## SUMMARY OF QUESTIONS UNANSWERED Re THE WG SAFE ROUTE APPLICATION

## Foreword.

LCC has been seeking information and answers from CCC ever since submitting our WG application and responses have not been forthcoming so this list attempts to focus on outstanding requests and noting significant issues

## **Introduction**

For a considerable number of years, LCC and CCC have strived to find an alternative route to alleviate the serious hazards to pedestrians between the terraces on the B4337, without finding a single probable solution

## Questions

- 1,Why was the January 2022 LCC grant application. (a simple inexpensive oven ready solution) discarded out of hand unilaterally by CCC without discussion or consultation with LCC?
- 2, Why is CCC claiming reasons were given when all the evidence demonstrates they were not?
- 3,On what basis did CCC believe that a number of alternatives would be found, when they had previously not been able to identify even a single route? NB a feasibility study increases the time exposure to the hazards.
- 4, Why did CCC embark on this course of action knowing that they do not have the resources required for resourcing a large feasibility study? As indeed has proved to be the case by there own self admission.
- 5, Why did it take 7 months for CCC to admit the in house project had disappointingly limited progress?
- 6, What was the original target date for completion of the feasibility and what is the current status?
- 7, Our request for a Gantt chart is no longer worthwhile as it appears the project is out of control
- 8, Our request for a copy of the terms of reference and objectives of the feasibility study stands
- 9, Please advise how much of the original £40,000 has now been spent (on what) or is committed?
- 10, Will the feasibility study be completed and the installation completed within the 2023 -24 year and do your replies (which are nebulous) indicate you have WG approval and a funding commitment to proceed to installation?
- 11, Will a report be made available upon completion of the study and will LCC be properly consulted?
- 12, Why has CCC continued either not answering reasonable questions or taking the stance of obfuscation to us, the community and to it's Elected Officers?
- 13, May we please have a commitment that CCC staff will provide timely answers to reasonable requests in the future?
- 14, Will you please instruct CCC to buy in to the CCC Engagement and Participation Policy? If this had been done we could have avoided all this hassle and clic would become a useful tool for CCC and the community. In addition if your feasibility team could meet with us (after supplying the above answers) perhaps an early cost effective resolution to our long standing safety problem could result.