complications](#),” via Oxford University podcasts

Watch/listen: Jennifer Nagel, “[‘Knowledge First’ Epistemology](#)” and “[The Gettier Problem](#)” via Wi-Phi.com

13. Descartes’ Foundationalism

Primary reading: Descartes, René. (1641). “[Meditations I-V](#).” *Meditations on First Philosophy* (trans. Bennett). Retrieved from <http://www.earlymoderntexts.com/authors/descartes>

Primary reading: Russell, Bertrand. (1912). “[The Existence of Matter](#)”. *The Problems of Philosophy*. Retrieved from <http://www.personal.kent.edu/~rmuhamma/Philosophy/RBwritings/ProbPhiloBook/chap-II.htm> [OR <https://archive.org/details/problemsofphilo00russuoft/page/n6>]

Supplementary reading: Van Cleve, James. (1979). “[Foundationalism, Epistemic Principles, and the Cartesian Circle](#).” *The Philosophical Review*, 88(1), 55-91. Retrieved from: www.jstor.org/stable/2184779

Supplementary reading: Williams, Bernard. (1983). “Descartes’ Use of Skepticism.” *The Skeptical Tradition* (ed. Burnyeat). Retrieved from <https://philpapers.org/rec/WILDUO>

Supplementary reading: Frankfurt, Harry. (1966). “Descartes’ Discussion of his Existence in the Second Meditation.” *Philosophical Review* 75(3), 329-356. Retrieved from <https://philpapers.org/rec/FRADDO>

Supplementary reading: Bouwsma, O. K. (1949). “[Descartes’ Evil Genius](#).” *The Philosophical Review*, 58(2), 141-151. Retrieved from: www.jstor.org/stable/2181388 [but .pdf available at <https://sites.ualberta.ca/~francisp/NewPhil448/BouwsmaEvilGenius.pdf>].

Supplementary reading: Zagzebski, L. (2014). ‘Knowledge and the Motive for Truth.’ In M. Steup, J. Turri, & E. Sosa(eds), *Contemporary Debates in Epistemology*, 2nd ed. Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, 140–145. Retrieved from: <http://fitelson.org/prosem/zagzebski.pdf> [OR

https://academiaanalitica.files.wordpress.com/2016/10/matthias-steup-john-turri-ernest-sos_a-contemporary-debates-in-epistemology-wiley-blackwell-2013.pdf]

Podcast: Melvyn Bragg, Susan James, John Cottingham, and Stephen Mulhall, “[Cogito Ergo Sum](#),” via BBC’s In Our Times

Watch/listen: Stephan Schmid, “[Descartes’ Cogito Argument](#),” via Wi-Phi.com

Watch/listen: Bernard Williams on [Descartes](#)

14. The Reliability Theory of Knowledge

Supplementary reading: Goldman, Alvin and Beddor, Bob. (2008). “[Reliabilist Epistemology](#).” The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2019 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). Retrieved from <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/reliabilism/>

Supplementary reading: Cruz, Joe, and Pollock, John. (2004). The Chimerical Appeal of Epistemic Externalism. In Schantz and Richards (eds.), Current Issues in Theoretical Philosophy, Vol. 2: The Externalist Challenge (pp. 125-142). Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter. Retrieved from: <https://sites.williams.edu/jcruz/files/2017/08/CruzPollock2004.pdf>

Supplementary reading: Goldman, Alvin. (1976). “[Discrimination and Perceptual Knowledge](#).” The Journal of Philosophy, 73(20), 771-791. Retrieved from <https://wmpeople.wm.edu/asset/index/cvance/fakebarns>

Watch/listen: Jennifer Nagel, “[Causal and Reliabilist Theories of Knowledge](#),” via Wi-Phi.com.

15. Justified Belief and Hume’s Problem of Induction

Primary reading: Hume, David. (1738). “Skeptical Doubts Concerning the Operations of the Understanding.” An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding. Retrieved from http://www.sophia-project.org/uploads/1/3/9/5/13955288/hume_skepticism.pdf

Supplementary reading: Russell, Bertrand. (1912). “[On Induction](#).” The Problems of Philosophy. Retrieved from <http://www.ditext.com/russell/rus6.html>

Supplementary reading: Henderson, Leah. (2019). “[The Problem of Induction](#).” The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2019 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). Retrieved from <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/induction-problem/>

Watch/listen: Peter Millican, “[Hume’s Argument Concerning Induction](#),” via Oxford University Podcasts

Watch/listen: Dan Greco, “[Hume’s Skepticism and Induction](#),” via Wi-Phi.com

16. Can Hume’s Skepticism Be Refuted?

Primary reading: Black, Max. “[The Justification of Induction](#).” Proceedings of the Tenth International Congress of Philosophy (2), 791-793. Retrieved from <https://philpapers.org/rec/BLATJO-2>

Supplementary reading: Salmon, Wesley. (1978). “[The Problem of Induction](#).” The Foundations of Scientific Inference. Retrieved from <https://web.csulb.edu/~cwallis/100/articles/salmon.html>

Supplementary reading: Strawson, P. F. (1952, reprint 2011). Introduction to Logical Theory. 248-263. New York: Taylor & Francis.

Watch/listen: Peter Millican, “[Responses to Hume’s Famous Argument](#),” via Oxford University Podcasts

17. Beyond Foundationalism

Primary reading: Sosa, Ernest. (1980). “[The Raft and the Pyramid](#).” Midwest Studies in Philosophy 5(1), 3-26. Retrieved from <https://www.andrew.cmu.edu/user/kk3n/epistclass/Sosa%20-%20Raft%20and%20Pyramid.pdf>

Primary reading: Elgin, Catherine. (2005). “[Non-Foundationalist Epistemology: Holism, Coherence, and Tenability](#).” In Steup and Sosa (eds.), Contemporary Debates in Epistemology, pp. 156-167. Boston: Blackwell. Retrieved from: <http://elgin.harvard.edu/knowledge/coherence.pdf>

Supplementary reading: Chisholm, Roderick. (1964). “[The Myth of the Given](#).” Philosophy (ed. Roderick Chisholm). Retrieved from <http://joelvelasco.net/teaching/4330/chisholm-mythofgiven.pdf>

Supplementary reading: Bonjour, Laurence. (1978). “[Can Empirical Knowledge Have a Foundation?](#)” American Philosophy Quarterly, 15(1), 1-13. Retrieved from http://mw3a.haifa.ac.il/pluginfile.php/169857/mod_resource/content/0/bonjour-empirical-knowledge-foundations.pdf

Supplementary reading: Sellars, Wilfrid. (1956). “[Does Empirical Knowledge Have a Foundation?](#)” Knowledge, Mind, and the Given: A Reading of Sellars’ Empiricism and the Philosophy of Mind (ed. deVries and Triplett). Retrieved from <http://www.ditext.com/sellars/epm.html>
Watch/listen: Kevin McCain, “[The Epistemic Regress Problem](#),” via Wi-Phi.com
Podcast: “[Wilfrid Sellars on The Myth of the Given, Pt. 1](#),” via The Partially Examined Life

18. Locke on the Existence of External Objects

Primary source: Locke, John. (1690). “An Essay Concerning Human Understanding,” Book 2, Ch. 11. Retrieved from: <https://www.earlymoderntexts.com/assets/pdfs/locke1690book2.pdf>
Supplementary reading: Nagel, Jennifer. (2016). “[Sensitive Knowledge: Locke on Skepticism and Sensation](#).” Blackwell Companion to Locke (ed. Matthew Stuart). Retrieved from <http://individual.utoronto.ca/jnagel/Locke.pdf>
Supplementary reading: Priselac, Matthew. (2015). “[Locke: Knowledge of the External World](#).” Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Retrieved from <https://www.iep.utm.edu/locke-kn/>

19. Dualism and the Mind/Body Problem

Primary reading: Descartes, René. (1641). “Meditation VI.” Meditations on First Philosophy (trans. Jonathan Bennett). Retrieved from <http://www.earlymoderntexts.com/authors/descartes>
Primary reading: Rozemond, Marleen. (2007). “[Descartes’ Dualism](#).” In Janet Broughton and John Carriero (eds.), A Companion to Descartes, pp. 372-389. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing. Retrieved from https://courses.helsinki.fi/sites/default/files/course-material/4476859/Rozemond_Descartes%20%20Dualism%20Ch22.pdf
Supplementary reading: Antony, Louise. (2009). “[The Mental and the Physical](#).” In Poidevin (ed.), The Routledge Companion to Metaphysics . Retrieved from <https://philpapers.org/rec/ANTTMA>
Supplementary reading: McWeeny, Jen. (2011). “[Princess Elisabeth and the mind-body problem](#).” In Bruce and Barbone (eds.), Just the Arguments: 100 of the Most Important Arguments in Western Philosophy. Wiley-Blackwell. Retrieved from [https://www.academia.edu/7862879/Princess Elisabeth and the Mind-Body Problem](https://www.academia.edu/7862879/Princess_Elisabeth_and_the_Mind-Body_Problem)
Supplementary reading: Gertler, Brie. (2007). “In Defense of Mind-Body Dualism.” Reason and Responsibility (eds. Feinberg, Schafer-Landau). California: Wadsworth.
Supplementary reading: Montero, Barbara. (1999). “[The Body Problem](#).” Nous 33(2), 183-200. Retrieved from <https://barbaramontero.wordpress.com/2012/02/03/the-body-problem/> [OR https://www.jstor.org/stable/2671911?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents]
Podcast: Julian Baggini, Anthony Grayling, and Sue James, “[The Mind/Body Problem](#),” via BBC Radio.
Watch/listen: Alex Byrne, “[Mind-Body Dualism](#),” via Wi-Phi.com
Podcast: Philosophy Bites, “[Tim Crane on Mind and Body](#).”

20. Logical Behaviorism

Primary reading: Ryle, Gilbert. (1949). “[Descartes’ Myth](#).” The Concept of Mind, 11-24. Retrieved from <http://www.phil.uu.nl/~joel/3027/GilbertRyleDescartesMyth.pdf>
Supplementary reading: Putnam, Hilary. (1963). “[Brains and Behavior](#).” Analytical Philosophy: Second Series (ed. Butler). Retrieved from <http://web.csulb.edu/~cwallis/382/readings/483/putnam.brains.behavior.1980.pdf>
Supplementary reading: Graham, George. (2000). “[Behaviorism](#).” The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2019 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). Retrieved from <https://seop.illc.uva.nl/entries/behaviorism/>
Watch/listen: John Campbell’s UC Berkeley lectures on “[Ryle & Analytical Behaviorism](#)” and “[Putnam’s Brains and Behavior](#),” via Philosophy Overdose

21. Methodological Behaviorism

Primary reading: Watson, John. (1913). “[Psychology as the Behaviorist Views It](#).” The Philosophical Review

20(1), 158-177. Retrieved from <https://psychclassics.yorku.ca/Watson/views.htm>

Supplementary reading: Chomsky, Noam. (1967). “[A Review of B.F. Skinner’s Verbal Behavior](#).” Readings in the Psychology of Language (eds. Jakobovits and Miron). Retrieved from <https://chomsky.info/1967>

Supplementary reading: Byrne, Alex. (1994). “[Behaviourism](#).” A Companion to the Philosophy of Mind (ed. Guttenplan). Retrieved from <http://web.mit.edu/abyrne/www/behaviourism.html>

Watch/listen: B. F. Skinner on “[The Philosophy of Behaviorism](#)” (1988)

Watch/listen: Paul Bloom, “[Foundations: Skinner](#),” via Open Yale Courses and Yale University

22. The Mind/Brain Identity Theory

Primary reading: Smart, J. J. C. (1959). “[Sensations and Brain Processes](#).” Philosophical Review 68(4), 141-156. Retrieved from <http://philosophyfaculty.ucsd.edu/faculty/rarneson/Courses/SMARTJACKphil1.pdf>

Supplementary reading: Place, U. T. (1956). “[Is Consciousness A Brain Process?](#)” British Journal of Psychology 47(1), 44-50. Retrieved from <https://people.ucsc.edu/~jbowin/Ancient/place1956.pdf>

Supplementary reading: Smart, J. J. C. (2000). “[The Mind/Brain Identity Theory](#).” The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2019 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). Retrieved from <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/mind-identity/>

Watch/listen: Marianne Talbot, “[The Identity Theory \(And Why It Won’t Work\)](#),” via Oxford University Podcasts.

23. Functionalism

Primary reading: Putnam, Hilary. (1967). “[The Nature of Mental States](#).” Art, Mind, and Religion (eds. Capitan and Merrill.) Retrieved from <http://web.csulb.edu/~cwallis/382/readings/482/putnam.nature.mental.states.pdf>

Primary reading: Block, Ned. (1996). “[What is Functionalism?](#)” Retrieved from <http://web.csulb.edu/~cwallis/382/readings/482/block.what%20is%20functionalism.pdf>

Supplementary reading: Block, Ned. (1978). “[Troubles with Functionalism](#).” Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science 9(1), 261-325. Retrieved from <http://www.nyu.edu/gsas/dept/philo/faculty/block/papers/1978.troubles.with.f.pdf>

Supplementary reading: Levin, Janet. (2004). “[Functionalism](#).” The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2019 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). Retrieved from <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/functionism/>

Watch/listen: Suilin Lavelle, “[Functionalism and What Mental States Do](#),” via Open Education Edinburgh

Podcast: Alex Barber, “[Functionalism About the Mind](#)”, via The Open University

Podcast: Ned Block, “[What is functionalism?](#)”, via Philosophy Un(phil)tered

24. Freedom, Determinism, and Causality

Primary reading: Anscombe, Gertrude Elizabeth Margaret. (1971). “[Causality and Determination](#).” Retrieved from https://iweb.langara.bc.ca/rjohns/files/2016/09/anscombe_causality.pdf

Supplementary reading: Foot, Phillipa. (1957). “[Free will as involving determinism](#).” Philosophical Review 66(1), 439-450. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2182743>

Supplementary reading: Ayer, A. J. (1954). “[Freedom and Necessity](#).” Philosophical Essays. London: MacMillan. Retrieved from https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/polopoly_fs/1.101522!/file/Ayer-freedom-necessity.pdf

Watch/listen: Elinor Mason, “[Do We Have Free Will and Does It Matter?](#)” (see esp. videos on “[Libertarianism](#),” “[Compatibilism](#)” and “[Hard Determinism](#)”), via The University of Edinburgh

Watch/listen: Penelope Mackie, “[Compatibilism, Indeterminism, and Chance](#),” via the Royal Institute of Philosophy

Listen: John Searle, “[The Paradox of Free Will and Determinism](#),” via Philosophy Overdose

25. A Menu of Positions on Free Will

Primary reading: Hume, David. (1748, 1777). “[Of Liberty and Necessity](#).” An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding, sec. VIII. Retrieved from: <https://davidhume.org/texts/e/8>

Supplementary reading: Susan Wolf, “[The Importance of Free Will](#)” (1981)

Supplementary reading: Baron D’Holbach. (1770). “[Of the System of Man’s Free Agency](#),” from System of

Nature (trans. Wilkinson)

Supplementary reading [?]: Harry Frankfurt. (1971). "[Freedom of the Will and the Concept of a Person](#)." The Journal of Philosophy, Vol. 68, No. 1 (Jan. 14, 1971), pp. 5-20.

Podcast: Peter Millican, "[Hume on Liberty and Necessity](#)," via Oxford University Podcasts

Podcast: Simon Blackburn, Helen Beebe, Galen Strawson, "[Free Will](#)," via BBC Radio

Watch/listen: Richard Holton, "[The Problem of Free Will](#)," via Wi-Phi.com

26. Compatibilism

Supplementary reading: John Fischer, "[Responsiveness and Moral Responsibility](#)"

Supplementary reading: Harry Frankfurt, "[Alternate Possibilities and Moral Responsibility](#)"

27. Psychological Egoism

Primary reading: Hume, David. (1751). "[Of Self-love](#)." An Enquiry into the Principles of Morals, Appendix II. Retrieved from <https://ebooks.adelaide.edu.au/h/hume/david/h92pm/appendix2.html>

Supplementary reading: Broad, C. D. (1950). "[Egoism as a Theory of Human Motives](#)."

The Hibbert Journal 48, 105-114. Retrieved from <http://www.ditext.com/broad/ethm.html>

Supplementary reading: Wolf, Susan. (1982). "[Moral Saints](#)." Journal of Philosophy 79(8): 419-439. Retrieved from <https://philpapers.org/rec/WOLMS>

Supplementary reading: May, Joshua. (2011). "[Psychological Egoism](#)." Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Retrieved from <https://www.iep.utm.edu/psychego/>

Supplementary reading: Feinberg, Joel. (1978). "[Psychological Egoism](#)." In Feinberg and Schafer-Landau (eds.), Reason and Responsibility: Readings in Some Basic Problems of Philosophy. Boston, MA.: WadsworthCengage Learning. Retrieved from

<http://web.mit.edu/holton/www/courses/moralpsych/feinberg.pdf>

Podcast: Miranda Fricker, Richard Dawkins, and John Dupré, "[Altruism](#)," via BBC Radio

28. Ethics—Normative and Meta

Primary reading: Moore, G. E. (1903). "[The Subject-Matter of Ethics](#)." Principia Ethica, Ch.

I, §1-5. Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from <http://fair-use.org/g-e-moore/principia-ethica/chapter-i>

Primary reading: Anderson, Elizabeth. (2007). "[If God Is Dead, Is Everything Permitted?](#)" Philosophers Without Gods: Meditations on Atheism and the Secular Life (ed. Louise Anthony). Retrieved from https://www.skeptic.ca/Biblical_Ethics.htm

Supplementary reading: Jordan, Matthew. (2013). "[Theism, Naturalism, and Metaethics](#)." Philosophy Compass 8(4), 373-380. Retrieved from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/phc3.12021>

Watch/listen: Hank Green, "[What is Metaethics?](#)," via Crash Course Philosophy and PhilosophyTalk.org

Watch/listen: Stephen Darwall, "[God and Morality](#)," via Wi-Phi.com

29. The Is/Ought Gap and the Naturalistic Fallacy

Primary reading: Moore, G. E. (1903). "[The Subject-Matter of Ethics](#)." Principia Ethica, Ch.

I, §6-23. Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from <http://fair-use.org/g-e-moore/principia-ethica/chapter-i>

Primary reading: Hume, David. (1738). [A Treatise of Human Nature](#). Retrieved from

https://oll.libertyfund.org/titles/hume-a-treatise-of-human-nature#Hume_0213_1007

Supplementary reading: Cohon, Rachel. (2004). "[Hume's Moral Philosophy](#)." The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2019 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). Retrieved from <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/hume-moral/>

Supplementary reading: Rosati, Connie. (2018). "[Normativity and the Naturalistic Fallacy](#)." The Naturalistic Fallacy (ed. Neil Sinclair). Retrieved from

https://www.academia.edu/34966895/NORMATIVITY_AND_THE_NATURALISTIC_FALLACY

Supplementary reading: Foot, Philippa. (1995). "[Does Moral Subjectivism Rest on a Mistake?](#)" Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 15(1), 1-14. Retrieved from <http://pitt.edu/~mthompso/readings/foot1995.pdf>

Supplementary reading [?]: Baier, Annette. (2010). "[Hume's Own 'Ought' Conclusions](#)." Hume On Is and Ought (ed. Pigden). Retrieved from <https://trove.nla.gov.au/work/36328869?q&versionId=46750825>

Watch/listen: Harry Shearer, "[The Is / Ought Problem](#)," via BBC Radio

Podcast: Tamler Sommers and David Pizarro, “[Mind the Gap](#),” via Very Bad Wizards
Podcast: Mark Linsenmayer, “[What Grounds Ethical Claims?](#)”, via The Partially Examined Life

30. Observation and Explanation in Ethics

Primary reading: Harman, Gilbert. (1998). “[Ethics and Observation](#).” Ethical Theory 1: The Question of Objectivity (ed. James Rachels). Retrieved from <http://pitt.edu/~mthompso/readings/harman.pdf>

Supplementary reading: McGrath, Sarah. (2011). “[Moral Knowledge and Experience](#).” Oxford Studies in Metaethics 6. Retrieved from

http://static1.1.sqspcdn.com/static/f/1011404/27346427/1479755615370/McGrath_Moral_Experience.pdf?token=iSDsl%2BPoOC10FEsFtirj0LPCpA%3D

Supplementary reading: Foot, Philippa. (1958). “[Moral Arguments](#).” Mind 67(268), 502-513. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1093/mind/LXVII.268.502>

Supplementary reading: Pigliucci, Massimo. (2006). “[Is Ethics a Science?](#)” Philosophy Now 25. Retrieved from <https://philpapers.org/archive/PIGIEA.pdf>

Podcast: Elizabeth Anderson, “[Learning from History](#),” via Examining Ethics

Podcast: Christiane Wisheart and Preston Werner, “[Perceiving Morality](#),” via Examining Ethics

Watch/listen: James Lenman on “[Science, Ethics, and Observation](#),” Royal Institute of Philosophy Conference (2011)

31. Conventionalist Theories

Primary reading: Fricker, Miranda. (2013). “[Styles of Moral Relativism](#).” in Oxford Handbook of Ethics (ed. Crisp). Retrieved from

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/96350/1/Styles%20of%20Moral%20Relativism%20OHE.pdf>

Supplementary reading: Midgley, Mary. (1981). “[Trying Out One’s Own Sword](#).” Heart and Mind. Retrieved from <http://www.ghandchi.com/IONA/newsword.pdf>

This online source is linked in PhilPapers, but the host site seems suspect. Might be worth checking into more carefully.

Supplementary reading: Nussbaum, Martha (1999). "Judging Other Cultures: The Case of Genital Mutilation." Sex and Social Justice. 118-129. New York: Oxford University Press.

Supplementary reading: Rovane, Carol. (2002). “[Earning the Right to Realism and Relativism in Ethics](#).” Philosophical Issues 12. Retrieved from

https://fewd.univie.ac.at/fileadmin/user_upload/inst_ethik_wiss_dialog/Rovane_Carol_2002_Earning_the_Right_to_Realism_or_Relativism_in_Ethics.pdf

Nieswandt, Katharina. (2019). “[What Is Conventionalism about Moral Rights and Duties?](#)” Forthcoming Australasian Journal of Philosophy, 1-14. Retrieved from <https://philpapers.org/archive/NIEWIC.pdf>

32. Utilitarianism

Primary reading: Mill, John Stuart. (1863). “Utilitarianism,” Chapters 1-4. Retrieved from <https://www.utilitarianism.com/mill1.htm>

Primary reading: Mill, John Stuart. (1859). “On Liberty,” Chapters 1–3. Retrieved from <https://www.utilitarianism.com/ol/one.html>

Supplementary reading: Foot, Philippa. (1983). “[Utilitarianism and the Virtues](#).” Proceedings and Addresses of the American Philosophical Association, 57(2), 273-283. Retrieved from https://ifac.univ-nantes.fr/IMG/pdf/utilitarianism_and_the_virtues.pdf

Supplementary reading: Williams, Bernard. (1973). “[Critique of Utilitarianism](#).” Retrieved from <http://homepage.westmont.edu/hoeckley/PHI006SP12/documents/Williams.pdf>

Supplementary reading: Driver, Julia. (2009). “[The History of Utilitarianism](#).” The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2019 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). Retrieved from <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/utilitarianism-history/>

Watch/listen: Julia Markovits, “[Utilitarianism Parts 1-3](#),” via Wi-Phi.com

Watch/listen: Marianne Talbot, “[Utilitarianism](#),” via Oxford University Podcasts

Podcast: Melissa Lane, Janet Radcliffe Richards, and Brad Hooker, “[Utilitarianism](#),” via BBC Radio

Podcast: Peter Singer and Alan Saunders, “[Utilitarianism](#),” via The Philosopher’s Zone

33. Kant's Moral Theory

Primary reading: Korsgaard, Christine. (1985). "[Kant's Formula of Universal Law](#)."

Kant-Studien 77(1-4), 183-202. Retrieved from

https://dash.harvard.edu/bitstream/handle/1/3201869/korsgaard_kantforumulauniversalla_w.pdf?sequence=2

Supplementary reading: O'Neill, Onora. (1985). "[A Simplified Account of Kant's Ethics](#)." In James White (ed.), Contemporary Moral Problems (3rd ed), pp. 26-30. St. Paul: West Publishing Co. 1991. Retrieved from

https://laurenralpert.files.wordpress.com/2014/08/oneill-a-simplified-account-of-kants-ethi_cs.pdf

Supplementary reading: Korsgaard, Christine. (1986). “[The Right to Lie: Kant on Dealing with Evil](#).” *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, 15(4), 325-349. Retrieved from

https://dash.harvard.edu/bitstream/handle/1/3200670/korsgaard_righttolie.pdf?sequence=2

Podcast: Alison Hills, David Oderberg, John Callanan, “[Kant’s Categorical Imperative](#),” via BBC Radio

Watch/listen: Marianne Talbot, “[Deontology: Kant, Duty, and the Moral Law](#),” via Oxford University Podcasts

Watch/listen: “[Kant and Categorical Imperatives](#),” via Crash Course Philosophy

Watch/listen: Chris Surprenant, “[The Good Life: Kant](#),” via Wi-Phi.com

34. Aristotle on the Good Life

Primary reading: Korsgaard, Christine. (2008.) “Aristotle’s Function Argument.” In *The Constitution of Agency: Essays on Practical Reason and Moral Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Retrieved from: <http://www.people.fas.harvard.edu/~korsgaard/AristotleFunction.pdf>

Primary reading: Anscombe, Gertrude Elizabeth Margaret. (1958). “[Modern Moral Philosophy](#).” *Philosophy* 33(124), 1-19. Retrieved from <https://www.pitt.edu/~mthompso/readings/mmp.pdf>

Secondary reading: Wilkes, Kathleen. (1978). “The Good Man and the Good for Man in Aristotle’s Ethics.” *Mind*, LXXXVII(4), 553–571. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2253690>

Secondary reading: Nussbaum, Martha. (1995). “Aristotle on human nature and the foundations of ethics.” In J. Altham & R. Harrison (Eds.), *World, Mind, and Ethics: Essays on the Ethical Philosophy of Bernard Williams* (pp. 86-131). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511621086.007>

Watch/listen: Chris Surprenant, “[The Good Life: Aristotle](#),” via Wi-Phi.com

Watch/listen: Bryan Magee and Martha Nussbaum, “[Aristotle](#),” via BBC’s “The Great Philosophers” (1987).

Compiled by S. Schwarz