

Statistics on November 2018 Lecture
 217 delivered, 158 opened; 1 bounced, 75 clicks, 67 Responses

The Guggenheims: A Dynasty of Art Collectors by Andrew Hopkins

Q1	Which of the following criteria describe the lecture?		Q2	Which of the following describe the lecturer's presentation?	
	Subject was well researched	65		Clear and audible voice	60
	Informative content	59		Confident presentation	64
	Related to title	55		Managed equipment well	55
	Organised structure	55		Managed time well	58
	Relevant images	53		Rapport with audience	55
	Good quality images	28			
	Total Responses	67		Total Responses	66

Q3	How did the Lecturer respond to questions?		Q4	How do you rate the lecture?	
	Responded well	63		Outstanding	10
	Did Not Respond well	0		Excellent	29
	Not applicable	1		Very Good	16
				Good	10
				Passable	1
				Poor	0
		64		Answered	66

Q5: Please use the space if you wish to make any further comments. (28 -3=25 responses)

1. We regret that we were unable to attend this time.
2. Very enjoyable made me want to find out more about Peggy & her family .
3. A subject I knew hardly anything about. Despit not being very fond of many of the artists discussed or illustrated, I found the lecture to be extremely interesting. The lecturer held my interest throughout.
4. Some images too small to be useful. Otherwise well -presented.
5. Slides were so small on the screen that it was difficult to make out the images clearly
6. Rapport with audience and answering questions excellent. To many pictures and quality wasn't always good
7. I regret I was unable to attend this meeting due to another commitment at short notice.
8. Images spoilt beacause the screen is too small for the size of hall
9. Black and white photographs were poor and not very clear viewing from the distance of the back row.
10. While the museums were interesting the contents shown are not really to my taste!
11. Whilst it was interesting to have authentic photographs from the period, these were not terribly clear on screen. I'm not sure how this could be improved though!
12. Wow! Superb!
13. Interesting subject, talked about really well and obviously enjoys informing the audience.
14. Would have been interesting to hear how Peggy managed to do business in Paris 1941, Venice 1944 etc. War?? Persecution of Jews????? - how did she react?

15. He constantly waved the green pointer all over the place, making it impossible to identify which person/image he was describing
16. The images, mostly photographs, were disappointing.
17. Thoroughly enjoyed this very good lecture. Excellent Speaker
18. He spoke a little fast and it was difficult to keep track of the people involved
19. Some of the images too small/faint for people towards the back of the hall
20. Although the gossip was entertaining, I would have liked a bit more in-depth information about the personal tastes of the two collectors and the reasons for these.
21. Very good informative
22. I found him hard to follow in regard to the multiplicity of names mentioned in passing - it was the old problem - he knew the names so well he forgot we had never heard them before. The pictorial side was very hard to see - obviously they were from old photos but still - poor quality.
23. A great meeting. I have already bought one of the referenced books
24. Not present
25. the slides were much too small and images not very good
26. Informative & Inspired! Planning my next visit to Venice!
27. Excellent. An extremely enjoyable lecture. It ticked all the boxes!
28. Tremendous knowledge and enthusiasm with a really good sense of humour