

**Statistics on Nov 2019 Lecture**

**226 delivered, 146 opened; 0 bounced, 82 clicks, 73 Responses**

**Great British Public Parks- A Concise History by Paul Rabbitts**

<b>Q1</b>	<b>Which of the following criteria describe the lecture?</b>		<b>Q2</b>	<b>Which of the following describe the lecturer's presentation?</b>	
	Subject was well researched	70		Clear and audible voice	62
	Informative content	64		Confident presentation	68
	Related to title	62		Managed equipment well	47
	Organised structure	61		Managed time well	55
	Relevant images	63		Rapport with audience	68
	Good quality images	54			
	<b>Total Responses</b>	<b>71</b>		<b>Total Responses</b>	<b>73</b>

<b>Q3</b>	<b>How did the Lecturer respond to questions?</b>		<b>Q4</b>	<b>How do you rate the lecture?</b>	
	Responded well	71		Outstanding	12
	Did Not Respond well	0		Excellent	37
	Not applicable	2		Very Good	17
				Good	7
				Passable	0
				Poor	0
		<b>73</b>		<b>Answered</b>	<b>73</b>

**Q5: Please use the space if you wish to make any further comments. (35 responses)**

1. A great lecture but possibly very English based.
2. Some of the slides stayed up for too short a time, I would have appreciated longer to take in some of the more complex, multi-picture ones.
3. For the first time in a long time I would have happily sat and listened for another hour!
4. Entertaining as well as informative and interesting
5. A most refreshing look at a very neglected subject. Made me appreciate how lucky we are in Helensburgh!
6. The topic was enormous, would have made three lectures. I could have listened to one only on bandstands which I found much more interesting than I would have thought.
7. The lecturer made it an enjoyable experience
8. Opened our eyes to the often overlooked parks and the featured bandstands and the cast iron work which was designed and cast in Glasgow and exported all over the British Empire.
9. Britain's bandstands are now a priority to seek out !
10. Passion for subject came over well, and informal manner very good.
11. The lecturer found the remote 'too responsive' but other lecturers have not had similar problems
12. Regretably, and as usual, the lecture was spoilt by not being able to see the images properly. The screen is too small!

13. An amusing well researched and informative talk presented in a clear audible way with many excellent images which I very much enjoyed.
14. Almost too much information, not easy to take it all in.
15. Made the most of a rather dull subject
16. SQuestionERs inaudible: need wireless mic
17. I enjoyed his humour.
18. Good quality lecture
19. Just great will look at parks in a different light from now on!
20. very enthusiastic and entertaining
21. The delivery was a bit rapid but otherwise all was good & informative.
22. The lecturer spoke too fast which affected the clarity of what he was saying. on a few occasions he did not leave slides on the screen long enough. the slides were clear but it takes time to digest several lines of text.
23. I wear hearing aids and the regular fluctuations in the speaker's voice, (high volume at the beginning of phrases and low at the end) proved a very uncomfortable distraction, as I tried to adjust high tech aids.....in vain! Another comment is that The questions at the end are inevitably inaudible. Could the speaker not be asked to come up and use the mic? It might have a filter effect too!
24. Quite a number of the slides were simply text and I couldn't read them all before the next slide appeared. Not sure of the point of such slides but the others were very good and amply illustrated the points being made.
25. Far too much material for one lecture. There were several very interesting themes, each of which could have been explored in more detail if some of the others had been omitted. I felt as if I'd had a whistle stop tour of so many public parks but will remember little about any of them.
26. Good speaker, interesting topic, went on a bit too long, too many bandstands!
27. Quite one of the best lectures.
28. Huge amount of information for an hour's lecture, but Paul did manage it well. Slides were sometimes a little small to see in detail.
29. Very enjoyable and informative.
30. Due to content rather repetitive. Rather 20th Century in remarks regarding his other half! Couldn't hear questions and they were not repeated by speaker.. Maybe more of an HGHS subject?
31. I have a hearing problem and I found I missed quite a lot of the content as the speech was too fast.
32. A huge topic
33. One of the best lecturers we have been to. Very amusing.
34. A companion, who is hard of hearing found the talk was a bit gabbled. The rest of our group heard him no problem.
35. Some of the slides which had no illustrations, just script, weren't so interesting and hard to take in reading them.