

Statistics on Dec 2019 Lecture

227 delivered, 128 opened; 0 bounced, 59 clicks, 54 Responses

The History of Wallpaper by Jo Banham

Q1	Which of the following criteria describe the lecture?		Q2	Which of the following describe the lecturer's presentation?	
	Subject was well researched	49		Clear and audible voice	52
	Informative content	47		Confident presentation	49
	Related to title	50		Managed equipment well	41
	Organised structure	49		Managed time well	47
	Relevant images	45		Rapport with audience	37
	Good quality images	29			
	Total Responses	53		Total Responses	53

Q3	How did the Lecturer respond to questions?		Q4	How do you rate the lecture?	
	Responded well	52		Outstanding	3
	Did Not Respond well	0		Excellent	16
	Not applicable	1		Very Good	15
				Good	9
				Passable	6
				Poor	2
		53		Answered	53

Q5: Please use the space if you wish to make any further comments. (29-1 =28 responses)

1. Too many slides on screen at once. Detail was very difficult to spot
2. Disappointingly small pictures of wallpaper. It was very difficult to see any pattern in detail.
Would have been better to show each design on a separate slide even though she wanted to talk about related pieces together.
3. Unable to attend.
4. I didn't find the lecture very inspiring but only because I wasn't really interested enough. My fault!
5. I think this is the second time recently that lecturer has had problems with equipment ie slides moving too quickly on click.
6. Pure delight Unfortunately some of the images were very difficult to make out. If they could have been enlarged it would have helped
7. A very workmanlike lecture. Very interesting but not inspiring. Thank
8. The Images on screen were too small. We have a large Hall and I was seated middle row of 12. Some examples in Houses one can visit would be helpful.
9. Did not inspire me but interesting. Picture titles not well placed to show which picture they related to. Some titles missing.
10. A very good lecture on a difficult topic. Needed more lighter moments however, to sustain the attention of the audience.
11. There was something that did not click. The images were poor in that they were too condensed and so could not be seen properly. The delivery was too dry.
12. Perhaps my eyesight but I found it difficult to see the patterns in the images. The delivery was somewhat soporific
13. It was a pity the lecturer used multi pictures on the screen most of the time. The details of the patterns and the house interiors could not be clearly seen - I was not sitting at the back of the hall, where it must have been even more difficult. Apart from that, the lecture was most interesting.

14. Didn't set the world on fire. Missed out on the psychedelic fifties.....more background re designers and manufacturers would have been welcome. More social and political connections could be made to the everchanging styles of wallpaper and its accessibility.
15. The images were rather small and the subject a little dull.
16. The images on the slides were too small and crammed together so that much of the details of the designs were lost. Otherwise a fascinating lecture.
17. Disappointing lecture without all the magnificent examples of any of the 20th century papers. It was on the dull side & just sounded like a list of the papers.
18. Much more interesting than I had hoped for
19. From the back of the hall it was difficult to see the patterns on the wallpapers.
20. Material a bit dry
21. The speaker spoke clearly but could do with more human interest or lightheartedness in her talk. However I wasn't that interested to begin with perhaps. The modern wallpapers were fascinating.
22. Fantastic lecturer with a wonderful voice!
23. Perhaps not the most interesting of subjects but well presented
24. Very enjoyable and informative. Thank you.
25. I used to think wallpaper was a bit naff....I'm looking at it as an art form now!
26. We usually complain about the sound level but today it was so loud I had to remove my hearing aids as I was being deafened. SORRY.
27. A very informative and entertaining talk by an excellent presenter
28. Lecturer had very detailed knowledge which she imparted in a very clear voice but although completely authoritative, was a bit dull and not very entertaining.
29. Did her best on a not very interesting subject