

Statistics on September 2018 Lecture

213 delivered, 145 opened; 3 bounced, 60 clicks, 57 Responses

Collecting the Grand Tour by Marc Allum

Q1	Which of the following criteria describe the lecture?		Q2	Which of the following describe the lecturer's presentation?	
	Subject was well researched	48		Clear and audible voice	49
	Informative content	46		Confident presentation	49
	Related to title	38		Managed equipment well	40
	Organised structure	28		Managed time well	27
	Relevant images	44		Rapport with audience	43
	Good quality images	33			
	Total Responses	54		Total Responses	55

Q3	How did the Lecturer respond to questions?		Q4	How do you rate the lecture?	
	Responded well	54		Outstanding	3
	Did Not Respond well	1		Excellent	20
	Not applicable	0		Very Good	16
				Good	8
				Passable	6
				Poor	2
		55		Answered	57

Q5: Please use the space if you wish to make any further comments. (25responses)

1. I enjoyed the lecture.
2. Disappointing in all respects
3. As usual, the lecture was spoilt by not being able to see the detail on the screen. The screen is too small!
4. Not quite up to usual standard
5. Not up to standard. Subject not covered. Not scholarly. No more second rate antique roadshow layperson lectures or lecturers please
6. Went on too long with rather boring delivery. Not sure what I expected, but a list of his personal acquisitions didn't fit the bill.
7. A great start to season
8. Larger screen would have made the presentation much better.
9. I had known about The Grand Tour but knew nothing of the details. The lecturer enlightened me with humour and knowledge. A very enjoyable lecture.
10. The talk was easy listening & really good..many thanks.
11. Sitting at back of Hall, but I could easily hear what he said. The introduction was perhaps a tad long, but this trying to find something to criticise.
12. Because the subject was so personal to the lecturer, there was an occasional tendency to becoming "Side-tracked". But he held my attention throughout.
13. Only gave "excellent" as while it was interesting hearing about himself think it went on rather too long!
14. Wondered what size of house he must have to hold all the stuffnhe has collected himself.....but a stimulating and entertaining talk.
15. Sorry I didn't really enjoy this lecture. His voice was monotone and the subject too much about his own collection.
16. Disappointing

17. A very informative free flowing lecture which held your attention
18. Nothing wrong with the lecture, but subject-matter rather dull. Also quite difficult to see the details of images towards back of hall.
19. As a personal note I would have liked details of the size of the lecturer's own objects it would help to put them in perspective. However that would be down to his choice. It was a most entertaining lecture thank you.
20. None
21. A reasonable lecture, but far too many umhs,ahs and errs.
22. Perhaps he should have stopped at 1 hour, but he was rivetting.
23. Voice slightly flat and uninteresting. Focus was all on speaker's collection and not on other peoples' Grand Tour - which is what I was expecting from the title.
24. Very poorly structured; Marc could have followed a map of the grand tour instead of dotting in and out of Italy. It would have been much shorter without all his ups and ers. Once he'd answered the question he then deviated from the subject to talk about something else that interested him more.
25. Occasional assumption of prior knowledge of Geography over-generous!!!!