

Statistics on February 2019 Lecture

230 delivered, 153 opened; 0 bounced, 78 clicks, 64 Responses

The Richest of Legacies: British Colonial Buildings in India by Anthony Peers

| Q1 | Which of the following criteria describe the lecture? | | Q2 | Which of the following describe the lecturer's presentation? | |
|----|---|-----------|----|--|-----------|
| | Subject was well researched | 70 | | Clear and audible voice | 69 |
| | Informative content | 66 | | Confident presentation | 68 |
| | Related to title | 59 | | Managed equipment well | 60 |
| | Organised structure | 57 | | Managed time well | 56 |
| | Relevant images | 60 | | Rapport with audience | 53 |
| | Good quality images | 60 | | | |
| | Total Responses | 74 | | Total Responses | 73 |

| Q3 | How did the Lecturer respond to questions? | | Q4 | How do you rate the lecture? | |
|----|--|-----------|----|------------------------------|-----------|
| | Responded well | 13 | | Outstanding | 18 |
| | Did Not Respond well | 0 | | Excellent | 32 |
| | Not applicable | 55 | | Very Good | 20 |
| | | | | Good | 3 |
| | | | | Passable | 2 |
| | | | | Poor | 0 |
| | | 62 | | Answered | 75 |

Q5: Please use the space if you wish to make any further comments. (34-1=33 responses)

1. I knew little about this subject, apart from Lutyens in Delhi, so I found the lecture both stimulating and informative, and was glued to it the whole time.
2. The subject interested me but I was disappointed by the lack of depth/detail. Fewer buildings and more explanation would have enhanced the lecture.
3. Interesting in parts but overall a little dull
4. I have a personal interest in the speaker's topic. I found him to be very well-informed. In terms of "Wot I learnt" I would personally rate him "Outstanding" though the subject matter may not be of more general interest to members
5. I feel there were too many buildings presented too quickly. More background information and history would have improved the presentation
6. Just wished we could have seen inside of some of the buildings
7. Excellent speaker. Thoroughly enjoyed this lecture. Perhaps further lectures re colonial buildings in other countries could be considered for future if possible
8. Almost too crowded with too much information, a big subject, including so much history, could have been pared down a bit
9. My mother was born in India and I have been there many times. I am unlikely to go again and only wish I had heard him before I went
10. An enthusiastic lecturer who made one think. He really brought the subject to life
11. I did feel he removed slides from the screen quite quickly sometimes as I would have liked a longer look.
12. Very knowledgeable speaker
13. I wasn't particularly interested in the subject before attending this inspirational talk and now I find myself planning a trip!
14. Informative and honest approach to subject. Most enjoyable presentation.
15. Clearly heard from the back of the room from start to finish.
16. One of the best lectures in the last few years. So many strands to mull over and everything delivered with clarity and in context. Please ask him to come back
17. I was unable to attend
18. Talk was too much like a catalogue
19. I did ask the lecturer a question but no time given for "public" questions
20. First class.
21. A well-structured and confidently delivered lecture on an interesting topic. I was impressed by his knowledge and his delivery
22. I would love to hear him speak about the conservation work. I found that the most interesting part of the lecture
23. Speaker really knew his subject, but not really a subject I was particularly interested in - though I found his section at the end on conserving the buildings which were now starting to crumble, and training Indian craftspeople to carry out the necessary work, the most interesting part
24. The closing part of the lecture was probably the best bit talking about his involvement in the renovations

25. The lecturer had a very clear voice and quickly established a good rapport with his audience. A long introduction (almost 15 minutes) meant that there was less time for the lecturer to develop his talk and bring out the main points of it clearly. Although the lecturer clearly knew his subject I felt that 40 minutes of his lecture was just a slide show of buildings, many of which were architecturally similar. I would have preferred that the lecture and photographs had been clearly structured in EITHER a chronological OR a geographical way. It seemed to me that we dotted about the major cities of India randomly. I would have preferred to see fewer buildings with more description/explanation of the architecture features and more information on some of the major problems associated with European architecture/building engineering in a tropical climate and how these problems were overcome. My favourite part of the lecture was the last ten minutes when the lecturer was talking about conservation. Why did he take questions privately at the end? Often this part is very interesting as people raise different issues that I might not have thought of.
26. It was a pity that questions were not taken from the floor before people started to leave. This is often very interesting
27. I didn't see the relevance between the slave trade and Indian architecture
28. Only to say I enjoyed this lecture as he had a good voice and all words were perfectly clear so for a change I heard all of the talk.
29. The lecture was very erudite with nearly an overload of information
30. Maybe too much information for a hour's lecture?
31. A lot of information to take in!
32. An excellent lecture, but I thought it was aimed at an audience with more technical knowledge
33. Loved the content and the way it was presented including the humour
34. One of the best